Case		20 Entered 11/16/20 20:10:27 Desc ge 1 of 177	
1	Roksana D. Moradi-Brovia (Bar No. 266572	2)	
2	W. Sloan Youkstetter (Bar No. 296681) RESNIK HAYES MORADI LLP		
3	17609 Ventura Blvd., Suite 314 Encino, CA 91316		
4	Telephone: (818) 285-0100 Facsimile: (818) 855-7013		
5	roksana@RHMFirm.com sloan@RHMFirm.com		
6	Attorneys for Debtor		
7	Northern Holdings, LLC		
8	UNITED STATES BA	NKRUPTCY COURT	
9	CENTRAL DISTRIC	CT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	SANTA ANA DIVISION		
11	In re)	Case No. 8:20-bk-13014-MW	
12		Chapter 11	
13	NORTHERN HOLDINGS, LLC,	DEBTORS' OPPOSITION TO	
14) Debtor.)	MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM THE AUTOMATIC STAY UNDER 11 U.S.C.	
15		§ 362 (2380 Live Oak Road, Paso Robles, CA 93446, 1172 San Marcos Road, Paso	
16		Robles, CA 93446 and APN 027-145- 022); DECLARATIONS OF LEROY	
17		CODDING AND BRANT A. SHEAFFER IN SUPPORT THEREOF	
18		Date: November 30, 2020	
19		Time: 9:00 a.m. Place: Courtroom 6C	
20		411 West Fourth Street, Santa Ana, CA 92701	
21	TO THE HONORABLE MARK S.	, ,	
22	BANKRUPTCY JUDGE; MOVANT FAR	M CREDIT WEST, FLCA AND ITS	
23	ATTORNEYS OF RECORD; AND TO AI	LL INTERESTED PARTIES:	
24	Northern Holdings, LLC the debtor an	d debtor-in-possession ("DIP") in the above-	
25 26	referenced Chapter 11 case (the "Debtor"), he	ereby submits its opposition to the Motion for	
26 27	Relief from the Automatic Stay under 11 U.S.	C. §362(d)(1), (2), and (4) (the "Motion for	
27	Relief") [Docket No. 11] filed by Farm Credi	t West, FLCA (the "Movant"), as follows:	
28			

Case	e 8:20-bk-13014-MW Doc 24 Filed 11/16/20 Entered 11/16/20 20:10:27 Desc Main Document Page 2 of 177
1	Table of Contents
2	I. INTRODUCTION
3	II. STATEMENT OF FACTS
-	a. Background
4	b. Progress Since Case Was Filed
5	III. ARGUMENT
6	a. 11 U.S.C. §362(d)(1)
7	<i>i.</i> Adequate protection
	<i>iii.</i> The Debtor Filed this Case in Good Faith
8	b. 11 U.S.C. $\$362(d)(2)(A)$ and $(d)(2)(B)$
9	<i>i</i> . Reorganization is in Process
10	<i>ii.</i> The Property is Necessary for an Effective Reorganization
11	c. $11 U.S.C. \ \$362(d)(4)$
	IV. CONCLUSION 17
12	DECLARATION OF LEROY CODDING
13	DECLARATION OF BRANT A. SHEAFFER
14	
15	
-	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
RESNIK HAYES MORADI LLP	2

Main Document Page 3 of 177

I. INTRODUCTION

Desc

The Debtor owns real properties located at 2380 Live Oak Road, Paso Robles, CA
93446 ("Live Oak"), 1172 San Marcos Road, Paso Robles, CA 93446 ("1172"), and APN
027-145-022 ("Texas Road") (collectively, the "Subject Properties").

On or about March 17, 2020, the Debtor's sole and managing member, Leroy
Codding - through his other limited liability company, Business Loan Capital - obtained a
certified valuation report of the Subject Properties, prepared by The Property Sciences
Group, Inc. (the "Appraisal Report"). The Appraisal Report is attached and incorporated
herein and asserts a value of \$25,500,000 for the Subject Properties. Per the Motion for
Relief, the Movant holds a lien on the Subject Properties in the amount of \$19,040,509.25.
As such, the Movant is secured by an *equity cushion of 25.33%*.

On the other hand, the Movant asserts that the value of the Subject Properties is 12 13 \$15,000,000 based on a declaration from the previous owner, Erich Russell in his Chapter 11 bankruptcy case. See Declaration of Erich Russell in Response to Farm Credit West 14 15 Motion re Use, Accounting and Control of Cash Collateral filed on February 14, 2020 (the "Russell Declaration") [Docket No. 45 in Case 9:20-bk-10035-DS]. The Movant fails to 16 17 attach an appraisal or a broker price opinion of the Subject Properties to the Motion for Relief. The Movant's evidence of value is therefore inferior to the Debtor's evidence of 18 19 value.

The Subject Properties were sold to the Debtor for value because Erich Russell
("Mr. Russell") transferred title in exchange for *debt assumption and a promissory note to Mr. Russell for the difference between outstanding debt and appraised value consisting of*\$6,440,000. The sale was an arms-length transaction because Mr. Russell is not a member
of the Debtor, is not related and does not have any other relation to Mr. Codding, other
than what is disclosed herein. This was not an insider transaction.

The Movant makes unsubstantiated allegations that the "Debtor is an insider of Mr.
Russell" and that "Mr. Russell is an insider of the Debtor." There is no evidence provided
in support of those allegations. The Movant just requests that the Court assume that the

1	Debtor is an insider of Mr. Russell. As set forth in detail below, the Debtor is not an		
2	insider of Mr. Russell nor is Mr. Russell an insider of the Debtor.		
3			
4	II. <u>STATEM</u>	ENT OF FACTS	
5	a. Background		
6	The Debtor commenced its bankruptcy	case by filing for relief under Chapter 11 of	
7	11 U.S.C. §101 et seq. (the "Bankruptcy Code") on October 28, 2020. The Debtor is		
8	operating its business and managing its financial affairs as a DIP pursuant to §§1107 and		
9	1108 of the Bankruptcy Code.		
10	The Debtor is a Minnesota LLC created on April 30, 2012 for the purpose of		
11	acquiring and restructuring a wine importer/distribution company in St. Paul, MN.		
12	2 Subsequently, the business held a minority stake in a newly formed import company and		
13	later disbanded in spinoffs.		
14	Leroy Codding is the sole and managing member of the Debtor ("Mr. Codding").		
15	The Debtor owns and operates three real properties as set forth below. The Debtor		
16	acquired the above properties from Mr. Russe	ll on October 27, 2020.	
17	"Live Oak"	FMV \$9,700,000	
18	2380 Live Oak Road	1st TD:	
19	Paso Robles, CA 93446	Farm Credit (cross-collateralized with 1172 and Texas Road)	
20	2 homes on the property.	\$19,040,509.25 (per the Motion for Relief)	
21	Unit #1 is vacant (tenant moved	Property Taxes:	
22	10/20/2020); new tenants to move in on/or about $12/1/2020$ for \$1,800/month	County of San Luis Obispo County Tax Collector	
23	about 12/1/2020 for \$1,800/month.	\$13,625.84	
24	Tenant #2 is former owner Erich Russell (see below) who pays \$12,000; lease ends		
25	1/1/2022; rent is current.		
26	Debtor will contract with a third party to		
27	farm and will receive revenue from fruit sales.		
28	suies.		

Case 8:20-bk-13014-MW Doc 24 Filed 11/16/20 Entered 11/16/20 20:10:27 Desc Main Document Page 5 of 177

1	"1172"	FMV \$11,500,000
2	1172 San Marcos Road	1st TD:
3	Paso Robles, CA 93446	<u>Farm Credit</u> (cross-collateralized with Live Oak and Texas Road)
4	Winery facility (42,000 sq ft) and	\$19,040,509.25 (per the Motion for Relief)
5	residential apartment.	Property Taxes:
6	Winery tenant is Rabbit Ridge Wine	County of San Luis Obispo County Tax
7	Winery. Base rate of \$15,000/month; rent is current. Profit share of third party	Collector \$3,200,000
8	Custom Crush revenue of approximately \$11,400/month. Billed monthly in arrears.	
9	\$11,400/monul. Billed monully in allears.	
10	Apartment tenant is Bill Tolar who pays \$1,600; lease ends 6/30/2021; rent is	
11	current.	
12	Debtor will contract with a third party to	
13	farm and will receive revenue from fruit sales.	
14	"Texas Road"	FMV \$4,300,000
15	Address or only APN 027-145-022	1st TD:
16		Farm Credit (cross-collateralized with
17	42-acre vineyard (permits obtained for a single family residence but no plan to	1172 and Live Oak) \$19,040,509.25 (per the Motion for Relief)
18	proceed with construction at this point)	
19	Debtor will contract with a third party to	Property Taxes: County of San Luis Obispo County Tax
20	farm and will receive revenue from fruit sales.	Collector \$6,618.26
21	suics.	0,010.20
22	The Debtor also owns equipment: varie	ous pumps and irrigation capital equipment;
23	trellis systems, miscellaneous winery equipment.	
24	This case was filed in order to stop a foreclosure sale of the Subject Properties by	
25	Movant and so that the Debtor can reorganize its financial affairs.	
26	In or about 2019, Mr. Russell and the Debtor became acquainted because Mr.	
27	Codding originally approached Mr. Russell w	ith a financial backer and an offer to
28		
ES P		
		5

RESNIK HAYES MORADI LLP

Case 8:20-bk-13014-MW Doc 24 Filed 11/16/20 Entered 11/16/20 20:10:27 Desc Main Document Page 6 of 177

purchase the winery facility and 1172 for \$12,800,000. Mr. Russell declined. On or about
 September 2019, Mr. Russell and Mr. Codding had another agreement with a different
 buyer to lease the vineyard and buy out the winery facility for \$9,800,000. Because the
 Movant refused to decouple its cross-collateralization on the Subject Properties, the
 agreement failed.

In early 2020, Mr. Codding, through another one of his entities worked on an ifcome deal to structure a business plan for Mr. Russell via third party recapitalization. Mr.
Russell was unable to secure such third-party financing and therefore, he sold the above
assets to the Debtor for their appraised value on October 27, 2020. *The payment came in the form of debt assumption and a promissory note to Mr. Russell for the difference between outstanding debt and appraised value*.

The company that Mr. Russell used to operate the above assets is called Rabbit
Ridge Wine Sales, Inc. ("RRWSI") and was acquired by a distinct entity. Mr. Russell is
not a shareholder of, an officer or director of, or have any interest in RRWSI after it was
acquired. It held and holds no land or physical plant assets.

- 16
- 17

b. Progress Since Case Was Filed

The Movant filed its Motion for Relief nine days after the case was filed. The
Debtor filed its *Schedules and Statements* on November 10, 2020 [Docket No. 17]. The
Debtor is in substantial compliance with the requirements of the U.S. Trustee's Office
("UST").

The Debtor is currently negotiating the sale of 1172 for \$11,400,000. The Debtor is also currently negotiating lease agreements of some or all of the vineyard blocks to third party growers. This will generate additional income for the Debtor.

The Debtor believes that with the potential sale of 1172 and/or a refinance with the additional income from the vineyard blocks that it will pay Movant's claim, the property taxes on the Subject Properties, and Mr. Russell's unsecured claim.

Case 8:20-bk-13014-MW Doc 24 Filed 11/16/20 Entered 11/16/20 20:10:27 Desc Main Document Page 7 of 177		
1	III. <u>ARGUMENT</u>	
2	a. 11 U.S.C. §362(d)(1)	
3	The Movant claims that it should be granted relief from the automatic stay under 11	
4	U.S.C. §362(d)(1) based on "cause." Specifically, the Movant asserts that 1) the Movant's	
5	interest in the Subject Properties is not adequately protected and 2) the case was filed in	
6	bad faith.	
7	However, the Debtor proposes to pay adequate protection payments and there is an	
8	equity cushion of 25.33%. Thus, there is no cause to grant relief.	
9		
10	<i>i.</i> Adequate protection	
11	The Debtor offers Movant the following adequate protection:	
12	- Monthly adequate protection payments of \$30,400, starting December 1, 2020,	
13	through confirmation of a plan of reorganization ("plan"), or until a stipulation	
14	for Plan treatment is negotiated, and/or case dismissal.	
15	The source of the adequate protection payments is the rental income from Live Oak	
16	and 1172.	
17	Based on the forgoing, the Movant is adequately protected by the Debtor's	
18	proposed adequate protection payments and the Movant's equity cushion of 25.33%, as	
19	analyzed in detail below.	
20		
21	ii. Equity Cushion	
22	Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §362(g), the Movant has the burden of proof on the issue of	
23	equity in the property. In In re Mellor, 734 F.2d 1396, 1401 (9th Cir. 1984), the Ninth	
24	Circuit explained that although the existence of an equity cushion as a method of adequate	
25	protection is not specifically mentioned in §361, it is the classic form of protection for a	
26	secured debt justifying the restraint of lien enforcement by a bankruptcy court. Further,	
27	the existence of an equity cushion, standing alone, can provide adequate protection and	
28		
AYES		

that a 20% cushion has been held to be an adequate protection by itself for a secured
 creditor.

On or about March 17, 2020, the Debtor's sole and managing member, Leroy
Codding - through his other limited liability company, Business Loan Capital - obtained a
certified valuation report of the Subject Properties, prepared by Brant A. Sheaffer of The
Property Sciences Group, Inc. (the "Appraisal Report"). A true and correct copy of the
Appraisal Report is <u>Exhibit "A"</u> to the attached Declaration of Mr. Shaeffer. <u>The</u>
<u>Appraisal Report asserts a value of \$25,500,000 for the Subject Properties.</u>

9 Per the Motion for Relief, the Movant holds a lien on the Subject Properties in the
10 amount of \$19,040,509.25. As such, the Movant is secured by an *equity cushion of*11 \$6,459,490.75 - or 25.33% of the fair market value of the Real Properties.¹ This standing
12 alone provides the Movant adequate protection.

Moreover, the Movant submits *inferior evidence* to support its assertions that the
Subject Properties are allegedly worth \$15,000,000: *a declaration submitted by Mr*. *Russell in his Chapter 11 case* (the "Russell Declaration") [Docket No. 45 in Case 9:20bk-10035-DS].

17 The Russell Declaration was filed as of *February 14, 2020*, about one month prior 18 to the "as of" date of the Appraisal Report. Although an owner can testify to value of their 19 property pursuant to the Rule 701 of the Federal Rules Evidence, Mr. Russell's testimony 20 should be given little weight because he fails to assert or explain that he has the 21 knowledge, skill, experience, training or education to value the Subject Properties or 22 properties of this sort. Hence Mr. Russell's testimony has little credibility.

23 ///

- 24 ///
- 25 ///
- 26 ///
- 27
- 28

¹ Equity cushion calculation (25,500,000-19,040,509.25)/25,500,000) = 25.33%

RESNIK HAYES MORADI LLP

iii. The Debtor Filed this Case in Good Faith

The Movant asserts that the case was filed in bad faith because 1) "this Court
already found there is no equity in the Collateral as part of its prior relief from stay ruling,
and the Debtor should be bound by the same result on collateral estoppel grounds and
because the Debtor is a non-statutory insider of Russell." The Movant asserts and requests
that the Court assume, without submitting evidence, that the Debtor is an insider of Mr.
Russell. *See Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Support of Motion for Relief*[Docket No. 11-1], pg. 8, lines 11-15.

9 The Movant also asserts, without submitting evidence, that the Subject Properties'
10 value "is eroding postpetition due to the accrual of ad valorem real property taxes." *See*11 *Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Support of Motion for Relief* [Docket No. 11-1],
12 pg. 8, lines 17.

In the Ninth Circuit, a bankruptcy filing will only be deemed to be lacking in "good
faith" where the evidence establishes that the debtor's actions constitute a "clear abuse of
the bankruptcy process." *Idaho Dep't of Lands v. Arnold (In re Arnold)*, 806 F.2d 937, 939
(9th Cir. 1986) (emphasis added); see *In re Yanik*, 8 F.3d 34 (9th Cir. 1993). In ruling
upon a good faith challenge, the courts weigh "an amalgam of factors", and "no single fact
or factor will be dispositive." *In re Arnold*, 806 F.2d at 939.

19 "The test is whether a debtor is attempting to unreasonably deter and harass
20 creditors or attempting to effect a speedy, efficient reorganization on a feasible basis. *Id*."
21 *See In re Marsch*, 36 F.3d 825, 828 (9th Cir. 1994)

The good faith inquiry "is essentially directed to two questions: (1) whether the
debtor is trying to abuse the bankruptcy process and invoke the automatic stay for

24 improper purposes; and (2) whether the debtor is really in need of reorganization." *In re*

25 Marshall, 298 B.R. 670, 680-81 (Bankr. C.D. Cal. 2003).

The Bankruptcy Appellate Panel for the Ninth Circuit stated in *In re Thirtieth Place, Inc.*, 30 B.R. 503, 505 (9th Cir. BAP 1983) (quoting *In re Loeb Apartments, Inc.*, 89
F.2d 461, 463 (7th Cir, 1937)):

RESNIK HAYES MORADI LLP

Case	e 8:20-bk-13014-MW Doc 24 Filed 11/16/20 Entered 11/16/20 20:10:27 Desc Main Document Page 10 of 177
1 2 3 4 5	If it is obvious that a debtor is attempting unreasonably to deter and harass creditors in their bona fide efforts to realize upon their securities, good faith does not exist. But if it is apparent that the purpose is not to delay or defeat creditors but rather to put an end to long delays, administration expenses to mortgage foreclosures, and to invoke the operation of the [bankruptcy law] in the spirit indicated by Congress in the legislation, namely, to attempt to effect a speedy efficient reorganization, on a feasible basis good faith cannot be denied.
	The Fifth Circuit stated:
6 7 8 9	Determining whether the debtor's filing for relief is in good faith depends largely upon the bankruptcy court's on-the-spot evaluation of the debtor's financial condition, motives, and the local financial realities. Findings of lack of good faith in proceedings based on §§ 362(d) or 1112(b) have been predicated on certain recurring but non-exclusive patterns, and they are based on a conglomerate of factors rather than on any single datum. Several, but not all, of the following conditions usually exist. The debtor has one asset,
10	such as a tract of undeveloped or developed real property. The secured creditors' liens encumber this tract. There are generally no employees except
11	for the principals, little or no cash flow, and no available sources of income to sustain a plan of reorganization or to make adequate protection payments
12	pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §§ 361, 362(d)(1), 363(e), or 364(d)(1). Typically, there are only a few, if any, unsecured creditors whose claims are relatively
13 14	small. The property has usually been posted for foreclosure because of arrearages on the debt and the debtor has been unsuccessful in defending actions against the foreclosure in state court."
15	Matter of Little Creek Development Co., 779 F.2d 1068, 1072-73 (5th Cir. 1986)
16	In order to determine that an entity is a non-statutory insider, " it is not necessary
17	that a non-statutory insider have actual control; rather, the question "is whether there is a
18	close relationship [between debtor and creditor] and anything other than closeness to
19	suggest that any transactions were not conducted at arm's length."" In re Winstar Comm,
20	554 F.3d 382, 396-97 (3d Cir. 2009); See In re U.S. Med., 531 F.3d 1272, 1277 (10th Cir.
21	2008); S.Rep. No. 95-989, at 25 (1978).
22	The appeal in In re Winstar Comm, arose "out of the self-described 'strategic
23	partnership' between Winstar Communications, Inc. (the bankrupt corporation) and Lucent
24	Technologies Inc. (one of Winstar's primary creditors and suppliers), [and] presents us
25	with an issue of first impression — when a creditor can be considered a non-statutory
26	insider for purposes of extending the time for recovery of preferential payments."
27	(Emphasis Added). In re Winstar Comm, 554 F.3d at 388; See U.S. Bank N.A. v. Village at
28	Lakeridge, LLC, 814 F.3d 993, 1000 (9th Cir. 2016).
AYES	

"Whether a creditor is an insider is a factual inquiry that must be conducted on a
case-by-case basis." U.S. Bank N.A. v. Village at Lakeridge, LLC, 814 F.3d at 1000; See,
e.g., In re Friedman, 126 B.R. 63, 67, 70–71 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 1991) (describing in detail
the alleged insiders' relationships with the debtor); Miller v. Schuman (In re Schuman),81
B.R. 583, 586–87 (9th Cir. BAP 1987) (per curiam) (analyzing facts to determine whether
the debtor and alleged insider had a sufficiently close relationship to warrant finding
insider status).

Insider status may be based on a professional or business relationship with the 8 9 debtor, in addition to the Code's per se classifications, where such relationship compels the 10 conclusion that the individual or entity has a relationship with the debtor, close enough to gain an advantage attributable simply to affinity rather than to the course of business 11 dealings between the parties. In re Friedman, 126 B.R. at 70. "Courts may not bypass this 12 13 intensive factual analysis by finding that a third party became an insider as a matter of law when he acquired a claim from an insider." U.S. Bank N.A. v. Village at Lakeridge, LLC, 14 15 814 F.3d at 1000.

Here, the Movant requests that the Court make assumptions, without
providing evidence, that would lead it to make a finding that the case was filed in bad
faith. It simply has not shown any cause for the Court to grant relief pursuant to
§362(d)(1).

First, the Subject Properties have significant equity as shown by the Appraisal
Report. The equity cushion is 25.00%, which is considerably above the threshold of 20%.
The Movant relies on the opinion of Mr. Russell for the value without providing a
foundation as to his expertise or skill at valuing these properties. The Court should give
Mr. Russell's opinion little weight.

Second, the Movant asserts "this Court" already found that the Subject Properties
lacked equity and the Debtor "should be bound by the same result on collateral estoppel
grounds...." *This Court has clearly not yet made any findings.*

Mr. Russell filed his Chapter 11 in the Northern District. That Bankruptcy Court 1 2 made a decision on value based on the record in front of it. However, property values are not stagnant, but fluctuate. To that end, the Debtor obtained the Appraisal Report valuing 3 the Subject Properties as \$25,500,000 as of March 2020 – this was about a month after the 4 5 Russell Declaration. Moreover, the Appraisal Report is the basis of the Debtor's purchase price of the Subject Properties; certainly, the Debtor would not pay \$10,000,000 more for 6 7 these properties than they are worth. At a minimum, the parties should be given an 8 opportunity to obtain current appraisals so that the Court can determine value.

9 Third, the Movant asserts that the Debtor is an insider of Mr. Russell. The totality
10 of the "evidence" attached to the Motion for Relief to show that the Debtor is an insider is
11 just a collection of emails from the Movant's counsel and Mr. Russell's counsel discussing
12 Mr. Codding's role in working to negotiate a new loan on behalf of Mr. Russell... *It*13 should be noted that the Debtor is not mentioned in the email chain and Mr. Codding is
14 referred to as the managing partner of Fluid Advisors.

15 These emails in no way demonstrate that the relationship between the Debtor and 16 Mr. Russell compels a conclusion that the relationship was close enough for the Debtor to 17 gain an advantage attributable simply to affinity rather than to the course of business 18 dealings between the parties. The Debtor requests that the Court set an evidentiary hearing 19 to determine whether the Debtor is an insider of Mr. Russell and whether Mr. Russell is an 20 insider of the Debtor.

Fourth, the Movant asserts that the property taxes are causing the Subject Properties to decline in value. The Movant provides no evidence as to how or why the property taxes are causing the properties to decline in value. The Movant has an equity cushion of 25%. Nonetheless, the Debtor still proposes to pay the Movant monthly adequate protection payments, which will consist of all rental income generated by the Subject Properties.

- _____
- 27 ///
- 28 ///

Fifth, the Movant asserts that further cause exists based on a discussion in *Matter of Little Creek Development Co.* of what facts typically exist when showing that a case was
 filed in bad faith. Again, the Movant requests that the Court find bad faith without
 sufficient evidence.

The Motion for Relief was filed nine days after the Debtor filed the case and before
the Debtor had not yet filed its Schedules and Statements. The Meeting of Creditors
pursuant to 11 U.S.C §341(a) and Chapter 11 Status Conference have yet to be held. The
Debtor has not been given an opportunity to explain its plan for reorganization. It is
mystifying how the Movant can assert, without evidence in support, that there is "no
chance of reorganizing and was filed merely to delay FCW's foreclosure remedies."

Additionally, this case is not just a two-party dispute. Based on the equity in the
Subject Properties, the Debtor will seek to not only pay Movant's claim in full but also the
property taxes and Mr. Russell's unsecured claim in full.

14 Thus, the Movant provides no evidence showing cause for granting of relief.

- 15
- 16

b. 11 U.S.C. $\S362(d)(2)(A)$ and (d)(2)(B)

Movant argues that it should be granted relief from the automatic stay under 11
U.S.C. §362(d)(2)(A) and (d)(2)(B) because there is no equity in the Subject Properties
and the properties are not necessary to an effective reorganization.

As shown above, the Subject Properties have significant equity, but the lack of equity in a property for a debtor or the estate <u>alone</u> is simply not sufficient grounds for relief from the stay if the property is necessary to an effective reorganization that is in process.

The Supreme Court, in its seminal decision, *United Sav. Ass'n v. Timbers of Inwood Forest Assoc. Ltd.*, 484 U.S. 365 (1988), made it clear that merely establishing a lack of equity is not enough to entitle a secured creditor to relief from stay where the debtor can establish that the property is necessary to an effective reorganization. The Court held that in determining whether a property is necessary for an effective reorganization, a debtor

must show that there is "a reasonable possibility of a successful reorganization within a 1 reasonable time." Id. at 376. 2 3 **Reorganization is in Process** 4 i. The Debtor filed its Schedules and Statements on November 10, 2020 [Docket No. 5 17]. The Debtor is in substantial compliance with the requirements of the U.S. Trustee's 6 7 Office ("UST"). 8 The Debtor is currently negotiating the sale of 1172 for \$11,400,000. The Debtor is 9 also currently negotiating lease agreements of some or all of the vineyard blocks to third 10 party growers. This will generate additional income for the Debtor. The Debtor believes that with the potential sale of 1172 and/or a refinance with the 11 additional income from the vineyard blocks that it will be pay Movant's claim, the 12 property taxes on the Subject Properties, and Mr. Russell's unsecured claim. 13 14 15 ii. The Property is Necessary for an Effective Reorganization 16 The Subject Properties are necessary to an effective reorganization as the Debtor intends to sell 1172 for \$11,400,000. The Debtor anticipates that with the net sale 17 proceeds from 1172, along with for the rental income, and the third party revenue sharing, 18 19 it will be able to pay all claims in full during this bankruptcy case. Therefore, the Subject 20 Properties are vital to its financial "bottom-line." 21 If the Movant is granted relief from the stay, the Debtor will lose all of its assets and 22 income source, which will be disastrous to Debtor's financial rehabilitation. In fact, there 23 will be no reorganization without the properties and the income they generate or will 24 generate in the future. Thus, the Subject Property are necessary for an effective 25 reorganization. 26 11 U.S.C. §362(d)(4) 27 С. 28 The Movant is requesting extraordinary relief.

RESNIK HAYES MORADI LLP

Case 8:20-bk-13014-MW Doc 24 Filed 11/16/20 Entered 11/16/20 20:10:27 Desc Main Document Page 15 of 177

1	The Court must find three elements to be present for the Movant to obtain relief
2	under §362(d)(4). First, the debtor's bankruptcy filing must have been part of a scheme.
3	Second, the object of the scheme must be to delay, hinder, or defraud creditors. Third, the
4	scheme must involve either (a) the transfer of some interest in the real property without the
5	secured creditor's consent or court approval, or (b) multiple bankruptcy filings affecting
6	the property. In re First Yorkshire Holdings, Inc., 470 B.R. 864, 870 (9th Cir. BAP 2012).
7	For the court to grant relief under § 362(d)(4), and trigger two years of prospective
8	relief as to the subject real property, it must affirmatively find that the three elements
9	above are present. Id. at 871; See In re Abdul Muhaimin, 343 B.R. 159, 169
10	(Bankr.D.Maryland 2006) ("The precise language is: `if the court finds' For the court to
11	make such an affirmative finding, it must have proof of the elements required to justify
12	such relief.").
13	The Ninth Circuit stated that,
14	"Certain "badges of fraud" strongly suggest that a transaction's purpose is to defraud creditors unless some other convincing explanation appears. These
15	factors, not all of which need be present, include 1) a close relationship between the transferor and the transferee; 2) that the transfer was in
16 17	anticipation of a pending suit; 3) that the transferor Debtor was insolvent or in poor financial condition at the time; 4) that all or substantially all of the Debtor's property was transferred; 5) that the transfer so completely depleted
18	the Debtor's assets that the creditor has been hindered or delayed in recovering any part of the judgment; and 6) that the Debtor received
19	inadequate consideration for the transfer." In re Woodfield, 978 F.2d 516, 518 (9th Cir. 1992).
20	Here, the Movant desires to obtain possession of the Subject Properties and
21	foreclose because they have significant equity. A foreclosure sale should easily pay the
22	Movant's claim in full. As such, the Movant makes wild accusations about schemes that
23	do not exist and invent facts that suit its narrative.
24	However, the Debtor can provide a "convincing explanation" as to why Mr. Russell
25	
26	transferred the Subject Properties to the Debtor for valuable consideration as explained
27	above. Mr. Russell could not obtain refinancing or sell the properties. Mr. Russell lives
28	and works on Live Oak. If the Movant was able to foreclosure, the new owner would evict
~	

Case 8:20-bk-13014-MW Doc 24 Filed 11/16/20 Entered 11/16/20 20:10:27 Desc Main Document Page 16 of 177

Mr. Russell. He would need to move and lose his livelihood (the winery facility on Live
 Oak). Rather than lose everything, Mr. Russell transferred title to the Debtor in exchange
 for *debt assumption and a promissory note to Mr. Russell for the difference between outstanding debt and appraised value consisting of \$6,440,000*. The transfer was for
 valuable consideration. The Debtor requests that the Court set an evidentiary hearing to
 determine whether transfer was for valuable consideration.

7 Furthermore, the badges of fraud are not present here. There is no close 8 relationship between the Debtor and Mr. Russell. The Movant submits no evidence 9 supporting this allegation. The Debtor concedes that the transfer for value was on the eve 10 of foreclosure, but that is not per se indicative of fraud. The Debtor does not know the financial condition of Mr. Russell, but the Subject Properties' value exceeds all liens on 11 them. The purpose of the transfer was to allow the Debtor to pay all claims in full and to 12 13 maximize the ability for the Subject Properties to generate income. The Debtor desires to pay the Movant in full within a reasonable amount of time. As shown above, the transfer 14 15 was for valuable consideration.

16 Thus, there is simply no scheme to defraud and for that reason, the Movant is not17 entitled to *in rem* relief.

- 18 ///
- 19 ///
- 20 ///
- 21 ///
- 22 ///
- 23 ///
- 24 ///
- 25 ///
- 26 ///
- 27 ///
- 28 ///

Case	e 8:20-bk-13014-MW Doc 24 Filed 11/16/20 Entered 11/16/20 20:10:27 Desc Main Document Page 17 of 177
1	
1	
2	IV. <u>CONCLUSION</u>
3	WHEREFORE, the Debtor respectfully requests that the Court deny the Motion for
4	Relief and/or set an evidentiary hearing to determine the Subject Properties' value, to
5	determine whether the Debtor is an insider of Mr. Russell, and/or determine whether the
6	transfer between Mr. Russell and the Debtor was for valuable consideration.
7	
8	Dated: November 16, 2020 RESNIK HAYES MORADI LLP
9	By: /s/ Roksana D. Moradi-Brovia
10	Roksana D. Moradi-Brovia W. Sloan Youkstetter
11	Attorneys for Debtor Northern Holdings, LLC
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
RESNIK HAYES MORADI LLP	17

Case 8:20-bk-13014-MW Doc 24 Filed 11/16/20 Entered 11/16/20 20:10:27 Desc Main Document Page 18 of 177				
1	DECLARATION OF LEROY CODDING			
2				
3	I, Leroy Codding, declare as follows:			
4				
5	1. I am over the age of 18. I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth			
6	herein, and if called as a witness, I could and would testify competently with respect			
7	thereto. Where facts are alleged upon information and belief, I believe them to be true.			
8	2. I am the sole and managing member and the custodian of records of			
9	Northern Holdings, LLC, the debtor and debtor-in-possession herein (the "Debtor"). I am			
10	authorized to make decisions for the Debtor.			
11	3. The Debtor is a Minnesota LLC created on April 30, 2012 that I created for			
12	the purpose of acquiring and restructuring a wine importer/distribution company in St.			
13	Paul, MN. Subsequently, the business held a minority stake in a newly formed import			
14	company and later disbanded in spinoffs.			
15	4. I have been in the wine business since 1993, which I entered upon graduating			
16	from Cal Poly with a degree in agricultural business and a concentration in wine			
17	marketing. I grew up in a farming family, which is what drew me to agriculture.			
18	5. For about a decade, I was chiefly involved in sales and marketing/sales			
19	management in wine. Then in my early 30s, I became involved in operations. There was a			
20	basis for this in my formal education and training.			
21	6. Thereafter, I was responsible for operations, finance, sales/ marketing and			
22	public relations for a family owned winery: Talbott Vineyards. We were the largest			
23	private vineyard holder in Monterey county.			
24	7. My area of responsibility included 1200 acres under vine in three distinct			
25	sub-AVAs across five parcels. I was also responsible for a winery facility producing			
26	120,000 9L cases annually and financial management, including profit and loss			
27	responsibility, as well as cash flow was under my purview.			
28				

8. During this time, we were remitting about \$2 million quarterly in cash to the
 parent company, Robert Talbott Inc.

3 9. After leaving day to day responsibilities at Talbott, I remained on the board
4 until the wine company was sold to Gallo some years later.

5 10. During that time, I ran a wine distribution and import company with the
6 purpose of getting it rehabilitated and sold. When we accomplished this sale to Southern
7 Wine and Spirits, I restructured another small wine wholesaler/ importer. This was when
8 the Northern Holding LLC was founded. Subsequent to that, I was responsible for
9 initiating the business plan and obtaining funding for a ground-up national import
10 company with a portfolio from Italy, France, Chile, Argentina and South Africa.

11 11. I make this declaration in support of the Debtor's opposition to the *Motion*12 *for Relief from the Automatic Stay under 11 U.S.C. §362(d)(1), (2), and (4)* (the "Motion
13 for Relief") [Docket No. 11] filed by Farm Credit West, FLCA (the "Movant")

14 12. The Debtor commenced its bankruptcy case by filing for relief under Chapter
15 11 of 11 U.S.C. §101 et seq. (the "Bankruptcy Code") on October 28, 2020. The Debtor is
16 operating its business and managing its financial affairs as a DIP pursuant to §§1107 and
17 1108 of the Bankruptcy Code.

18 13. The Debtor owns and operates three real properties as set forth below. The
19 Debtor acquired the above properties from Erich Russell ("Mr. Russell") on October 27,
20 2020.

- 21 ///
- 22 ///
- 23 ///
- 24 ///
- 25 ///
- 26 ///
- 27 ///
- 28 ///

Case 8:20-bk-13014-MW Doc 24 Filed 11/16/20 Entered 11/16/20 20:10:27 Desc Main Document Page 20 of 177

	Live Oak"	FMV \$9,700,000
	380 Live Oak Road aso Robles, CA 93446	1st TD: <u>Farm Credit</u> (cross-collateralized with
2	homes on the property.	1172 and Texas Road) \$19,040,509.25 (per the Motion for Relie
10	nit #1 is vacant (tenant moved 0/20/2020); new tenants to move in on/or bout 12/1/2020 for \$1,800/month.	Property Taxes: County of San Luis Obispo County Tax Collector \$13,625.84
(se	enant #2 is former owner Erich Russell ee below) who pays \$12,000; lease ends 1/2022; rent is current.	ψ13,023.01
fa	ebtor will contract with a third party to rm and will receive revenue from fruit lles.	
"1	172"	FMV \$11,500,000
Pa W re W W is Cu \$1 Aj \$1 cu	 Yan Marcos Road aso Robles, CA 93446 <i>Vinery facility (42,000 sq ft) and esidential apartment.</i> <i>Vinery tenant is Rabbit Ridge Wine Vinery.</i> Base rate of \$15,000/month; rent current. Profit share of third party ustom Crush revenue of approximately 11,400/month. Billed monthly in arrears. partment tenant is Bill Tolar who pays 1,600; lease ends 6/30/2021; rent is urrent. <i>ebtor will contract with a third party to</i> 	1st TD: <u>Farm Credit</u> (cross-collateralized with Liv Oak and Texas Road) \$19,040,509.25 (per the Motion for Relies) Property Taxes: County of San Luis Obispo County Tax Collector \$3,200,000
ſ.	rm and will receive revenue from fruit	

Case 8:20-bk-13014-MW Doc 24 Filed 11/16/20 Entered 11/16/20 20:10:27 Desc

	Main Document Page 21 of 177			
1	"Texas Road"	FMV \$4,300,000		
2	Address or only APN 027-145-022	1st TD:		
3	42-acre vineyard (permits obtained for a	Farm Credit (cross-collateralized with 1172 and Live Oak)		
4	single family residence but no plan to proceed with construction at this point)	\$19,040,509.25 (per the Motion for Relief)		
5	proceed with construction at this point)	Property Taxes:		
6	Debtor will contract with a third party to	County of San Luis Obispo County Tax		
7	farm and will receive revenue from fruit sales.	Collector \$6,618.26		
8	14. Mr. Russell is not a member of the Debtor. I was not or currently an insider			
9	of Mr. Russell. We are not related. We have a business relationship that will be described			
10	below.			
11	15. The Debtor also owns equipme	nt: various pumps and irrigation capital		
12	equipment; trellis systems, miscellaneous winery equipment.			
13	16. This case was filed in order to s	stop a foreclosure sale of the Subject		
14	Properties by the Movant and so that the Deb	tor can reorganize its financial affairs.		
15	17. In or about 2019, Mr. Russell a	nd I became acquainted because I originally		
10				

¹⁶ approached Mr. Russell with a financial backer and an offer to purchase the winery facility

¹⁷ and 1172 for \$12,800,000. Mr. Russell declined. On or about September 2019, Mr.

¹⁸ Russell and I had another agreement with a different buyer to lease the vineyard and buy

¹⁹ out the winery facility for \$9,800,000. Because the Movant refused to decouple its cross-

²⁰ collateralization on the Subject Properties, the agreement failed.

18. In early 2020, I, through another one of my entities worked on an if-come
deal to structure a business plan for Mr. Russell via third party recapitalization. Mr.
Russell was unable to secure such third-party financing and therefore, he sold the above
assets to the Debtor for their appraised value on October 27, 2020. *The payment came in the form of debt assumption and a promissory note to Mr. Russell for the difference between outstanding debt and appraised value*. I believe that the transfer was for valuable
consideration.

1 19. The company that Mr. Russell used to operate the above assets is called
 2 Rabbit Ridge Wine Sales, Inc. ("RRWSI") and was acquired by a distinct entity. Mr.
 3 Russell is not a shareholder of, an officer or director of, or have any interest in RRWSI
 4 after it was acquired. It held and holds no land or physical plant assets.

5 20. The Movant filed its Motion for Relief nine days after the case was filed.
6 The Debtor filed its *Schedules and Statements* on November 10, 2020 [Docket No. 17].
7 The Debtor is in substantial compliance with the requirements of the U.S. Trustee's Office
8 ("UST").

9 21. I am currently negotiating the sale of 1172 for \$11,400,000. I am also
10 currently negotiating lease agreements of some or all of the vineyard blocks to third party
11 growers. This will generate additional income for the Debtor.

12 22. I believe that with the potential sale of 1172 and/or a refinance with the
13 additional income from the vineyard blocks that it will pay the Movant's claim, the
14 property taxes on the Subject Properties, and Mr. Russell's unsecured claim.

15 23. The Subject Properties are vital to its financial "bottom-line." I believe that
16 the Subject Properties are necessary for an effective reorganization.

17 24. On or about March 17, 2020, I - through my other limited liability company,
18 Business Loan Capital - obtained a certified valuation report of the Subject Properties,

19 prepared by The Property Sciences Group, Inc. (the "Appraisal Report"). I obtained the

20 appraisal for potential financing on the Subject Properties.

21

25

26

27

28

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of Americathat the foregoing is true and correct.

 24
 Executed on Monday, November 16, 2020, at ______, California.

SEE NEXT PAGE

Leroy Codding *Declarant*

1	19. The company that Mr. Russell used to operate the above assets is called		
2	Rabbit Ridge Wine Sales, Inc. ("RRWSI") and was acquired by a distinct entity. Mr.		
3	Russell is not a shareholder of, an officer or director of, or have any interest in RRWSI		
4	after it was acquired. It held and holds no land or physical plant assets.		
5	20. The Movant filed its Motion for Relief nine days after the case was filed.		
6	6 The Debtor filed its <i>Schedules and Statements</i> on November 10, 2020 [Docket No. 17].		
7	The Debtor is in substantial compliance with the requirements of the U.S. Trustee's Office		
8	("UST").		
9	21. I am currently negotiating the sale of 1172 for \$11,400,000. I am also		
10	currently negotiating lease agreements of some or all of the vineyard blocks to third party		
11	growers. This will generate additional income for the Debtor.		
12	22. I believe that with the potential sale of 1172 and/or a refinance with the		
13	additional income from the vineyard blocks that it will pay the Movant's claim, the		
14	property taxes on the Subject Properties, and Mr. Russell's unsecured claim.		
15	23. The Subject Properties are vital to its financial "bottom-line." I believe that		
16	the Subject Properties are necessary for an effective reorganization.		
17	24. On or about March 17, 2020, I - through my other limited liability company,		
18	Business Loan Capital - obtained a certified valuation report of the Subject Properties,		
19	prepared by The Property Sciences Group, Inc. (the "Appraisal Report"). I obtained the		
20	appraisal for potential financing on the Subject Properties.		
21			
22	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America		
23	that the foregoing is true and correct.		
24	Executed on Monday, November 16, 2020, at Paso Robles, California.		
25	DocuSigned by:		
26			
27	Leroy Codding Declarant		
28			
RESNIK HAYES MORADI LLP	22		

Case	e 8:20-bk-13014-MW Doc 24 Filed 11/16/20 Entered 11/16/20 20:10:27 Desc Main Document Page 24 of 177				
1	DECLARATION OF BRANT A. SHEAFFER				
2					
3	I, Brant A. Sheaffer, declare and state as follows:				
4					
5	1. I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth herein, and if called as a				
6	witness, I could and would testify competently with respect thereto. Where facts are				
7	alleged upon information and belief, I believe them to be true.				
8	2. I am a California certified appraiser (State Certification No. AG033878) and				
9	have been in good standing in the State of California. I am a member of the Appraisal				
10	Institute ("MAI") (member #474916).				
11	3. I am employed as the Senior Vice President – Managing Director of the				
12	Commercial Division for The Property Sciences Group, Inc. ("PSG"). PSG's offices are				
13	located at 130 North Brand Boulevard, Suite 415, Glendale, CA 91203.				
14	4. I have prepared certified valuation reports for a multitude of property types,				
15	including: retail, commercial, office, multi-family, industrial, residential subdivisions and				
16	land. I have also prepared certified valuation reports of eight wineries and vineyards. I am				
17	qualified to make this declaration based upon my extensive knowledge of appraising				
18	wineries and vineyards.				
19	5. Leroy Codding, on behalf of Business Loan Capital LLC, retained me to				
20	prepare a value appraisal report of three properties located at:				
21	- 1172 San Marcos Road, Paso Robles, CA 93446 – APN 026-104-001 ("1172");				
22	- 2380 Live Oak Road, Paso Robles, CA 93446 – APN 026-342-039 ("Live Oak");				
23	- APN 027-145-022, no street address for this property, access through 1172				
24	("Texas Road").				
25	6. Collectively, these real properties are hereinafter referred to as the "Subject				
26	Properties."				
27					
28					
	2				

1	7. I thereafter prepared a report which values the Subject Properties at		
2	\$25,500,000, as of March 17, 2020 (the "Appraisal Report"). Attached hereto as Exhibit		
3	" <u>A</u> " is a true and correct copy of the Appraisal Report.		
4	8. In the course of preparing the Appraisal Report, I followed the practices and	ł	
5	procedures required of my profession in determining the value of wineries and vineyards.		
6	9. Other than as set forth herein, I have no preexisting relationship with Leroy		
7	Codding, Business Loan Capital LLC or Northern Holdings, LLC, the debtor and debtor-		
8	in-possession in Chapter 11 Case No. 8:20-bk-13014-MW.		
9	10. I do not own an interest in the Subject Properties and have not been offered	l	
10	an interest in it.		
11			
12	I declare under penalty of perjury pursuant to the laws of the United States of		
13	America that the foregoing is true and correct.		
14			
15	Executed on November, 2020, at, California.		
16	SEE NEXT PAGE		
17	Brant A. Sheaffer Declarant		
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27 28			
28			
	3		

Case	8:20-bk-13014-MW Doc 24 Filed 11/16/20 Entered 11/16/20 20:10:27 Desc Main Document Page 26 of 177				
1	7. I thereafter prepared a report which values the Subject Properties at				
2	\$25,500,000, as of March 17, 2020 (the "Appraisal Report"). Attached hereto as <u>Exhibit</u>				
3	"A" is a true and correct copy of the Appraisal Report.				
4	8. In the course of preparing the Appraisal Report, I followed the practices and				
5	procedures required of my profession in determining the value of wineries and vineyards.				
6	9. Other than as set forth herein, I have no preexisting relationship with Leroy				
7	Codding, Business Loan Capital LLC or Northern Holdings, LLC, the debtor and debtor-				
8	in-possession in Chapter 11 Case No. 8:20-bk-13014-MW.				
9	10. I do not own an interest in the Subject Properties and have not been offered				
10	an interest in it.				
11					
12	I declare under penalty of perjury pursuant to the laws of the United States of				
13	America that the foregoing is true and correct.				
14					
15	Executed on November 16th, 2020, at Pleasant Hill, California.				
16					
17	Brood Shuffer Brant A. Sheaffer				
18	Declarant				
19					
20					
21 22					
22					
23 24					
24 25					
25					
20 27					
27					
20					

Case 8:20-bk-13014-MW Doc 24 Filed 11/16/20 Entered 11/16/20 20:10:27 Desc Main Document Page 27 of 177

EXHIBIT A

Case 8:20-bk-13014-MW Doc 24 Filed 11/16/20 Entered 11/16/20 20:10:27 Desc Main Document Page 28 of 177

Property Sciences





PROPERTY

1172 San Marcos Road & 2380 Live Oak Road Paso Robles, CA 93446

PSG File Number: 855971

EFFECTIVE DATE:As Is: March 17, 2020DATE OF REPORT:March 31, 2020PREPARED FOR:Business Loan CapitalPREPARED BY:The Property Sciences Group Inc.



March 31, 2020

Ms. Carrie Beavers Business Loan Capital 15375 Barranca Parkway, Suite B-202 Irvine, CA 92618

Dear Ms. Beavers:

In response to your request we have completed an appraisal of the property indicated in the following table:

Property Type	Winery & Vineyards
Address	1172 San Marcos Road
	& 2380 Live Oak Road
City, State, Zip Code	Paso Robles, CA 93446
Report Type	Appraisal Report
Effective Date	March 17, 2020
Interest Appraised	Fee Simple
Intended Use	Collateral Lending
Site Area	18,168,440 sq. ft. (417.09 acres)
Gross Building Area	57,181 sq. ft.
Number of Units	

The value stated herein is based on our understanding of the site and improvement descriptions as represented to us by the owner's representative, client, public records, our inspection and other available sources. It is your responsibility to read the report and inform the appraisers of any errors or omissions you are aware of prior to utilizing it. This report is for the exclusive use of the client indicated above, and no other party shall have any right to rely on any service provided by The Property Sciences Group, Inc. without prior written consent. Except as noted within the engagement letter, release of this appraisal to any third party without authorization from The Property Sciences Group, Inc. is expressly prohibited. The Property Sciences Group, Inc. will not be responsible for any actions or occurrences as a result of unauthorized use of this report.

The following conclusions are premised on the Scope of Work as set forth throughout the attached report, Appraisers' Certification and the Assumptions and Limiting Conditions as cited in the attached report, as well as the facts and circumstances as of the valuation date. In addition, our appraisal is in compliance with the

The Property Sciences Group, Inc. www.PropSci.com

Seattle 1830 Cascade Ave S, Suite 225 Seattle, WA 98188 Tel:(206) 319-5215 Fax(925) 273-9876 Florida 4651 Salisbury Road, Suite 4012 Jacksonville, FL 32256 Tel:(925) 246-7300 Fax(925) 273-9876

Northern California 395 Taylor Boulevard, Suite 250 Pleasant Hill, CA 94523 Tel:(925) 246-7300 Fax(925) 273-9876 Southern California 130 North Brand Boulevard, Suite 415 Glendale, CA 91203 Tel:(213) 443-4500 Fax(925) 273-9876

Case 8:20-bk-13014-MW Doc 24 Filed 11/16/20 Entered 11/16/20 20:10:27 Desc Main Document Page 30 of 177

requirements of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice (USPAP), adopted by the Appraisal Standards Board of The Appraisal Foundation; and Title XI, Real Estate Appraisal Reform Amendments, of the Financial Institutions Reform, Recovery, and Enforcement Act of 1989 (FIRREA). The report also complies with the Supplemental Standards of Appraisal Practice and Code of Professional Ethics of the Appraisal Institute. We certify that this assignment was not based on a requested minimum valuation, a specific valuation, or the approval of a loan. In addition, we have read, understood, and satisfied the Competency Provision of the USPAP.

An "Extraordinary Assumption" is defined by USPAP as "an assumption directly related to a specific assignment of the effective date of the assignment results, which if found to be false, could alter the appraiser's opinions or conclusions." USPAP further states that "Extraordinary Assumptions" presume as fact otherwise uncertain information about physical, legal, or economic characteristics of the subject property; or about conditions external to the property, such as market trends; or about the integrity of data used in the analysis.

A "Hypothetical Condition" is defined by USPAP as "a condition, directly related to a specific assignment, which is contrary to what is known by the appraiser to exist on the effective date of the assignment results, but is used for the purpose of the analysis." USPAP further states that "Hypothetical Conditions" are contrary to known facts about physical, legal or economic characteristics of the subject property; or about conditions external to the property such as market conditions or trends; or about the integrity of the data used in the analysis. The use of extraordinary assumptions and/or hypothetical conditions may have affected the assignment results.

Inspection of Residential Villa - On the date of inspection we were unable to inspect the interior of residential villa on the Live Oak Road vineyard property as an elderly family member was under a shelter in place order due to the outbreak of the COVID-19 virus. We were provided with a few photos of the interior and have relied on these along with discussions with the owner. The appraisal analysis that the property is in good condition and that the condition and quality of interior finishes is consistent with the details we were provided. Any change in these assumptions may result in different value estimates.

Delinquent Property Taxes - According to the County Tax Collector, as of the date of the report, taxes for two of the three parcels that make up the subject property are delinquent. The taxes for 026-342-039, the Live Oak Road Vineyard and Residence are delinquent resulting from unpaid prior year taxes for 2019. The outstanding redemption balance is \$927.79 according to the assessor's records. The taxes for 026-104-001, the San Marcos Road Winery and Vineyard are delinquent resulting in unpaid taxes from 2005 through 2018. The outstanding balance for this parcel totals \$2,814,407.80. There may be interest accruing on these delinquent amounts and the balance due may be more than the amount noted or the owner may have already instituted a re-payment plan. This appraisal analysis assumes that all tax payments, liens and judgments will be made, or are, current and that there will be no adverse effect on the subject property resulting from unpaid taxes, liens or judgments.

As of the effective date, the short and long-term impact on the real estate market from the COVID-19 virus is unknown. However, due to the virus, it is reasonable to assume that current market conditions will extend marketing times beyond the recent historical levels. Additionally, it could have a negative impact on values, but the impact is still unknown

The effective date of the As Is Market Value is March 17, 2020, the date of inspection. As a result of our study and investigation, we conclude that the estimated As Is Market Value of the subject property's Fee Simple Estate, predicated on an estimated exposure time of up to 12 months, as of March 17, 2020, was:

AS IS MARKET VALUE Twenty-Five Million Five Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$25,500,000)

Thank you for the opportunity to provide this service. Respectfully submitted,

The Property Sciences Group, Inc.

Rea a Sheeffe

Brant A. Sheaffer, MAI VP, Regional Manager AG033878 Exp. 2/14/2021 Phone: (206) 319-5215 Email: Brant.Sheaffer@PropSci.com

within O. Mul

Arthur O. Neudek, MAI AI-GRS Managing Director 3002063 Exp. 4/7/2020 Phone: (925) 246-7342 Email: Arthur.Neudek@PropSci.com

	Transmittal Letter
	Table of Contents
	Certification
	Introduction
	Page
I.	SUBJECT PROPERTY
١١.	GEOGRAPHIC DESCRIPTION
111.	MARKET OVERVIEW
IV.	SITE AND BUILDING DESCRIPTION
V.	HIGHEST AND BEST USE
VI.	VALUATION METHODOLOGY67
VII.	COST APPROACH
VIII.	RECONCILIATION AND CONCLUSION

We certify that, to the best of our knowledge and belief,

Property

the statements of fact contained in this report are true and correct.

The reported analyses, opinions, and conclusions are limited only by the reported assumptions and limiting conditions, and are our personal, unbiased professional analyses, opinions, and conclusions.

We have no present or prospective interest in the property that is the subject of this report, and we have no personal interest or bias with respect to the parties involved; any specified interest or bias has not affected the impartiality of my opinions and conclusions.

Our engagement in this assignment was not contingent upon developing or reporting predetermined results.

Our compensation for completing this assignment is not contingent upon the development or reporting of a predetermined value or direction in value that favors the cause of the client, the amount of the value, the attainment of a stipulated result, or the occurrence of a subsequent event directly related to the intended use of this appraisal.

Our analyses, opinions, and conclusions were developed, and this report has been prepared, in conformity with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice (USPAP), Title XI of the Financial Institutions Reform, Recovery, and Enforcement Act of 1989 (FIRREA), and the requirements of the Code of Professional Ethics and the Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice of the Appraisal Institute.

The use of this report is subject to the requirements of the Appraisal Institute relating to review by its duly authorized representatives.

Brant A. Sheaffer, MAI has not inspected the subject property but has prepared the report. Arthur O. Neudek, MAI AI-GRS did not inspect the subject property but has supervised the appraisal process, made a thorough review of the work file including the finished report, recommended changes where appropriate, and concurs with the analysis and value conclusions stated herein. Mr. Sheaffer and Mr. Neudek have met the minimum continuing education and ethics requirements for Members of the Appraisal Institute. The Property Sciences Group Inc. accepts full and complete responsibility for the appraisal.

The undersigned hereby acknowledge that they have the necessary education and appropriate experience to complete the appraisal assignment in a competent manner.

The appraisers acted in an independent capacity and not as employees/partners, principals, nor agents of the clients institution.

The appraiser has not performed appraisal services, as appraiser or in any other capacity, for the subject property within the three years immediately preceding acceptance of this assignment.

Robert Barnes (AG041310 exp. 11/16/2020) a certified general real estate appraiser and employee of The Property Sciences Group Inc. provided significant professional assistance to the persons signing this report by performing an interior/exterior inspection of the subject and furnishing photographs, descriptions and measurements of the site and improvements. No other person(s) provided significant professional assistance to the person(s) signing this report.

o a shaffe

Brant A. Sheaffer, MAI VP, Regional Manager

AG033878 Exp. 2/14/2021 March 31, 2020

Date

little 6 Mull

Arthur O. Neudek, MAI AI-GRS Managing Director

3002063 Exp. 4/7/2020 March 31, 2020

Date

PROPERTY AND REPORT SUMMARY		
Property Type Winery & Vineyards		
Address	1172 San Marcos Road & 2380 Live Oak Road	
City, State, Zip	Paso Robles, CA 93446	
APN(s)	026-104-001,027-145-022,026-342-039	
Zoning	AG - Agricultural (San Luis Obispo County)	
Ownership	Erich Russell	
Interest Appraised	Fee Simple	
Intended Use of Appraisal Collateral Lending		
Site Area	18,168,440 sq. ft. (417.09 acres)	
Gross Building Area	57,181 sq. ft.	

57,181 sq. ft.

Dates of Value

Net Rentable Area

As Is Market Value	March 17, 2020
Date of Report	March 31, 2020

VALUATION SUMMARY			
Cost Approach	\$25,500,000	Final Market Value Conclusions	
Sales Approach	Not Developed	As Is Value	\$25,500,000
	Not Developed	Exposure Time	12 months
Income Approach	Not Developed	Insurable Value Liquidation Value	\$11,680,000 \$16,470,000





SUBJECT PROPERTY		
Property Type Winery & Vineyards		
Address	1172 San Marcos Road & 2380 Live Oak Road	
City, State, Zip	Paso Robles, CA 93446	
APN:	026-104-001, 027-145-022, 026-342-039	

The subject consists of a winery facility with three vineyards with a total gross land area of 471 acres, of which 252 acres is planted with various varietals of wine grapes. Building improvements include a 7,532 square foot residential villa and a 43,210 square foot winery facility that includes production and storage, administrative offices and a wine tasting area located in the barrel storage area. The properties are operated as the Rabbit Ridge Winery with the San Marcos Road and Texas Road vineyards located northwest of Paso Robles in the Paso Robles -San Miguel District AVA and the Live Oak Road vineyard located southwest of Paso Robles in the Paso Robles - Willow Creek District AVA. The site and its primary improvements are summarized as follows:

Parcel	Size (Acres)	Williamson Act
026-342-039	160.00	Yes
026-104-001	156.09	No
027-145-022	155.00	Yes
Total	471.09	

PRIMARY VINEYARD SUMMARY		
Variety	Total Blocks	Total Size (Production Acres)
Cabernet Sauvignon	13	42.28
Zinfandel	10	39.29
Petite Sirah	6	27.32
Petit Verdot	8	24.65
Syrah	7	23.57
Grenache Blanc	11	20.71
Primitivo	3	19.18
Sauvignon Blanc	3	17.79
Merlot	4	14.28
Cabernet Franc	3	6.40
Tempranillo	1	4.54
Mourvedre	2	4.38
Viognier	3	3.14
Aglianico	1	2.58
Nero d'Avola	1	1.42
Marsanne	1	0.92
Total	77	252.43

PRIMARY IMPROVEMENT SUMMARY			
Туре	Yr. Built	Total Size (Sq. Ft.)	
Residential Villa	1987	7,532	
Labor Dwelling	2000	900	
Manufactured Home	2000	900	
Shop Building	2000	2,400	
Winery Facility	2007	43,210	
Barn / Shop Building	1990	943	
Barn / Shop Building	1990	1,296	
Total		57,181	

APPRAISERS

Brant A. Sheaffer, MAI	VP, Regional Manager	CA – AG033878
Arthur O. Neudek, MAI, AI-GRS	Managing Director	CA – 3002063
The Property Sciences Group, Inc.	Phone: 925.246.7300	
395 Taylor Blvd, Suite 250	Fax: 925.349.7200	
Pleasant Hill, CA 94523	http://www.propsci.com	

CLIENT	
Client:	Ms. Carrie Beavers
	Business Loan Capital
Address:	15375 Barranca Parkway, Suite B-202
	Irvine, CA 92618


DATE OF APPRAISAL	
Effective Date of As-Is Value	March 17, 2020
Date of Inspection	March 17, 2020
Date of Report	March 31, 2020

OWNER AND OCCUPANCY

Preliminary Report	No
Owner	Erich Russell
Occupancy Rate	Tenant : 0%
	Vacant : 0%
	Total : 100%

SALE HISTORY		
Transfers in past 5 years		No
	Source	Public Record

The subject property is not currently listed for sale and to the best of our knowledge, the subject has not sold or otherwise transferred in the past five years.

REPORT TYPE

This is an Appraisal Report, compliant with FIRREA (Financial Institutions, Reform, Recovery, and Enforcement Act of 1989, as amended by the Dodd-Frank Reform Act) and the reporting requirements set forth under Standards Rule 2-2 (a) of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice (USPAP) for an Appraisal Report. It contains the data, reasoning, and analyses used in the appraisal process to develop the appraiser's opinion of value. Supporting documentation concerning the data, reasoning, and analyses is retained in the appraiser's file. The depth of discussion contained in this report is specific to the needs of the client and for the stated intended use. The appraisers are not responsible for unauthorized use of this report. The appraisers possess the necessary experience and competence to complete this appraisal in conformity with the USPAP competency rule.

STANDARD OF VALUE

"Market Value Definition" is stated as follows (Federal Register 12 CFR, part 34, Subpart C-Appraisals 34.42 Definitions (g)): The most probable price which a property should bring in a competitive and open market under all conditions requisite to a fair sale, the buyer and seller each acting prudently and knowledgeably, and assuming the price is not affected by undue stimulus. Implicit in this definition is the consummation of a sale as of a specified date and the passing of title from seller to buyer under conditions whereby:

- Buyer and seller are typically motivated;
- Both parties are well informed or well advised, and acting in what they consider their own best interests;
- A reasonable time is allowed for exposure in the open market;
- Payment is made in terms of cash in U.S. dollars or in terms of financial arrangements comparable thereto;
- The price represents the normal consideration for the property sold unaffected by special or creative financing or sales concessions granted by anyone associated with the sale;

"As Is Market Value" is stated as follows: An estimate of the market value of a property in the condition observed upon inspection and as it physically and legally exists without hypothetical conditions, assumptions, or qualifications as of the date of inspection.

INTENDED USE & INTENDED USER

The intended use of this report is for **Collateral Lending** any other use is prohibited. The intended user of this report is **Business Loan Capital**. Release of this appraisal to any third party without authorization from *The Property Sciences Group Inc.* is expressly prohibited. A third party is defined as anyone other than the client indicated on the cover page. *The Property Sciences Group Inc.* will not be responsible for any actions or occurrences as a result of unauthorized use of this report.

PROPERTY INTEREST APPRAISED

A "Fee Simple Estate" is defined as follows: Absolute ownership unencumbered by any other interest or estate, subject only to the limitations imposed by the governmental powers of taxation, eminent domain, police power, and escheat.

Personal property is defined as follows: 1. Identifiable tangible objects that are considered by the general public as being "personal"-for example, furnishings, artwork, antiques, gems and jewelry, collectibles, machinery and equipment; all tangible property that is not classified as real estate. (USPAP, 2010-2011 ed.) 2. Consists of every kind of property that is not real property; movable without damage to itself or the real estate; subdivided into tangible and intangible.

No items of personal property were included in the valuation of the subject.

The definition noted above is derived from the Dictionary of Real Estate Appraisal, Appraisal Institute, 5th Edition, 2010.

SCOPE OF APPRAISAL AND REPORTING PROCESS

The scope of the appraisal included:

- interior/exterior inspection
- reviewed operating statements
- reviewed historical operating statements
- researched comparable sales
- interviewed principals leasing brokers, appraisers, city and government personnel and/or local property managers
- reviewed published surveys
- reviewed public records
- reviewed private data providers
- reviewed PSG files

The type and extent of the analysis is further addressed in the Methodology Section of this Report. The appraisers have analyzed/appraised the subject's property type before and possess the necessary competence to complete this appraisal in conformity with the competency provision of USPAP. This appraisal has been prepared in conformity with the:

- > Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice (USPAP)
- > Financial Institutions Reform, Recovery, & Enforcement Act of 1989 (FIRREA)

- > Appraisal Institute Code of Professional Ethics
- > Appraisal Institute Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice
- Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC)
- Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) requirements for above

The appraisers reviewed:

- > published market reports from leading brokerage houses and providers
- public records
- private party data providers including Costar Inc., Loopnet, TRW, Dataquick, and Multiple Listing Services among others
- proprietary data retained in Property Sciences files

The Scope of Work is further delineated throughout the various sections of this report. The reader is directed to the various sections of this report for a more detailed explanation of the scope of work as it relates to the relative section of the report.

EXTRAORDINARY ASSUMPTIONS & HYPOTHETICAL CONDITIONS

An "Extraordinary Assumption" is defined by USPAP as "an assumption directly related to a specific assignment of the effective date of the assignment results, which if found to be false, could alter the appraiser's opinions or conclusions." USPAP further states that "Extraordinary Assumptions" presume as fact otherwise uncertain information about physical, legal, or economic characteristics of the subject property; or about conditions external to the property, such as market trends; or about the integrity of data used in the analysis.

A "Hypothetical Condition" is defined by USPAP as "a condition, directly related to a specific assignment, which is contrary to what is known by the appraiser to exist on the effective date of the assignment results, but is used for the purpose of the analysis." USPAP further states that "Hypothetical Conditions" are contrary to known facts about physical, legal or economic characteristics of the subject property; or about conditions external to the property such as market conditions or trends; or about the integrity of the data used in the analysis. The use of extraordinary assumptions and/or hypothetical conditions may have affected the assignment results.

Inspection of Residential Villa - On the date of inspection we were unable to inspect the interior of residential villa on the Live Oak Road vineyard property as an elderly family member was under a shelter in place order due to the outbreak of the COVID-19 virus. We were provided with a few photos of the interior and have relied on these along with discussions with the owner. The appraisal analysis that the property is in good condition and that the condition and quality of interior finishes is consistent with the details we were provided. Any change in these assumptions may result in different value estimates.

Property Case 8:2050k-16014-MWPEID0ć 24 Filed 11/16/20 Entered 11/16/20/20:10:27/inelDes/cineyards Main Document Page 41 of 177

Delinquent Property Taxes - According to the County Tax Collector, as of the date of the report, taxes for two of the three parcels that make up the subject property are delinquent. The taxes for 026-342-039, the Live Oak Road Vineyard and Residence are delinquent resulting from unpaid prior year taxes for 2019. The outstanding redemption balance is \$927.79 according to the assessor's records. The taxes for 026-104-001, the San Marcos Road Winery and Vineyard are delinquent resulting in unpaid taxes from 2005 through 2018. The outstanding balance for this parcel totals \$2,814,407.80. There may be interest accruing on these delinquent amounts and the balance due may be more than the amount noted or the owner may have already instituted a re-payment plan. This appraisal analysis assumes that all tax payments, liens and judgments will be made, or are, current and that there will be no adverse effect on the subject property resulting from unpaid taxes, liens or judgments.

EXPOSURE TIME

Exposure Time is defined in the Dictionary of Real Estate Appraisal (Appraisal Institute, 5th Edition, 2010) as "The estimated length of time the property interest being appraised would have been offered on the market prior to the hypothetical consummation of a sale at market value on the effective date of the appraisal."

As noted in the Sales Comparison Approach, there have been several sales of comparable properties within the market area. The marketing time for the comparable sales ranged from five months to approximately two years. Given the availability of mortgage financing, the desire for similar product, and specific information regarding sales of comparable properties, a reasonable Market Value Conclusion at the estimate of Market Values, assuming sufficient and reasonable marketing efforts, was up to 12 months. Additionally, assuming an active market with adequate buyers and sellers in addition to adequate financing, the estimated marketing time for the subject is also 12 months.

REGIONAL DESCRIPTION

The subject is part of the South Central Coast Region, which comprises the Counties of Monterey, San Luis Obispo, Santa Barbara and Ventura Counties. The region is separated from the inland Central Valley by the Santa Lucia Mountains and provides for the fertile Salinas, Santa Maria and Santa Ynez Valleys of the South Central Coastal Region. The coastal region is characterized by Pacific Coast beaches, ocean views, warm climate, and intensive agriculture. The mild climate, picturesque coastline, scenic mountains, and numerous parks and beaches make the region a popular tourist and relocation destination.

San Luis Obispo, Santa Barbara and Ventura Counties are often referred to as the "tri-counties" region.



COUNTY DESCRIPTION

Created in 1850 as one of 27 original counties in California, San Luis Obispo County is part of CaliforniaÆs Central Coast area and is part of the Tri-Counties region, which incorporates Santa Barbara and Ventura Counties to the south. San Luis Obispo County is midway between Los Angeles (200 miles south) and San Francisco (200 miles north) and comprises approximately 3,316 square mile of land. The County is accessible north-to-south by U.S. Highway 101 and scenic Highway 1. Routes from the east include State Highways 41, 46, 58 and 166. The County is bordered by Santa Barbara County on the south, Monterey County to the north, Kern County to the east, and approximately 80 miles of Pacific coastline on the west. Much of the county is mountainous, with most of the developed land and the majority of the population located along Highway 101, which runs down the central fertile valleys of the County.

There are seven incorporated cities within San Luis Obispo County, including Arroyo Grande, Atascadero, Grove Beach, Morro Bay, Paso Robles, Pismo Beach and San Luis Obispo. There are several unincorporated towns and communities including Baywood-Los Osos, Cambria, Cayucous, Nipomo, Oceano, San Miguel, San Simeon, Santa Margarita and Templeton. Of the total San Luis Obispo County area, 3,250 square miles are unincorporated. Approximately 21% of the County population is classified as ôruralö by the California Department of Finance.

Commercial air carriers American Eagle, US Airways, Delta Connection (SkyWest), and United Express (SkyWest), provide daily service to Las Vegas, Los Angeles, Phoenix, San Francisco, San Jose, and Salt Lake City, from San Luis Obispo County Regional Airport (SBP). Greyhound Bus Lines maintains a daily schedule to bus stations located in Arroyo Grande, Atascadero, Paso Robles, and San Luis Obispo. Amtrak offers daily service from the Coast Starlight and Pacific Surfliner trains to depots in San Luis Obispo, Paso Robles and Grover Beach (Arroyo Grande).

The Santa Lucia Mountains running diagonally from the northwest to southeast generally divide San Luis Obispo County into a coastal area and the rolling hills of a high-desert inland area. Other major geographic features include the San Andreas Fault, which cut across the extreme eastern portion of the County; the headwaters of the Salinas River; and Lake Nacimiento. The southern part of San Luis Obispo County is relatively level, while the northwest portion of the County is generally more rugged. To the south, the Santa Maria River borders San Luis Obispo County.

Property Case 8:20-0k-13014-MWDED0Cl24IOFiled 11/16/20 Entered 11/16/20-20/10:27/ineDes/inevards Main Document Page 45 of 177

The mainstays of the economy are California Polytechnic State University with its almost 20,000 students, tourism, and agriculture. San Luis Obispo County is the third largest producer of wine in California, surpassed only by Sonoma and Napa Counties. Wine grapes are by far the largest agricultural crop in the County, and the wine production they support creates a direct economic impact and a growing wine country vacation industry. The area has ideal climatic conditions. Summer temperature hovers around mid-80s in the daytime and declines to mild 50s in the nighttime. The coastal areas have typical California coast weather û foggy mornings with cool breezes later in the day.

The mild climate, picturesque coastline, scenic mountains, and numerous parks and beaches make San Luis Obispo County a popular tourist and relocation destination. The County is famous for its beaches and is also home to more than 60 wineries with some 30,000 acres of planted vineyards located in South San Luis Obispo County. In recent years the agricultural base has shifted from cattle ranching and fruit production to grape production for the growing wine industry. With warm sunny days and cool evenings, the valleys share a unique microclimate suited to growing a wide variety of grapes as well as other agricultural crops. Agriculture is dominant in San Luis Obispo County with strawberries being the dominant row crop.

Also, located along the central coast are Vandenberg Air Force Base, located to the southwest in Santa Barbara County, and Fort Hunter Liggett Army Base, located to the northwest in Monterey County. The 98,000-acre Vandenberg Air Force Base was originally established in 1941 as the US Army's Camp Cooke. The base was transferred to the US Air Force in 1957 and began its transformation into a space and ballistic missile test facility. In 1972 Vandenberg was selected as the West Coast Space Shuttle launch and landing site. Over four billion dollars was spent on new space shuttle modifications to the existing facility. Currently the base is regularly used for the launch of government and private satellites into orbit and the facility is also one of the main development centers of the U.S. Missile Defense System. Fort Hunter Liggett serves primarily as a training facility, where activities such as field maneuvers and live fire exercises are performed. The fort comprises 165,000 acres and is the largest United States Army Reserve command post. Both of these bases have added to the economic base of San Luis Obispo County.

Mining is becoming less significant countywide, as oil and gas development and production decrease. Manufacturing has slowly been recovering from the recession of the early 1990s. Government is the largest industry employer in the County, providing about 20% of all employment, with the majority of jobs in education. The largest projected growth industries in the County over the next several years are services and retail trade. The County's largest employers with 1,000 or more, full or part-time employees are shown below:

SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY MAJOR EMPLOYERS				
Employer Name	Location	Industry		
AMI Sierra Vista Radiology	San Luis Obispo	Physicians & Surgeons		
Atascadero State Hospital	Atascadero	Hospitals		
Cal Poly State San Luis Obispo	San Luis Obispo	Schools-Universities & Colleges Academic		
California Mid-State Fair	Paso Robles	Concert Venues		
Child Abuse & Neglect Child	San Luis Obispo	Business Management Consultants		
County Office of Education	San Luis Obispo	Schools		
Cuesta College	Paso Robles	Schools-Universities & Colleges Academic		
Department of Social Svc	San Luis Obispo	Government Offices-Us		
Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power	Not Available	Power Plants		
Division of Juvenile Justice	Paso Robles	State Govt-Correctional Institutions		
Ernie Ball Inc	San Luis Obispo	Musical Instruments-Manufacturers		
French Hospital Medical Ctr	San Luis Obispo	Hospitals		
Glenair Inc	Paso Robles	Communications Consultants		
Medi-Cal Eligibility Info	San Luis Obispo	Government Offices-County		
Mental Marketing	Templeton	Advertising-Agencies & Counselors		
Morro Bay Art Assn Gallery	Morro Bay	Art Galleries & Dealers		
Pacific Gas & Electric Co	San Luis Obispo	Electric Companies		
Pacific Gas & Electric Co	Avila Beach	Electric Companies		
San Luis Obispo County EMS	San Luis Obispo	Government Offices-County		
San Luis Obispo County Social	San Luis Obispo	Government Offices-County		
San Luis Obispo Sheriff's Dept	San Luis Obispo	Sheriff		
Sierra Vista Regional Med Ctr	San Luis Obispo	Hospitals		
Social Services Dept	San Luis Obispo	Government Offices-County		
Trust Rcm	San Luis Obispo	Hospitals		
Twin Cities Community Hospital	Templeton	Hospitals		

CITY DESCRIPTION

Paso Robles is located approximately 18 miles inland from the Pacific coast and is midway between Los Angeles and San Francisco on Highway 101, about 220 miles from each city. The City is strategically located approximately 30 miles north of San Luis Obispo, benefiting from the nearby urban support while taking in the serenity of the local environment. Located on the Salinas River, the city is known for its hot springs, its abundance of wineries, production of olive oil, almond orchards, and for playing host to the California Mid-State Fair. Highway 46 enters Paso Robles on its eastern border, easily connecting California's San Joaquin Valley and Interstate 5 to Paso Robles. State Highway continues west allowing access to the coast near the towns of Cambria and San Simeon.

Paso Robles is located in the rolling hills of the upper Salinas River Valley, which is mainly dry grassland and oak woodland. Primarily a farming community for many decades, Paso Robles now renowned for thermal springs and wines. Paso Robles has an attractive downtown and linear commercial corridors along Spring Street. The City offers residents a small-town lifestyle combined with modern amenities.

POPULATION CHARACTERISTICS

The population distribution within the Paso Robles Area reveals a similar number of people living in rural San Luis Obispo County as in the City of Atascadero, highlighting the dispersement of the rural economy. Population growth is expected to slow in Paso Robles, while remaining steady in the more populated areas within San Luis Obispo County, San Luis Obispo, and other competing rural markets.

Population – County and Selected Cities				
	2000	2010	2018	2023
San Luis Obispo County	246,672	269,637	284,993	291,433
Arroyo Grande	15,840	17,252	18,263	18,701
Atascadero	26,751	28,310	30,105	30,792
El Paso de Robles (Paso Robles)	24,454	29,793	31,630	32,383
Grover Beach	12,898	13,156	13,974	14,307
Pismo Beach	8,260	7,655	8,075	8,266
San Luis Obispo	41,094	45,119	47,652	48,737
San Miguel, San Luis Obispo County	1,428	2,336	2,564	2,621
Templeton	6,208	7,674	8,285	8,478



Population Change (%) County and Selected Cities				
	2000-2010	2010-2018	2018-2023	
San Luis Obispo County	9.3 %	5.7 %	2.3 %	
Arroyo Grande	8.9 %	5.9 %	2.4 %	
Atascadero	5.8 %	6.3 %	2.3 %	
El Paso de Robles (Paso Robles)	21.8 %	6.2 %	2.4 %	
Grover Beach	2.0 %	6.2 %	2.4 %	
Pismo Beach	-7.3 %	5.5 %	2.4 %	
San Luis Obispo	9.8 %	5.6 %	2.3 %	
San Miguel, San Luis Obispo County	63.6 %	9.8 %	2.2 %	
Templeton	23.6 %	8.0 %	2.3 %	



INCOME CHARACTERISTICS



The median household income for the Paso Robles was \$94,874, slightly lower than the County and only higher than two other cities within the County. Its average household income is near the low end of the range compared to many nearby cities.

HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS



According to CoreLogic, the median home price for a single-family residence in the subject"s Paso Robles area as of January 2020 was \$484,000. Overall, housing prices decreased by approximately 5.1% in the subject"s area from January 2019 to January 2020. In general, Paso Robles has more owner occupied homes than rental housing units. The city has about 55% owner occupied housing.

EMPLOYMENT CHARACTERISTICS





The City of Paso Robles has a diverse employment base, which encompasses many different areas of the economy. As illustrated in the table above, manufacturing and wholesale trade is the largest employment sector, providing 33% of jobs within the city. The second sector is other jobs, which accounts for 24.54% of total employment, while retail accounts for 17.12% of employment in the city.

As of January 2020, the San Luis Obispo-Paso Robles MSA reported an unemployment rate of 3.1%, lower than the statewide rate of 3.9% reported for California as a whole during the same period.

SUMMARY AND ANALYSIS

Paso Robles offers all the amenities of family life including attractive and available housing, ample City services, state-of-the-art recreation facilities, easy access retail shopping, well rated public schools, and attractive neighborhoods. Paso Robles has sustained strong, uninterrupted economic growth since 1993. While Paso Robles retains the characteristics of a rural community, it is developing into a center of industry, viticulture, art, recreation, commerce, and housing for San Luis Obispo County and strong regional economic indicators suggest the area is position to grow and maintain its current status for the foreseeable future.

NEIGHBORHOOD

Live Oak Road Vineyard

The subject's Live Oak Vineyard and Residential Villa is located north of Live Oak Road in unincorporated rural are approximately four miles southwest of Downtown Paso Robles. The area is part of the Paso Robles Willow Creek District AVA and consists of primarily agricultural land uses predominantly concerned with vineyard cultivation as well as supporting rural residential estate development with a number of noteworthy wineries in the area. The neighborhood boundaries are best defined by the Willow Creek AVA designation.

The neighborhood as well as its infrastructure is rural. Both roads feature older asphalt paving and do not feature lane markers nor are they improved with curbs or gutters. However, PG&E utilities and various county services are available to the site, which is additionally serviced by a shared private well and septic system. The properties in the area generally reflect average levels of maintenance and condition and the market appeal and acceptance of the properties is average. The neighborhood is in the stable stage of its life cycle. The stable stage is defined as "a stage in a neighborhood life cycle in which the neighborhood experiences equilibrium without marked gains or losses."

San Marcos Road & Texas Road Vineyards

The subject's San Marcos Road Winery and Vineyard and Texas Road Vineyard are located south and north, respectively, of San Marcos Road in unincorporated rural are approximately five miles north of Downtown Paso Robles. The area is part of the Paso Robles San Miguel District AVA and consists of primarily agricultural land uses predominantly concerned with vineyard cultivation as well as supporting rural residential estate development with a number of noteworthy wineries in the area. The neighborhood boundaries are best defined by the San Miguel AVA designation.

The neighborhood as well as its infrastructure is rural. Both roads feature older asphalt paving and do not feature lane markers nor are they improved with curbs or gutters. However, PG&E utilities and various county services are available to the site, which is additionally serviced by a shared private well and septic system. The properties in the area generally reflect average levels of maintenance and condition and the market appeal and acceptance of the properties is average. The neighborhood is in the stable stage of its life cycle. The stable stage is defined as "a stage in a neighborhood life cycle in which the neighborhood experiences equilibrium without marked gains or losses."

MAP OF PASO ROBLES AVA AND DISTRICTS



OVERVIEW

AGRICULTURAL MARKET OVERVIEW

The following data is extracted from various sources specializing in agricultural land data including the USDA Economic Research Service. It is noted that large-scale agricultural land value studies occur with less frequency than do those for more traditional commercial real estate product and the data presented is considered to be the most current and relevant information available at the time of this report. Since the mid-1980's farm crisis, farm real estate values have been predominantly rising in both nominal and inflation-adjusted terms.

According to preliminary data from the 2018 CDFA Crop Year Report, In 2018, California's farms and ranches received almost \$50 billion in cash receipts for their output. This represents a slight increase over adjusted cash receipts for 2017.

California's agricultural abundance includes more than 400 commodities. Over a third of the country's vegetables and two-thirds of the country's fruits and nuts are grown in California. California is the leading US state for cash farm receipts, accounting for over 13 percent of the nation's total agricultural value. The top producing commodities for 2018 include:

Dairy Products, Milk – \$6.37 billion	Strawberries - \$2.34 billion
Grapes - \$6.25 billion	Lettuce – \$1.81 billion
Almonds – \$5.47 billion	Floriculture – \$1.22 billion
Cattle and Calves - \$3.19 billion	Tomatoes – \$1.20 billion
Pistachios – \$2.62 billion	Oranges – \$1.12 billion



Average Cropland Value – United States

There are many factors that play a significant role in farmland values. Farmland values are determined by factors that affect the land market as a whole, as well as factors that affect the value of individual parcels relative to each other. Often nationwide trends obscure regional variances. Historically, crop and pasture land prices did not diverge significantly because pasture was essential for feeding farm animals; however, in recent years, on a nationwide basis cropland values have exceeded pasture values due to primarily higher returns associated with cropland. In California typically cropland, such as the subject, commands a significantly higher value per acre than pastureland does with an average 2017 value recorded of \$11,740 per acre, representing a 4.0% year over year increase as of August versus \$2,700 per acre for pasture land which is unchanged over the past five years.



2018 Pasture Value by State

Dollars per Acre and Percent Change from 2017



It is noted that nonfarm-related factors also have an impact on farmland values. Empirical studies of farmland values have found that in some areas of the country, returns form agricultural production are secondary in terms of value to a parcel's proximity to urban areas. The demand for land that can be developed for urban use is the most significant nonfarm factor affecting farmland values in areas experiencing faster population growth. However, it is noted that beyond a certain threshold, the relationship between urban proximity and farmland values becomes less relevant.



Farm real estate values are highest close to population centers

\$1,000 per acre

The United States farm real estate value, a measurement of the value of all land and buildings on farms, averaged \$3,140 per acre for 2018, up \$60 per acre (1.9 percent) from 2017 values. Regional changes in the average value of farm real estate ranged from an 8.3 percent increase in the Southern Plains region to 1.4 percent decrease in the Northern Plains region. The highest farm real estate values were in the Corn Belt region at \$6,430 per acre. The Mountain region had the lowest farm real estate value at \$1,140 per acre.

The United States cropland value averaged \$4,130 per acre, an increase of \$40 per acre from the previous year. In the Southern Plains region, the average cropland value increased 4.7 percent from the previous year, while in the Lake region, cropland values decreased by 0.6 percent.

The United States pasture value increased by \$40 per acre (3.0 percent) from 2017 values. The Southern Plains region had the highest increase from 2017 at 5.6 percent. The Pacific region remained unchanged at \$1,650 per acre.

Source: USDA, Economic Research Service using data from USDA, National Agricultural Statistics Service, 2008 June Area Survey and Census Bureau's Census of Population. Farm real estate includes the value of land and buildings. The categories include towns greater than the minimum size indicated; for example, the 5,000-residents category includes towns of any size greater than 5,000 residents (including over 50,000).

CALIFORNIA AGRICULTURAL MARKET

2019 Cal-ASFMRA Trends in Agricultural Land and Lease Values Report (Region 6 – Central Coast):

In regards to the San Luis Obispo County wine grape market the 2019 California Chapter of the ASFRMA trends

report summarizes the current state of the market as follows.

"This market is broken down into three fairly distinct growing areas, which impacts the underlying land and vineyard values, along with grape/bottle prices. Unlike Monterey County, land suitable for vineyards in San Luis Obispo County has little to no competition from vegetable and berry growers.

Starting at the north end of the county, vineyards located west of Paso Robles/Templeton and Highway 101 set the upper end of the range, with vineyards currently selling for approximately \$50,000 to more than \$70,000 per net vine acre. These values are influenced by above average grape and bottle pricing (Cabernet Sauvignon), smaller parcel sizes, and rural estate and/or winery site appeal. Most of the sales in this area are purchased by wineries looking to secure fruit or lifestyle buyers looking to enter the wine industry.

Staving at the north end of the county and moving east of Highway 101, parcels tend to get larger and rural estate and winery site appeal has less influence on the land and vineyard values. These large vineyard properties are mostly owned and purchased by growers, wineries, and institutional investors. Grape pricing for this area tends to be closer to county average and the profitability of the vineyard has a significant impact on value. Most of the plantable land in this area is within the Paso Robles Ground Water Basin that restricts new plantings and additional water usage without an off-set as outlined by the county. These restrictions have resulted in increased demand and value for vested, plantable land, with values mostly falling between \$15,000 and \$22,500 per acre. Plantable land sales that require an off-set for its irrigation supply indicate values less than \$15,000 per acre, with some nonvested land sales as low as \$5,000 to \$10,000 per acre. In 2018 there was a group of vineyard sales that had below average profitability and in many cases were approaching the end of their economic life. These sales can be generalized as having grape pricing near county average, with historical production dropping below four tons per acre, and in some cases only break-even production (less than three tons per acre). These less profitable/interim vineyards supported values near plantable land and up to around \$30,000 per net vine acre. The next group of vineyard sales for this area are near mid-life with average production levels and grape prices, indicating values mostly between \$30,000 and \$40,000 per net vine acre. Vineyard values beyond \$40,000 per net vine acre are mostly young to mid-life, with production levels near or above five tons per acre, and grape pricing near county average. In 2018 a new high was set near \$70,000 per net vine acre for an East Paso Robles/Shandon vineyard. This was purchased by an institutional investor and the property featured a large young vineyard, with good water resources, and exceptional production capabilities. Even with this new high observed in the East Paso Robles/Shandon growing area, demand for grapes going into the 2018 harvest started to soften, with several reports of uncontracted Cabernet Sauvignon selling for a steep discount. This is not uncommon for a large crop year and time will tell if there is any impact on vineyard values going forward. With that said, there was no measurable evidence of softening vineyard or land values in 2018.

Edna Valley is the most established southern vineyard market in San Luis Obispo County and has some similarities to West Paso Robles/Templeton, in that values are influenced by above average grape and bottle pricing (Pinot Noir & Chardonnay), smaller parcel sizes, and rural estate and/or winery site appeal. Enda Valley is not an active market and values historically have been at the upper end of the range for San Luis Obispo County and more in line with the cool climate growing areas in Santa Barbara County."

For dry range/pastureland in the region the 2019 Trends report goes on to note the following:

For several years, the Temblor Mountain Range/Carrizo Plains market was influenced by out of town buyers purchasing ranches for recreational, retreat and home-site purposes. Since the downturn in the economy, the demand from these types of buyers has been reduced. These sales are divided into two main groups: ranches of 1,500 acres and smaller, and ranches 1,500 acres to 15,000 acres. The first group ranges from \$800 to \$7,000 per acre, while the second group ranges from \$300 to \$1,200 per acre. The primary influence that drove prices up on the smaller parcels was residential and/or recreational uses. The larger ranches may also be further divided into parcels purchased for recreational, retreat and home site desirability, versus those ranches purchased for grazing land. The larger ranches that offer scenic vistas, hunting, and other forms of recreation are typically forested, watershed land and of little use for grazing. These ranches tend to set the upper limit of the price range. The large ranches purchased for cattle grazing are selling for between \$500 and \$1,500 per acre. A large 21,000± acre dry pasture ranch in northern San Luis Obispo/Monterey County, sold in December 2016 for \$1,482/acre.

Parcels along the Pacific Ocean and Coastal Mountain Range with rural residential appeal have continued to remain stable to strong during the past several years. After 2007, this market saw a decline in activity and prices; however, it has shown substantial recovery over that past several years. This area is very attractive for large, rural home sites, with these properties typically being less than 1,000 acres. Sales range from \$2,500 per acre for large dry pasture ranches with limited utility and/or lack of ocean views, to \$15,000 per acre for smaller, desirable parcels with coastal influence, ocean views and/or cultivatable land. There have been several sales of small to medium size (120-600 acres) ranch properties along the coastal Santa Lucia Mountains in 2017 and 2018. These sales ranged in price from \$9,000 to \$13,750 per acre. The primary differences in pricing were due to location, ocean views and buyer/seller motivation."

VALUES: LAND AND LEASE					
LAND USE	VALUE PER ACRE	ACTIVITY / TREND	RENT RANGE	ACTIVITY / TREND	
	SANTA CLARA COUNTY				
Row Crops - Gilroy	\$20,000 - \$40,000	Moderate/Stable	\$400 - \$1,000	Moderate/Stable	
Rangeland	\$1,500 - \$3,000	Limited/Stable	\$15 - \$30	Limited/Stable	
	м	ONTEREY COUNTY			
Row Crops	\$26,000 - \$70,000	Moderate/Stable-SI.Increasing	\$1,000 - \$3,300	Moderate/Stable	
Rangeland	\$700 - \$2,000	Limited/Stable	\$6 - \$30	Limited/Stable	
Wine Grapes	\$35,000 - \$75,000	Limited/Stable	N/A	N/A	
SANTA CRUZ COUNTY					
Row Crops	\$40,000 - \$72,000	Moderate/Stable-SI.Increasing	\$1,700 - \$3,000	Moderate/Stable	
SAN BENITO COUNTY					
Row Crops	\$20,000 - \$40,000	Limited/Stable	\$450 - \$1,800	Moderate/Stable	
Rangeland	\$600 - \$2,500	Limited/Stable	\$6 - \$30	Limited/Stable	
SAN LUIS OBISPO AND SANTA BARBARA COUNTIES					
Row Crops	\$25,000 - \$58,000	Limited/Stable	\$1,300 - \$3,000	Limited/Stable	
Coastal Rangeland (San Luis Co)	\$2,500 - \$15,000	Limited/Stable	\$7 - \$20	Limited/Stable	
Inland Rangeland (San Luis Co)	\$300 - \$7,000	Limited/Stable	\$5 - \$15	Limited/Stable	
Rangeland (Santa Barbara Co)	\$2,500 - \$15,000	Limited/Stable	\$7 - \$20	Limited/Stable	
Wine Grapes	\$25,000 - \$75,000	Moderate/SI. Increasing	N/A	N/A	
	N	ENTURA COUNTY			
Row Crops/Strawberries	\$45,000 - \$81,000	Limited/Decreasing	\$1,700 - \$4,300	Moderate/SI. Decreasing	
Lemons	\$50,000 - \$86,000	Limited/Stable	N/A	N/A	
Avocados	\$37,000 - \$63,000	Limited/Stable	N/A	N/A	

VALUES: LAND AND LEASE					
LAND USE	VALUE PER ACRE	ACTIVITY / TREND	RENT RANGE	ACTIVITY / TREND	
	SAL	NTA CLARA COUNTY			
Row Crops - Gilroy	\$20,000 - \$40,000	Moderate/Stable	\$400 - \$1,000	Moderate/Stable	
Rangeland	\$1,500 - \$3,000	Limited/Stable	\$15 - \$30	Limited/Stable	
	м	ONTEREY COUNTY			
Row Crops	\$26,000 - \$70,000	Moderate/Stable-SI.Increasing	\$1,000 - \$3,300	Moderate/Stable	
Rangeland	\$700 - \$2,000	Limited/Stable	\$6 - \$30	Limited/Stable	
Wine Grapes	\$35,000 - \$75,000	Limited/Stable	N/A	N/A	
SANTA CRUZ COUNTY					
Row Crops	\$40,000 - \$72,000	Moderate/Stable-SI.Increasing	\$1,700 - \$3,000	Moderate/Stable	
	SAN BENITO COUNTY				
Row Crops	\$20,000 - \$40,000	Limited/Stable	\$450 - \$1,800	Moderate/Stable	
Rangeland	\$600 - \$2,500	Limited/Stable	\$6 - \$30	Limited/Stable	
SAN LUIS OBISPO AND SANTA BARBARA COUNTIES					
Row Crops	\$25,000 - \$58,000	Limited/Stable	\$1,300 - \$3,000	Limited/Stable	
Coastal Rangeland (San Luis Co)	\$2,500 - \$15,000	Limited/Stable	\$7 - \$20	Limited/Stable	
Inland Rangeland (San Luis Co)	\$300 - \$7,000	Limited/Stable	\$5 - \$15	Limited/Stable	
Rangeland (Santa Barbara Co)	\$2,500 - \$15,000	Limited/Stable	\$7 - \$20	Limited/Stable	
Wine Grapes	\$25,000 - \$75,000	Moderate/SI. Increasing	N/A	N/A	
	Y	ENTURA COUNTY			
Row Crops/Strawberries	\$45,000 - \$81,000	Limited/Decreasing	\$1,700 - \$4,300	Moderate/SI. Decreasing	
Lemons	\$50,000 - \$86,000	Limited/Stable	N/A	N/A	
Avocados	\$37,000 - \$63,000	Limited/Stable	N/A	N/A	

LAND USE	ROW CROPS	RANGELAND COASTAL (Sen Luis County)	RANGELAND INLAND (San Luis County)	RANGELAND (Santa Barbara County)	WINE GRAPES
SAN LUIS OB	SISPO COUNTY & SANTA BARBA	RA COUNTY			
2018	\$25,000-\$58,000	\$2,500 - \$15,000	\$300-\$7,000	\$2,500 - \$15,000	\$25,000 - \$75,000
2017	\$23,500- \$70,000	\$2,500 - \$15,000	\$500-\$2,500	\$2,500 - \$15,000	\$25,000 - \$70,000
2016	\$23,000- \$70,000	\$3,000 - \$15,000	\$300-\$7,500	\$3,000 - \$15,000	\$28,000 - \$70,000
2015	\$20,000- \$70,000	\$2,500 - \$15,000	\$300- \$7,500	\$2,500 - \$15,000	\$25,000 - \$70,000
2014	\$20,000- \$58,000	\$2,500 - \$12,500	\$300-\$7,500	\$1,500 - \$12,000	\$40,000 - \$60,000
2013	\$20,000- \$54,000	\$2,500 - \$9,000	\$500-\$7,500	\$1,000 - \$9,000	\$25,000 - \$60,000
2012	\$20,000- \$60,000	\$3,000 - \$9,000	\$300- \$7,000	\$1,000 - \$9,000	\$18,000 - \$50,000

Source: 2019 Cal-ASFMRA Trends in Agricultural Land and Lease Values Report

WINERY / VINEYARD REAL ESTATE MARKET OVERVIEW

California Wine Industry

The revival of winemaking in California began in the mid-1950s. Frank Bartholomew revived Agoston Haraszthy's long idle Buena Vista Winery one of the region's original wineries from the mission era; J.D. Zellerbach founded Hanzell Winery; and the Sebastianis began producing wines under their label in the early 1960s. Today there are 3,540 total bonded wineries scattered throughout California.

In recent years, the industry's production has become more centralized. A few wineries now produce and distribute most of the standard competitive wines, and a number of smaller wineries produce the higher quality, more expensive wines. Many of these wines have gained a worldwide reputation for quality and value. Both types of wineries produce wines, which represent some of the best buys for the American wine consumers. Although in recent years the U.S. average annual consumption of wine has stabilized near 3.5 gallons per person per year, there is continued demand for quality wines at reasonable prices with China becoming a burgeoning market.



Source: Wine Institute

Major California Wine Regions

Grapes can be grown in California wherever soil and water conditions are favorable, except at higher elevations or near the coast, where the temperatures and/or heat summations are too low. In general, the grape growing areas of California have mild to moderate winters, with rainfall varying from 3 to 4 inches in the southern deserts to 40 inches in parts of northern Sonoma and Mendocino Counties. The ripening season is usually rainless except in the dry-wine areas of the coastal valleys, where occasional rains may occur after September 1. Damaging hailstorms almost never occur. The hot, dry summers and cold winters, tend to suppress the spread of Phylloxera. Downy mildew, black rot, and anthracnose are unknown in cultivate vineyards. Similarly, the grape moths *Eudemis, Cochylis*, and the berry moth are absent.

There are six major geographical grape growing regions in California. The geographic regions are distinguished by their differences in climates brought about by the topography of the state and its proximity to the ocean. The regions are the North Coast, Central Coast, South Coast, Central Valley, Shasta Cascade, and the Hot Desert.

Rootstock Varieties

The selection of grape vine rootstock has a significant impact on the overall health of the grape vine and in turn the quality of the fruit, and ultimately vineyard value. The grape vine pest-Phylloxera (Pronounced Fy-lox-er-a), was first seen in California in 1852, and is now generally distributed throughout Northern California. The major impact of this underground insect is that it attacks the roots of grape vines, causing a reduction in yield over time. In 1863, species of native American grapes were taken to Botanical Gardens in England. These cuttings carried Phylloxera, which is indigenous to North America and native vine varieties had developed resistance. European vines had no such evolutionary protection. By 1865. Phylloxera had spread to vines in Provence. Over the next 20 years, it inhabited and decimated nearly all the vineyards of Europe. After failing to eradicate the pest, Thomas Munson, a horticulturist in Texas, suggested grafting the European vinifera vines onto American riparian rootstocks. It was only in this manner that the European wine industry could be retrieved from extinction. The use of Phylloxera resistant rootstock is now the main preventative measure. Generally, most vines grown today are grafted onto disease resistant rootstock.

There are several Phylloxera resistant rootstocks available to growers, but only a few are deemed suitable for use in California. St. George and AXR1 have been two rootstocks commonly used in Northern California. St. George is a variety of *Vitis rupestris*, a Phylloxera resistant species native to the Eastern United States. It is most suited for the drier hillside locations of the non-irrigated coastal valleys. It is not resistant to nematodes or to oak root fungus. AXR1 is a hybrid between *Vitis vinifera* and *Vitis rupestris*. This rootstock was believed to have been resistant to Phylloxera and produces greater yields than St. George rootstock. It performs well under irrigation and in the deeper, heavier soils of the floors of the coastal valleys. Over the years, other rootstocks such as 3309, 1103 and SO4, which have high resistance to Philoxera.

In addition to Phylloxera, many vineyards along creeks and rivers had become infested with Pierce's disease, which also affects vines and lowers yields over time. This disease is due to bacteria, which is transmitted by the "Leaf Hopper" or glassy-winged sharpshooter. The insect leaps from leaf to leaf along the vines, and inject the bacterium into the sap of nearby grapevines. The bacterium lives and multiplies in a plant's xylem, eventually blocking the movement of water and killing the vine. All rootstocks are susceptible to the disease and the main method of prevention is the use of pesticides to keep the insects away from the vines.

While 15 counties have been identified by the State as being infested with the insect, primarily in Southern California and Southern San Joaquin Valley, damage by Pierce's disease has affected less than one percent of the state's 568,000 wine grape acres since 1994, but because the glassy-winged sharpshooter moves quickly and Pierce's disease can kill a vine in two years, California has responded to stop this potentially devastating threat. Recently, the Los Alamos National Laboratory and UC Davis have come up with a hybrid grape vine that is immune to Xylella Fastidiosa (XF), a bacterium that causes Pierces Disease. This Hybrid produces an antimicrobial protein that blocks XF infection. The experts imagine that with this new technology it will help the farmer to have less reliance on chemicals, as growers fend off the glassy winged sharp shooter.

Central Coast Wine Region

The subject property is located in the greater Central Coast Californian Wine Region. The Central Coast is a large encompassing American Viticultural Area (AVA) that extends from the south of San Francisco all the way to Santa Barbara, California. The region contains 40 AVAs including Paso Robles, Santa Cruz Mountains, Monterey, and Santa Barbara and each of these sub-regions specializes in different types and expressions of wine. While the Central Coast may not have the same namesake as Napa Valley, it does happen to produce some of California's most intriguing, up-and-coming wines.

The Central Coast AVA in California covers the long stretch of coastline from San Francisco Bay south to Santa Barbara and reaches inland from the Pacific Ocean to the borders of the Central Valley. The climate and topography vary enormously across this immense region, making it impossible to generalize about winegrowing conditions. Chardonnay and Pinot Noir are the most important grapes planted in the region, but Cabernet Sauvignon, Zinfandel and Sauvignon Blanc make up a sizable chunk of the wines produced here.

Running for approximately 280 miles from Contra Costa County to the Santa Ynez Valley, the Central Coast AVA covers some of California's most famous AVAs outside of Napa and Sonoma counties, including Paso Robles, Chalone, Santa Cruz Mountains and Santa Maria Valley. The rugged, mountainous topography of the area has been brought about by years of tectonic shift, and the famous San Andreas Fault cuts much of the AVA off from the rest of the United States. The Santa Lucia Mountains, the Galiban Range and the Santa Cruz Mountains all run through this part of California.

The first cultivated vineyards in the Central Coast were planted in the 1700s, although early Spanish explorers found wild grapes growing around the rivers in the region. The early vineyards (mostly planted to the Mission variety) were established by Spanish missionaries moving north toward San Francisco from the first missions in San Diego and Los Angeles counties. The steadily growing wine industry in this part of California was all but killed off in the 1920s by Prohibition and didn't begin to recover until the American wine renaissance of the 1960s and 1970s, when winemakers began to look for interesting examples of terroir in the state.

The San Andreas Fault contributes significantly to the soil types found in the AVAs of the Central Coast. Soil on the western side of the fault is marked by areas of limestone all the way up the coast. This area was once part of an ancient seabed, and the calcareous soils here are the result of fossilized marine animals. There are also areas of shale and loam in the Salinas Valley in Monterey, and sandy, gravelly soils surrounding San Francisco Bay. Soils range in depth and fertility across the Central Coast AVA, with thin, mountainous types being more suited to quality and the more fertile alluvial types in the valleys being better for high yields and bulk production.

The eastern boundary of the Central Coast AVA is around 60 miles inland, and much of the region is influenced by winds from the Pacific Ocean, funneled into the vineyard areas by a series of important valleys and mountain gaps throughout the region. Monterey Bay is arguably the most important contributor, blowing strong winds and fog down the Salinas Valley as well as providing cool breezes to the more inland areas of San Benito and the southern end of the Santa Clara Valley. San Francisco Bay is important as well but, being on the northern edge of the AVA, it has more of an influence on the North Coast and Central Valley AVAs.

The cool climate in much of the Central Coast AVA is excellently suited to the Burgundy varieties of Pinot Noir and Chardonnay, despite the fact that the northernmost part of the region is a full 10 degrees closer to the equator than Burgundy. The hotter, more inland areas of the Central Coast are also good for Zinfandel, which is planted in San Benito County and Paso Robles. Cabernet Sauvignon does well in the higher-altitude parts of the AVA, particularly in the Santa Cruz Mountains north of Monterey Bay.

Small wineries are abundant on the Central Coast, as are large, well-respected producers who use the Central Coast AVA for wines made with grapes grown in more than one county. Many of the cult Sine Qua Non wines are labeled under this AVA, meaning that some of the most expensive single wines in California (and indeed the whole of the New World) are sold under the Central Coast AVA.

CONCLUSION

The subject consists of a rural residential/agricultural property consisting of three vineyards, a large residential villa and a winery facility. Two of the vineyards are located in the Paso Robles San Miguel District AVA, and one is in the Paso Robles Willow Creek District AVA. All three parcels are suitable for a vineyard and have been used for permanent plantings (wine grapes), a winery facility and residential occupancy. The majority of California appears to have emerged a recent period of prolonged drought, which began in 2012 and endured to reach historical levels making it the worst drought of the past 100 years, the sustainability of available water supplies continue to impact the outlook for farmland and vineyards. Historically, agricultural land has been among the most stable real estate products available, through the future of the California agricultural market is heavily depended on the ability to minimize susceptibility to extreme drought conditions such as those seen in recent years and the ability to sustain a sufficient production volume for farmers to remain profitable at current commodity prices.

SITE DESCRIPTION				
Assessor's Parcel Number	026-104-001,027-145-	026-104-001,027-145-022,026-342-039		
Lot Area (acres)	417.09 acres			
Lot Area (sq. ft.)	18,168,440 sq. ft.	Source	Public Record	
Shape	Irregular			
Topography	Rolling			
Census Tract	100.16			
	Мар	Date	Zone	
FEMA	06079C0400G	November 16, 2012	X	
	The subject sites are l	located in Flood Zone X, d	efined as, "Areas determined to be	
	outside 500-year floo	dplain determined to be	outside the 1% and 0.2% annual	
	chance floodplains." F	Flood insurance is not requ	ired for properties in this zone.	
Easements	No			
CC&Rs	No			

SITE DESCRIPTION

The subject property consists of three parcels that total 471.09 acres. The Assessor's parcel maps are copied later in this report. The subject property consists of a three legally separate parcels summarized in the following table.

Parcel	Size (Acres)	Williamson Act
026-342-039	160.00	Yes
026-104-001	156.09	No
027-145-022	155.00	Yes
Total	471.09	

VINEYARD DESCRIPTION

The subject has 16 primary varieties of grapes. The predominant varietals grown at the subject are Cabernet Sauvignon, Zinfandel, Petite Sirah, Petit Verdot, Syrah, Grenache Blanc, Primitivo, Sauvignon Blanc, and Merlot. All vines are provided water by drip irrigation.

PIERCE'S DIESEASE

The subject property's vineyards are located on hillsides. According a statement from the owner, the vineyard is not affected by the disease. Irrigation water is distributed to the individual blocks of the subject property via a drip irrigation system. This system consists of one drip line with emitters per row. Each drip line is suspended from each vineyard stake.

PRIMARY VINEYARD BLOCK SUMMARY		
Variety	Number of Blocks	Total Size (Production Acres)
Large Commercial Production Blocks		
Merlot	4	17.55
Viognier	2	2.50
Syrah	2	2.70
Cabernet Franc	1	2.33
Cabernet Sauvignon	1	1.53
Mourvedre	1	0.25
	Subtotal	26.86
Minor Decorative/Supplemental Blocks		
Syrah/Cab Franc/Misc.	4	1.14
Total		28.00

The vineyard blocks are summarized above and maps of the vineyard are included on the following pages. Of the 87.92 acres planted at the Live Oak Road Vineyard, the majority were replanted from 2017 to present with a handful of older vines form 1999 and 2008 remaining. In addition to this, there are 38.5 acres of the site that have been terraced and are ready to be planted and have irrigation systems installed to cultivate additional wine grapes.

The vines at the San Marcos Road Vineyard are older having been planted in 1997 and 1998. Currently only 34 acres are actively being farmed and the remaining 57 acres have been allowed to fallow and are eligible for replanting under the TAP program for vine replacement which provided subsidies for the costs of materials associated with replanting a vineyard. The majority of the vines at the Texas Road Vineyard were planted between 2003 and 2007. Currently 74.5 acres are being farmed with 4046 acres that have fallowed and are eligible for replanting under the TAP program.

Determining the economic life of vineyard plantings can be challenging. Many commercial producers would conclude the optimum winemaking economic lifespan of a vine is between 20 and 40 years old, placing the majority of the subject plantings within this range and suggesting a replanting event may be on the horizon.



However, many boutique winemakers prefer older vineyards for the greater depth of flavor of the fruit they produce and it is not uncommon for "old vine" commercial plantings to reach an age range of 50 to 60 years or as many as 100 years old for "ancient vine" designation. Therefore, while yields tend to diminish substantially on older vines, this loss in volume can often be recovered by the higher bottle prices that wines produced from old vine grapes can command. Accordingly given the subject's market position and its appeal to the premium market segment, the remaining economic life of the subject's plantings is deemed adequate so as to not negatively impact the value or marketability of the subject property.



Live Oak Vineyard Map

Water for the Live Oak Rd Vineyard is supplied from five wells on site which provide sufficient water for the residence and vineyard. The GPM pump capacity was not disclosed. There is one 30,000-gallon cement storage tank and two 5,000-gallon plastic storage tanks.

San Marcos Road Vineyard Map



Water for the San Marcos Rd Vineyard is supplied from three wells on site which provide sufficient water for the winery and vineyard. The well has a 65-75 GPM pump capacity and there are three water storage tanks with on 60,000-gallon raw water tank, a 30,000 gallon tank for reverse osmosis water for the winery, and a 30,000 gallon cement tank for vineyard irrigation water.
Texas Road Vineyard Map



Water is supplied from on wells on site which provides sufficient water for the vineyard with a capacity of 75-100 GPM and two 5,000-gallon plastic storage tanks and a pumping station.

Groundwater

Groundwater resources have long played a significant role in the development, growth and sustainability of the Santa Luis Obispo Valley. The major groundwater resources are detailed as follows:



On September 16, 2014, Governor Jerry Brown signed into law a three-bill legislative package, composed of AB 1739 (Dickinson), SB 1168 (Pavley), and SB 1319 (Pavley), collectively known as the Sustainable Groundwater Management Act (SGMA). For the first time in its history, California has a framework for sustainable, groundwater management - "management and use of groundwater in a manner that can be maintained during the planning and implementation horizon without causing undesirable results."

SGMA requires governments and water agencies of high and medium priority basins to halt overdraft and bring groundwater basins into balanced levels of pumping and recharge. Under SGMA, these basins should reach sustainability within 20 years of implementing their sustainability plans. For critically over-drafted basins, that will be 2040. For the remaining high and medium priority basins, 2042 is the deadline.

Through the Sustainable Groundwater Management Program, DWR provides ongoing support to local agencies through guidance and financial and technical assistance. SGMA empowers local agencies to form Groundwater Sustainability Agencies (GSAs) to manage basins sustainably and requires those GSAs to adopt Groundwater Sustainability Plans (GSPs) for crucial groundwater basins in California. The subject's Live Oak

Road Vineyard derives its groundwater from a high priority SGMA basin according to AQUAOSO's water mapping services and accordingly is subject to the 2042 deadline. The subject's San Marcos Road and Texas Road Vineyards derive their groundwater from a very low priority basin and are not subject to the deadline.

<u>Williamson Act</u>

According to the information provided and confirmed by Santa Barbara County the subject property is subject to a Williamson Act contract. The California Land Conservation Act of 1965 — known as the Williamson Act — enables local governments to enter into contracts with private landowners for the purpose of restricting specific parcels of land to agricultural or related open space use. In return, landowners receive property tax assessments, which are much lower than normal because they are based upon farming and open space uses as opposed to full market value. The preferred method of cancellation for these contracts is through a non-renewal process. Minimum contract periods range from 10 to 20 years and typically the non-renewal process must commence within the first year of the new contract period. In order to cancel outside of these circumstances an application for cancellation must be granted by the local government in finding that:

- 1. That the cancellation is for land on which a notice of nonrenewal has been served.
- 2. That cancellation is not likely to result in the removal of adjacent lands from agricultural use.
- 3. That cancellation is for an alternative use which is consistent with the applicable provisions of the city or county general plan.
- 4. That cancellation will not result in discontiguous patterns of urban development.
- 5. That there is no proximate, noncontracted land which is both available and suitable for the proposed use or that development of the contracted land would provide more contiguous patterns of urban development (GC §51282(b)).

If a cancellation is granted the owner is subject to a cancellation fee equal to 12.5% of the fair market value of the land in question.

LEGAL DESCRIPTION

The Assessor's Parcel Number 026-104-001,027-145-022,026-342-039 A Preliminary Report was not provided for our review; however, we assume that the legal description coincides with the Assessor's Parcel Map.

ALQUIST-PRIOLO GEOLOGIC HAZARDS ACT

All properties in California are subject to some degree of seismic risk. The Alquist-Priolo Special Studies Zone Act of 1972 was enacted by the State of California to regulate development near active earthquake faults. The Act required the State Geologist to delineate earthquake fault zones along known faults in California. Cities and counties affected by the identified zones must limit certain development projects within the zones unless geologic investigation demonstrates that the sites are not threatened by surface displacement from future faulting. The Alquist-Priolo maps identify areas at risk of having surface ruptures; they do not address seismic risk due to soil composition or distance from an active fault. According to information provided by the California Department of Conservation website (http://www.conservation.ca.gov) the subject property is not located within the boundaries of a Special Studies Zone. The appraisers are not qualified in the determination of seismic risk, and the client is advised to consult a geotechnical expert in the determination of seismic risk.

SOIL CONDITIONS/TOXIC CONDITIONS

Soil studies of the subject property were not made available or reviewed as part of this appraisal. The soils are presumed adequate to support development, as evidenced by the existing improvements. This appraisal is based on the special assumption that the property is not adversely affected by any environmental or toxic conditions. The appraisers are not qualified in the determination of environmental or toxic conditions, and the client is advised to consult an expert in this area.

Soil composition maps for the subject's vineyards obtained from the USDA Web Soil Survey mapping system are copied below.



Map unit symbol	Map unit name	Rating	Component name (percent)	Acres In AOI	Percent of AOI
152	Linne-Calodo complex, 9 to 30	to 30 Storle Index	Nacimiento, silty clay loam (15%)	81.7	46.4%
	percent slopes		Los Osos, clay loam (10%)		
	1 1		Unnamed, similar to Linne soll (5%)		
			Unnamed, similar to Calodo soll (4%)		
			Rock outcrop (1%)		
			Ayar, silty clay (1%)		
			Balcom, loam (1%)	-	
			Cropley, clay (1%)		
			Diablo, clay (1%)		
			Lockwood, shaley loam (1%)		
154	Linne-Calodo complex, 50 to 75 percent isopes	Not Applicable for Storle Index	Zakme, clay (15%)	80.5	45.7%
			Unnamed, similar to Linne soll (15%)		
			Unnamed, similar to Calodo soli (8%)		
			Unnamed, slopes of 30 to 50 percent (1%)		
			Rock outcrop (1%)		
			Ayar, slity clay (1%)		
			Balcom, loam (1%)		
			Diablo, clay (1%)		
			Los Osos, clay loam (1%)		
			Shimmon, loam (1%)		
179	Nacimiento-Los Osos complex, 9 to 30 percent	Not Applicable for Storie Index	Unnamed, similar to Los Osos soli (10%)	9.8	5,5%
	siopes		Positas, coarse sandly loam (10%)		
			Balcom, Ioam (10%)		
			Diablo, clay (5%)		

Map unit symbol	Map unit name	Rating	Component name (percent)	Acres In AOI	Percent of AOI
			Shimmon, loam (5%)		1.1.1
			Ayar, slity clay (5%)		
	0		Unnamed, slopes of 30 to 50 percent. (1%)		
			Unnamed, gricb surfaces (1%)		
			Rincon, clay loam (1%)		
			Greenfield, fine sandy loam (1%)		
	1	1.	Arbuckle, fine sandy loam (1%)		
98	Santa Lucla-Lopez complex, 15 to 50 percent slopes	Not Applicable for Storie Index	Gazos, shaly clay loam (15%)	4.2	2.49
			Linne, shaly clay loam (5%)		
			Urban land (5%)		
			Zaikme, ciay (5%)		
			Unnamed, deeper similiar to Santa Lucia (5%)		
			Calodo, clay loam (5%)		
			Rock outcrop (2%)		
			Lockwood, shaly loam (2%)		
		Unnamed, slopes of 9 to 15 percent (1%)			
Totals for Area of In	nterest	-		176.2	100.05



Map unit symbol	Map unit name	Rating	Component name (percent)	Acres In AOI	Percent of AOI	
102	Arbuckle-Positas complex, 9 to 15 percent slopes	Grade 1 - Excellent	Arbuckle (40%)	27.0	18.0%	
105	Arbuckle-Positas complex, 50 to 75	Not Applicable for Storie Index	Shimmon, loam (15%)	44.7	29.7%	
	percent slopes		Unnamed, similar to Positas (10%)			
			Badiand (10%)			
			Balcom, loam (2%)			
			Greenfield, fine sandy loam (1%)			
				Unnamed, slopes of 70 to 90 percent (1%)		
			Nacimiento (1%)			
106	Arbuckle-San Ysidro complex, 2 to 9 percent slopes	Grade 1 - Excellent	Arbuckle (40%)	24.1	16.0%	
150	Hanford and	Grade 2 - Good	Hanford (40%)	4.1	2.7%	
	Greenfield gravelly sandy loams, 2 to 9 percent slopes		Greenfield (30%)			
167	Metz-Tujunga complex, occasionally flooded, 0 to 5 percent slopes	Grade 2 - Good	Metz (35%)	0.4	0.3%	
179	Nacim(ento-Los	Not Applicable for	Balcom, Ioam (10%)	49.3	32.8%	
	Osos complex, 9 to 30 percent slopes	Storie Index	Positas, coarse sandly loam (10%)			
			Unnamed, similar to Los Osos soli (10%)			
			Ayar, slity clay (5%)			
			Shimmon, loam (5%)			
			Diablo, clay (5%)			
			Greenfield, fine sandy loam (1%)			
			Rincon, clay loam (1%)			
Man unit sumbol	then well more	Patter	Companyation	Anna In Act	Percent of AOI	
Map unit symbol	Map unit name	Rating	Component name (percent)	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI	
			Arbuckle, fine sandy loam (1%)			

Map unit symbol	Map unit name	Rating	Component name (percent)	Acres In AOI	Percent of AOI
			Arbuckle, fine sandy IDam (1%)		
			Unnamed, gr/cb surfaces (1%)		
			Unnamed, slopes of 30 to 50 percent (1%)		
194	San Emigdio fine sandy loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, cool, MLRA 15	Grade 1 - Excellent	San Emigdio (85%)	0.7	0.5%
Totals for Area of I	nterest			150.4	100.0%



California Revised St	orie Index (CA)
-----------------------	-----------------

Map unit symbol	Map unit name	Rating	Component name (percent)	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
105	Arbuckle-Positas complex, 50 to 75	Not Applicable for Storie Index	Shimmon, Ioam (15%)	10.0	6.2%
	percent slopes		Unnamed, similar to Positas (10%)		
			Badland (10%)		
			Balcom, loam (2%)		
			Greenfield, fine sandy loam (1%)		
			Nacimiento (1%)		
			Unnamed, slopes of 70 to 90 percent (1%)		
176	Nacimiento silty clay loam, 30 to 50 percent slopes, MLRA 15	Grade 4 - Poor	Nacimiento (82%)	.25.8	15.9%
c t	Nacimiento-Los Osos complex, 9 to 30 percent slopes	Not Applicable for Storie Index	Balcom, loam (10%)	120.4	74.3%
			Positas, coarse sandly loam (10%)		
			Unnamed, similar to Los Osos soil (10%)		
			Shimmon, Ioam (5%)		
			Diablo, clay (5%)		
			Ayar, silty clay (5%)		
			Greenfield, fine sandy loam (1%)		
			Rincon, clay loam (1%)		
			Unnamed, gr/cb surfaces (1%)		
			Unnamed, slopes of 30 to 50 percent (1%)		
			Arbuckle, fine sandy loam (1%)		
181	Nacimiento-Los Osos complex, 50 to 75 percent slopes	Grade 4 - Poor	Nacimiento (35%)	5.9	3.6%
Map unit symbol	Map unit name	Rating	Component name (percent)	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
otals for Area of In				162.1	100.09

EASEMENTS

A Preliminary Report, which typically contains easements of record, was not provided for our review. No easements were noted during our inspection, other than typical public utility easements, and this appraisal assumes there are no easements that negatively impact the use, marketability, or value of the subject property.

CC&Rs

A Preliminary Report, which would typically contain reference to conditions, covenants and restrictions (CC&Rs), was not provided for our review. This appraisal assumes there are no CC&Rs that negatively impact the use, marketability, or value of the subject property.

SITE IMPROVEM	ENTS		
Parking Total	13	Ratio	0.22/1,000 sq. ft.GBA
Open Spaces	13	Surface	Asphalt
Garage Spaces	0		
Landscaping	Average		
Curbs	No	Sidewalks	No
Storm Drains	No		
Water Service	Private	Sewer Service	Septic

REAL ESTATE TAXES

Parcel #	026-342-039	026-104-001	027-145-022
Land	\$277,975	\$1,538,138	\$173,023
Improvements	\$2,205,052	\$10,827,217	\$1,082,841
Personal Property	\$11,460	\$1,399,711	\$0
Exemptions	(\$7,000)	\$0	\$0
Total Assessed Value	\$2,487,487	\$13,765,066	\$1,255,864
Tax Rate	1.08368%	1.08368%	1.08368%
Annual Taxes	\$26,956	\$149,169	\$13,610
Special Assessments/Direct Charges	\$0	\$0	\$0
Sub Total	\$26,956	\$149,169	\$13,610
Defaulted Taxes	Yes	Yes	No
Payment Plan in Effect	No	No	No
Redemption Amount	\$928	\$2,814,408	\$0
Total Taxes	\$27,884	\$2,963,577	\$13,610

Specific property tax information for the 2019/2020 tax year is shown in the preceding table and represents current information based on current assessed value. According to the County Tax Collector, as of the date of the report, taxes for two of the three parcels that make up the subject property are delinquent. The taxes for 026-342-039, the Live Oak Road Vineyard and Residence are delinquent resulting from unpaid prior year taxes for 2019. The outstanding redemption balance is \$927.79 according to the assessor's records. The taxes for 026-104-001, the San Marcos Road Winery and Vineyard are delinquent resulting in unpaid taxes from 2005 through 2018. The outstanding balance for this parcel totals \$2,814,407.80. There may be interest accruing on these delinquent amounts and the balance due may be more than the amount noted or the owner may have already instituted a re-payment plan. This appraisal analysis assumes that all tax payments, liens and judgments will be made, or are, current and that there will be no adverse effect on the subject property resulting from unpaid taxes, liens or judgments.

In 1978, the voters of California enacted Proposition 13. This bill limited property taxes to 1% of the 1975 assessed value plus any voter approved city, special district or county bonds. The State Board of Equalization cannot increase the assessed values more than 2% per year. However, if a property is sold or substantially improved, the assessed or taxable value adjusts to market value of the property (usually sales price) as estimated by the County Assessor's Office as of the transaction date.

AG - Agricultural (San Luis Obispo County)					
The majority of uses aside from Agricultural Accessory Structures require					
additional project based permitting, most in the form of an administrative land use					
permit. These uses include crop production and grazing, animal keeping, forestry,					
cannabis activities, and kennels.					
No					

Development Standards	Permitted	Subject's Actual
Lot Size	Not specified	18,168,440
FAR	Not specif	0.00
Coverage	Not specif	0%
Parking	8	13
Legally Permitted	Yes	
Conforming	Yes	

For winery uses, parking is required at a rate of 1 space per 2,000 sf of active use area, 1 per 5,000 sf of storage. According to the Municipal Code, the subject property's current use and development are legal and conforming. The improvements could be re-built to their current density if destroyed by natural disaster.



















BUILDING DESCRIPTION

The subject consists of a winery facility with three vineyards with a total gross land area of 471 acres, of which 252 acres is planted with various varietals of wine grapes. Building improvements include a 7,532 square foot residential villa and a 43,210 square foot winery facility that includes production and storage, administrative offices and a wine tasting area located in the barrel storage area. The primary improvements are summarized as follows.

PRIMA	PRIMARY IMPROVEMENT SUMMARY						
Туре	Yr. Built	Total Size (Sq. Ft.)					
Residential Villa	1987	7,532					
Labor Dwelling	2000	900					
Manufactured Home	2000	900					
Shop Building	2000	2,400					
Winery Facility	2007	43,210					
Barn / Shop Building	1990	943					
Barn / Shop Building	1990	1,296					
Total		57,181					

SUBJECT PHOTOS



View of Winery Facility

View of Winery Facility



View of Winery Facility

View of Winery Facility



View of Winery Facility

View of Winery Facility

SUBJECT PHOTOS



View of Winery Facility

View of Winery Facility



San Marcos Rd Vineyard

San Marcos Rd Vineyard



Texas Rd Vineyard

Texas Rd Vineyard

SUBJECT PHOTOS



Live Oak Rd Vineyard

Live Oak Rd Vineyard



Live Oak Rd Vineyard

Live Oak Rd Vineyard



Live Oak Rd Vineyard

Live Oak Rd Vineyard

HIGHEST & BEST USE AS VACANT

LEGALLY PERMISSIBLE

Zoning for the subject property is AG - Agricultural (San Luis Obispo County). Permitted uses in a AG - Agricultural zoning district are primarily agricultural and single family residential. A change in the current zoning is unlikely. The site is suitable for agricultural and rural residential use.

PHYSICALLY POSSIBLE

The subject consists of three parcels that total Site Area Square Feet> sq. ft., Site Area Acres acres. The topography is rolling / hilly with no apparent drainage or easement problems. No soil problems are presumed. All customary and usual utilities are available. The site has average access and average street visibility. The site is not limited by its physical characteristics, except for size.

FINANCIALLY FEASIBLE

The subject lies in unincorporated, San Luis Obispo County near the city of Paso Robles. Land uses around the subject are primarily agricultural and rural residential. The area is among one of the more well-regarded wine producing regions in California, outside of the Napa and Sonoma with generally appreciating agricultural values and agricultural production is generally believed to be financially feasible in the current economic climate. However, increasing operating costs, especially including labor costs, and recent declines in commodity prices stand to have a negative impact on the long-term viability of less productive or smaller scale operations.

MAXIMALLY PRODUCTIVE USE AND IDEAL IMPROVEMENT

It has been concluded in the previous sections that the subject site is constrained by its size, the zoning regulations that apply to the site, and the demand for allowable uses. Considering the subject's location, nearby uses, zoning, and current real estate marketplace, an agricultural and rural residential use would result in maximum productivity to site.

CONCLUSION

A development similar to the current rural residential and winery / vineyard use combined, would be legally permissible, physically possible, financially feasible, and will result in the maximum productivity to the site. These uses therefore result in the highest and best use, as though vacant.

HIGHEST AND BEST USE AS IMPROVED

The subject property is currently improved with an Estate Vineyard & Winery Property. This use is physically possible based on the size, shape and location of the site; it is legally permissible based on zoning, building and other applicable codes; it is financially feasible given the historical occupancy and use, demand in the market for similar properties. The combination of these factors with the remaining physical and economic life of the structure, result in this being the maximally productive use. The building improvements are assumed to be in good physical condition and functional for their current use. No adverse external influences were noted. Therefore, continued Estate Vineyard & Winery use of the subject property is the highest and best use as improved

<u>The Cost Approach</u> adds land acquisition costs and building costs (direct and indirect), to arrive at a total replacement cost for the subject property. From this figure the applicable depreciation is subtracted to determine an As Is depreciated value. The Cost Approach is a good indicator of value when the subject property is new and accurate data exists on building costs. There must also be recent land sales in order to estimate the site value. However, the Cost Approach is subject to discrepancy in the assemblage of information required including: building costs, estimation of depreciation, and estimation of entrepreneurial incentive or profit.

The Cost Approach was developed due to the unique nature of the subject property's improvements as a special purpose property and the availability of recent land sales in this market from which to determine a site value.

<u>The Sales Comparison Approach</u> involves direct comparisons of the property being appraised to similar properties that have sold in the same or a similar market in order to derive an estimate of value for the subject property. This approach is based on the principle of substitution and that an informed purchaser would not pay more for a property than the cost of acquiring another property with similar utility. This approach is applicable when an active market provides a sufficient quantity of comparable sales that can be verified from reliable sources.

The Sales Comparison Approach was excluded in appraising the subject property since adequate data from reliable comparable sales was not available to provide a reasonable value estimate for the subject property.

<u>The Income Capitalization Approach</u> is based on the principle of anticipation and is a procedure in appraisal analysis that converts anticipated benefits to be derived from the ownership of property into a present dollar value estimate. The Income Capitalization Approach is widely applied in appraising income-producing properties since they are typically purchased for investment purposes and the projected net income stream and capitalization rate are the critical factors determining market value via this approach.

Given the specific characteristics of the subject property, the fact that large vineyards are not often leased and there is a lack of adequate lease comparable data, and the fact that the going concern value of the winery operation was beyond the scope of our assignment, the Income Capitalization Approach was not performed. <u>The Cost Approach</u> adds land acquisition costs and building costs (direct and indirect), thereby arriving at a total replacement cost for the subject property. From this figure, the applicable depreciation is subtracted. The Cost Approach has been developed to estimate the value of the subject's real property, including the FF&E. We have estimated the replacement costs of the building and site improvements. No vineyard establishment costs were included in our depreciated replacement cost analysis as the available land sales featured relatively comparable levels of planted vineyard land and therefore our adjusted land value indicators have already accounted for this factor.

Site Value Estimate A summary of the data on comparable vineyard land sales is itemized in the following table. All of the comparables feature a relatievely comparable level of planted vineyard land relative to the overall site area. The selected indicated is the price per net vineyard acre which is the relationship between sale price relative to the plantage acreage as planted acreage is the primary driver of value and this is the preferred metric utilized by local market participants. However, in order to accommodate for the incremental value of the additional non-planted land area we have adjusted for variances in this factor at a rate of \$10,000 per non-vineyard acre variance factored as the difference in the percentage of planted acreage relative to the sale price. The sales produced an unadjusted price range from \$27,449 to \$84,591 per net vine acre (planted acreage) and are considered the best available comparables at the time of this report.

As the subject consists of three vineyards with differing characteristics, we have included an adjustment grid for each vineyard. The subject's Live Oak Road Vineyard is located southwest of Paso Robles in the Willow Creek District of the Paso Robles AVA. This vineyard has better soils than the other two and has produced grapes that have been used in several highly rated wines in recent years.

The subject's San Marcos Road Vineyard and the Texas Road Vineyard are located adjacent to each other. These viveyards are located northwest of Paso Robles in the San Miguel District of the Paso Robles AVA.

		VINEYARD L	AND S	ALES - LIVE OAK ROAD)				
HAME LOCATION -COUNTY SLIP COUNTY AVA AGRICULTURAL REGION (ABERNEA) ARM	SUBJECT Rabbit Ridge - Live Cak 3500 Live Call Road Paso Robins CA Sen Lie Cheso County Paso Robes Willion Creek AW Region 6 - Centin Coset Ibb: 342-039	SALE 1 Annour Ridge Vineyard Isiki Advik Kond Rusi Balinas River, CA Sin Lus Obieso Courty Pano Robies Exhelia Cistici Riegori & Central Cost (205-882-035 8-010	ADJ.	SALE 7 Rambo Real/Mumur Vineyard 8020 Hwy (M) Santa Mara, CA Santa Dantara Courty Santa Mara, Valley AW Region R- Central Covel (11:322.013	ADJ.	SALE 3 Pine Hawk Vineyard 2051 (her mikk Viny Sak Majuti Ca San Loe Disspo Overty Paos Robes A/A. Region & Central Cover 1050-071-076 5-076	ADJ	LISTING Vogelzang Vineyand 1575 Misse Average Barta Datsers Court Bapta Cartyon AVA Report & Commit Cares 141 202-025	AD2
VERIFICATION	inspection DynamPublic Records	MLS Fiver FARES Brown - Janny Hanson S1508		Press Relense: MLS, FARES Broker-Joney Henton 17991		MLS, Langhet Broker - Jenny Herroten NWe		MLA, Flyer Broker - Carley Kendus	
BUNER BELLER		Stan Viceyord LLC Annon Ridge LLC		Salis Vineyards Inc Filiacho River LLC		Cantreo Cistars Note (USee)		HVP	
SALE PRICE PRICEINET VINE ACRE RIGHTS COM/ID ADJ. SALE PRICE	The Simple	\$2,690,000 \$27,449 I ¹ Hel Surryke \$2,990,000		514.500,000 868.720 Res Strape 314.500,000		\$2,300,000 \$51,367 Fau Sanpa Sa 300,000		\$8,500,000 \$84,591 Fue Simple fu roo poli	
FINANCI(d. ADJ SAKE PHILOS	MAC 1945	Conventional 52,690,000		Conventional \$14.500,000		Conventional 82,000,000		Available \$8,500,000	
COND OF SALE CONCESSIONS ADJ: SALE PRICE	anna Anna Anna	Market None Known \$2,000,000		Markes Hone Kristen S14 500,000		Maxwar None Proven \$2,000000		Marko) Norte Kriswitt 36:500.400	
DATE DE SALE ADJ. SALE PRICE		Aug-10 \$2,000,000		Apr-19 514 100,000				Attive (18th) \$5,525,000	-158
LOCATION	Wentge	Smilar		Superior	204	Similar		Squerce	20%
EXEMUSISE PARCEL(SQLFT, GROSS) ACRES(GROSS) ACRES(FROSS) ACRES(PLATTED) ACRES(PLATTED) ACRES(PLATTED) ACRES(PLATTED) ACRES(PLATTED) ACRES(PLATTED) SHAPE ZOWING DESCRIPTION CERTIFIED CIRGANC PLANTTRISS AT SALE	Agricultural 8,999,600 100,00 (#29,797 97,92 55% Intestitan Agricultural No Permanent (Viveyand)	Agocultural 5/014/142 13012 4 268(800 96/00 76% Rectanguas AG Agocultural 60 Permanes (vineyard)	9.8%	Agricultural 15,005,084 430,01 0,101,100 211:00 atris Historyada Alcola 100 Agricultural 16 Permutent (Vinegard)	-ann	Advicationa 14.365.464 14.365.464 14.365.414 54.51 30% Histompular Add Agrin Burs Viss Permanet (Vinespand)	D Sar	Aprilling 4,240,969 108,82 1,347,956 76,84 775 Freitang/da AGainton Agricultura 96 Permanent (Voregord)	× 078
PLANTED SUMBARY TYPE PRIMARY WRIETAL MIX (% Preview) PLANTED ADREAGE PRIXATION FROMTWEEMSCESS UTUTES WATER SUPPLY RIREATION DISTINCT SCHARD CONDITION TOPOGRAPHY ENTITLEMENTS WILLIANSON ACT WIPCOMEMONTS	Wine Gropes Caleerral Souwgrap (2016) Ped Veccor (1016) Termanica (144), Cale Prima (744), Temprania (5%), Moorvedre (145) 1918 Dig Late Acadada Ch. Solo Emplane Acadada Ch. Solo Emplane Acadada Ch. Solo Emplane Acadada (244), Galami) (344) Not Declosed MA Very Law Acertige Roding & Waterne Stopa Name Yes As V Vacarr	When Grapes (Jalemont Saurgnon Merici Syrah 98 I) Similar Similar Provant Waltu - 1 119 UPW ag West Hult Hult Hult Similar Similar Similar Ko	10% 5%	Wee Gropes Proof Nov. Chamborney Synth, Greaphbe, Vlogher Proof Grie Garmy 211 B Simbar Service Physics (Capacity Understanding Assaured Merguate) Wey Law Service Service Service Service Ma Service	59	Wire Gropes Sylah (37%) Sylah (37%) Sylah (37%) Sylah (37%) Sylah (37%) Sylah Santa Santa Randa Wela (3 High Canashi Ag Wai & Dannesit, Wei (GPM Noi Dannesit, W	511	We Gropes Samegron Row (JAN) (Sternet Sawgron (Yini Vegrer (ITN)) Weiter (ITN) Reint (ITN) Reint (ITN) Similar Similar Similar Private (Vesc2 SO) GPN Ag (Ven) A (SGN Domesic (Ven) Similar Similar Similar Similar Similar Similar Ni Kennel	
TOTAL NET ADJUSTIVENT ADJUSTED SALE PRICE FINAL ADJ. PRICE / NET VINE ACRE	m	24 8% \$3,358,491 \$34,270		17.0% 512.037.477 557.060		32.8% \$2.554.804 \$46.865		-25.5% 34,541 119 \$63,003	

VINEYARD LAND SALES - SAN MARCOS ROAD									
HAME LOCATION COUNTY SLIP COUNTY WA AGRICULTURAL REGUM (ASEMINA) APRIL	SUBJECT Rabbit Ridge - San Marcos 1172 San Warcos Road Paso Robies: CA San Lian Chesto Caurity Paso Robies: San Miguel AVA Risgun 6 - Centhal Coxet 105-104-051	SALE 1 Arrour Ridge Yineyard 1610 Advin Kond Ruiz Bounas River, CA Son Luis Obiero Courts Pinon Robles Einsteil Court Riegor B. Canton Court Riegor B. Canton Court 1026-185-005 8-010	ADJ.	SALE 7 Rancho Real/Murmur Vineyard 9020 Hwy (M) Santa Mara, CA Santa Dantam Courily Santa Mara, Valley AVA Region R- Central Corest (R1:520.015	AGJ.	SALE 3 Pine Hawk Vineyard 2051 (here minkk Viny San Majuti Co San Loie Disspo Drunty Paos Robes A/A. Region & Central Cover 1050-071-070 5 -076	ADJ	LISTING Vogelzang Vineyard 1975 Alassa Aventar Santa Tunzyon Zoom Region & Committee Region & Commit Coast 141-083 USB	ADJ
VERIFICATION COOLUNENT #	inspection DynamPublic Revorts	MLS. Fiver FARES Bronn Junity Human Strong		Press Release MLS, FARES Broker-Jones Henton 17991		MLB, Langheit Broker - Jenny Herroten Maa		MLB, Flyer Brown - Carley Kondan	
UNTER SELLER	-ms. -10	Stan Viteyard LLC Annour Ridge LLC		Salki Vineyards Inc. Rinacho Rivar LLC		Cantreo Cistars Note (USee)		NVP	
SALE PRICE PRICEINET VINE ACRE RIGHTS CON//D AUT SALE PRICE	That Simple	\$2,660,000 \$27,449 File Sample \$2,000,000		514.500,000 868.720 Fee Strape 314.000.000		\$2,300,000 \$51,367 Fair Sanpai 53,300,000		\$4,500,000 \$84,591 Fun Simulio for 500,000	
FINANCINICI ADJ SAKE PHILOS	144 1945	Conventional 50,690,000		Conventional \$14.500,000		Conventional 32,000,000		Available \$6,500,000	
COND DESALE. CONCESSIONS ADJ: SALE PRICE	ante Linea ante	Market None Known \$2,090,000		Marka Hone Kriawa Sn4 sn0,000		Mare Proven S2: (06:060		Marko) Norte Kriswitt 36:500.400	
DATE DE SALE ADJ. SALE PRICE		Aug-10 \$2,690,000		Apr-10 514:000,000		32.800,000		Attive (18th) \$5,525,000	-15%
LOCATION SITE EXISTINGLISE PARCEL(SIL)FT GROSS) ACRES (GROSS) ACRES (GROSS) ACRES (GLOTT PLANTED) ACRES (PLANTED) N PLANTED (IN TWAN STAPE FORMA COMMA DESTREEO GROSANC PLANTENG SAT SALE PLANTENG SAT SALE	Werage Agricultural 8.999,000 165,09 1,440,040 38.00 22% Inreautor Ma Permanent (Wreyard)	Similar Appositural 5,014,1102 130.12 4,268,000 90.01 75% Rectampase ACI Aphositural No Petimonest (Vineyard)	15.9%	Superior Agrica Atros 19,005,364 430,31 0,101,140 211,59 Atros Histosphale Atra- Agricational No.4-100 Agricational No.	20%	Similar Agricationa 14385,484 14395,484 14396,484 14,795,484 14,795,484 396, 396, 396, 496,494 405 Agricational Ves Permanent (Vinepard)	<i>B</i> ***	Sumeron Aproximation 108.822 1,047 150 76.83 719 Rectangular AG=100 Agro-shou No Petroanes/t. (Voreyard)	0.175
THE PRAVATED ACREAGE FRANTED ACREAGE FRANTON FROM (AGENISCESS UTUTES WATER SUPPLY ARREATION DISTRICT SCALE BASIN PROFITY DROMARD CONDITION TOPOGRAPHY ENTILLENTS WILLIANSON ACT MARCVEMENTS	Write Grapes: Dearwarter (1994) Permetho (2014), Defandel (1994) Casendel Sanwarter (1794) Pette Sinati (1956), Caren (1794) 34.0 Ding Line Acatagar One Stor Acatagar One Stor Manage Realing & Kutherate Storpe Name Yes Acat Yocart	Vine Grapes (Jalemei Saurgeon Meinet Synah 98 // Similar Similar Protasi Walle - 1 VIG UPWi Ag Weil HWI High Similar Similar Similar Nito Similar Nito Similar	10%	Wee Grapes Print Rov. Obumboriny Synth, Greacher, Wogher Print Cim. Samay 2015 Similar Samar Service Physik Work, (Capitor) Undertifieter Accurred Adleguate) Undertifieter Accurred Adleguate) Wey View Similar Service Service No Service No Service	-8%	Wire Grapes System (37%) System (37%) S4:5 Similar Sanitar Sanitar Sanitar Sanitar Sanitar Sanitar Prindle Wels: 2 High Canoshi Ag Wali & Donestic Well (GPM Not Discussion	- 256	Wet Sropes Sampon Bea, (MR) Caternet Savgren (MR) Vojner (MR) Maryades (MR) Renkt (MR) Simtar Simtar Simtar Simtar Simtar (MA Naman Similar Simtar Na Marina Na Marina	
TOTAL NET ADJUSTIVENT ADJUSTED SALE PRICE FINAL ADJ. PRICE / NET VINE ACRE	m	35.9%. \$3.655.560 \$37.302		12 0% \$12,759,616 \$60,472		2,9% \$2,881,805 \$02,864		-25 1% 34 671 680 \$83,400	

VINEYARD LAND SALES - TEXAS ROAD									
HAME LOCATION COUNTY SUB-COUNTY AVA ADREDIA THEOLON (ASPIREA) ARM	SUBJECT Rabbit Ridge - Toxas Road 1172 San Marcoli Road Pass Robies, CA San Lut Dispo Courty Plant Robies San Migael AVA Regrant - Convat Coard 1027-18: 1022	SALE 1 Armour Bidge Vineyard 1000 Antine Road Runi Salmas River, CA San Luks Otespo Courty Pasa, Robine Envisio District Regime 6 - Central Cosm 025,155 (70 % unit)	LOA	SALE 2 Rancho Real-Murrier Vineyard 8020 Hwn Utt Santa Hens, CA Santa Harse, CA Santa Harse Vietne AVA Regnin II: Cantral Creat Utt 6226 JUS	ADJ	SALE 3 Pine Hawk Vineyard 2051 Pine Hawk Wine Son Maxel, DA Son Lus Obstep Cointy Paus Robles AVA Pregun & Central Coast rds/01-075 4 -076	ADJ	LISTING Vogetang Vineyard 1535 Alson Avrou- Santa Yenz, CA Santa Batosa Courty Haavy Camini AVA Regnins - Control Coort 1911-000-059	AD4
VERFICATION	Inspection OwnerPublic Riscorth	NLS, Flyer, EARES Bhailet - Jerrry Hentzen 31600		Press Reinase III S EARES Brase - Janny Humann (7891		MLEI, LoopNet Bicker – Jähne Heinaun Nithe		NLS, Flyar Biskar – Carry Komual	
BUYER SELLER		Sian Weyani LLC Arman Ruige LLS		Eals Weesards Inc. Rejects Reed LLC		Castoro Gellan Finiti (Messi		Helings	
SALE PRICE PRICEMET VIIII ACRO INUITS COM/CO AOJ SALE FIRCE	Free Sympton	\$2,690,000 \$77,449 \$2,090,000		\$14,090,000 \$68,720 Fey Smith \$14,000,000		\$2,806,000 \$51,367 Free Sample \$2,900,000		86,000,000 574,391 8 se -2mg/c 30,000,000	
FINANCING ADJ. SALE PRICE		Convertional R:590,000		Convertience \$14 (c) (d)		Commissional \$2,000,000		Availuitiin \$5,500,000	
COND OF BALE CONCESSI NS ADJ SALS PRICE	10 10 10	Harver None Kalenn A2 090,000		Manut Norm Scorers \$34,550,080		Madod Noos Vorgen \$2,000,000		Markot None Known Bri 500 (500	
DATE OF BALE ADJ SAUE FRICE	-	Aug-10 (2),000,000		Apr-18 \$14.500.000		Jun-18 52 200 000		Active Lating \$6,525,000	+例
LOCATION SITE EXERTING USE PARCEL (SEL FT GROSS) ACRES (INANTED) ACRES (INANTED) ACRES (INANTED) MORES (INANTED) SHAFE ZOMNIG EXERTICAL STRALE	Average Agrectminal Steatoch 1500 5,245983 24174 4875 Integration 440 Agrectment Man Agrectment Man Permanent (Vinwarth	Samdar Agrezonianii Sunia, 192 130 12 4.288 880 60.50 72% Rhezimegudia Agrezoniani Agrezoni Agre	13/2%	Superior Agrimitival 19,005,004 430.01 0,191,180 211,00 83% Risciongular- 4(5)4100 Agricultural Agricultural Agricultural Agricultural Agricultural	20%	Simw Agricialisma Ina 200 (464 180 80 14 395, 464 54 51 39% Rectorigudan Agricultan Agricultan Vance Permanenti (Vinwend)	416	Superior Application 4 (40,100 +00.82 2,347,150 70.84 71% Rectangular ASI4100 Application Ho Permanent (Vireyand)	20%
PLANTING SUMMARY TYPE PROMARY VAREETAL MIX (M: Planted) PROMARY VAREETAL MIX (M: Planted) PROMARY VAREETAL MIX (M: Planted) PROMARY VAREETAL MIX (M: Planted) PROMARY VAREETAL MIX (M: Planted) WITTEN SUPPLY DROMARY MIX (M: Planted) DROMARY (Wrie Grapes Tartander (20%), Martial (10%), Perin Sam (11%), Patri Verteil (10%), Gereacter (10%), Catoerer Saw (10%), Stratt (10%), Phinawa (20%) 76 () Digit Ever Riverage Anulation Car Stan Private Wall (1 2 exceeding Taxie (10% Galacing) 75-1100 Geffel Cornestic: Wreb NA NA Negh Neeringe Riverage Musiciant Stops Haum Tes As it Vacuut	Whe Grapes Casemas Sauraphen Hindel Strati Strill Similar Private Viete: 1 310 GPU ag West 144 1451 Similar Similar Similar Similar Similar Similar Similar	10%	Whe Cropes Pind Nov, Chardomur Spinn Chardomur Pinn Bay, Daran 2010 Dimum Seman Seman Private Vieta (Captor) Conscioned Resamed Allequires NV Seman Sandar Sandar Sandar	5	Where Grapes Datement Sawagnum (83%) Byran (87%) Simila Simila Chonn Wallin J High Capacity Ag Werk As Datement Wallin J High Capacity Ag Werk As Datement Distinguish Similar Similar Similar Similar Similar Similar Similar Similar Similar Similar Similar Similar Similar Similar Similar Similar	.0%	Wine Grapes Saturygram Blanc (1346) Determet Saturgram (1756) Vogram (1956) Noarweare (1955) Kenter (2557 Similar Binlar Pinvate Webs & Binlar Pinvate Webs & Binlar Pinvate Webs & Binlar Pinvate Webs & Binlar Pinvate Webs & Binlar Similar Similar Similar Similar Similar Similar Similar	
TOTAL NET ADJUSTMENT ADJUSTED SALE FRIGE FINAL ADJ. PRICE / NET VINE ACRE		23,2% 80.013.749 \$33,809		19.9% 8/1.511.771 \$55.022		-10.3% (2.511,150 \$46,058		28 8%. 54 028 319 \$60,233	





COMPARABLE LAND SALES PHOTOS



SALE 1

SALE 2



SALE 3

LISTING

Live Oak Road Vineyard Site

The adjusted land sale prices range from \$34,270 to \$63,003 per net vineyard (planted) acre, with an average of \$50,297. Taking into account the Willow Creek District AVA, soil quality and history of producing highly rated wines, we have concluded at a price per acre at the upper end of the range. Accordingly, it is our opinion that a unit indicator of **\$60,000 per net vineyard (planted) acre** is supportable for the subject's 87.9 acres of planted vineyards.

In addition to the the planted acreage, the subject has 38.5 acres of plantable land that has been terraced and is ready for planting. To estimate a value of the plantable land, we have deducted the costs associated with planting the vines and installing the irrigation system. Planting costs are estimated at \$8,375 per acre (based on \$5 per vine and 1,675 vines per acre) and the cost of installing a drip irrigation system is estimate at \$6,000 per acre, resulting in total costs of \$14,375 per acre that must be deducted from the unit indicator above. Deducting these costs from the estimate of \$60,000 for a planted acre results in a price indication of \$45,625 (\$60,000 - \$14,375 = \$45,625) per plantable acre. Accordingly we have estimated the subject's **38.5 acres of plantable land based on \$45,000 per acre**.

Site Value Esti	Road		
	Size		
ltem	(acres)	\$/Acre	Value Indication
Planted Vineyards	87.9	\$60,000	\$5,275,200
Plantable Land	38.5	\$45,000	\$1,732,500
Indicated Value			\$7,007,700
Rounded to nearest \$100,000			\$7,000,000

The subject's site value for the Live Oak Road Vineyard is calculated as follows:

San Marcos Road Vineyard Site

The adjusted land sale prices range from \$37,302 to \$63,400 per net vineyard (planted) acre, with an average of \$53,509. Taking into account the San Miguel District AVA, and soil quality, we have concluded at a price per acre at the lower end of the range. Accordingly, it is our opinion that a unit indicator of **\$40,000 per net vineyard** (planted) acre is supportable for the subject's 34.0 acres of planted and actively farmed vineyards.

In addition to the the planted acreage, the subject has 57.0 acres of land that was previously planted with vines that have now fallowed, is fully trellised and irrigated, and is eligible for replanting under the TAP Program incentives. To estimate a value of the plantable land, we have deducted the costs associated with planting the vines. Planting costs are estimated at \$8,375 per acre (based on \$5 per vine and 1,675 vines per acre) that must be deducted from the unit indicator above. Deducting these costs from the estimate of \$40,000 for a planted acre results in a price indication of \$31,625 (\$40,000 - \$8,375 = \$31,625) per plantable acre. Accordingly we have estimated the subject's **57.0 acres of plantable land based on \$31,500 per acre**.

Site Value Estimate - San Marcos Road						
ltem	Size (acres)	\$/Acre	Value Indication			
Planted Vineyards	34.0	\$40,000	\$1,360,000			
Plantable Land	57.0	\$31,500	\$1,795,500			
Indicated Value			\$3,155,500			
Rounded to nearest \$100,000			\$3,200,000			

The subject's site value for the San Marcos Road Vineyard is calculated as follows:

Texas Road Vineyard Site

The adjusted land sale prices range from \$33,809 to \$60,233 per net vineyard (planted) acre, with an average of \$48,786. Taking into account the San Miguel District AVA, and soil quality, we have concluded at a price per acre at the lower end of the range. Accordingly, it is our opinion that a unit indicator of **\$40,000 per net vineyard** (planted) acre is supportable for the subject's 74.5 acres of planted and actively farmed vineyards.

In addition to the the planted acreage, the subject has 40.46 acres of land that was previously planted with vines that have now fallowed, is fully trellised and irrigated, and is eligible for replanting under the TAP Program incentives. To estimate a value of the plantable land, we have deducted the costs associated with planting the vines. Planting costs are estimated at \$8,375 per acre (based on \$5 per vine and 1,675 vines per acre) that must be deducted from the unit indicator above. Deducting these costs from the estimate of \$40,000 for a planted acre results in a price indication of \$31,625 (\$40,000 - \$8,375 = \$31,625) per plantable acre. Accordingly we have estimated the subject's **40.46 acres of plantable land based on \$31,500 per acre**.

Site Value Estimate - Texas Road					
ltem	Size (acres)	\$/Acre	Value Indication		
Planted Vineyards	74.5	\$40,000	\$2,981,600		
Plantable Land	40.5	\$31,500	\$1,274,490		
Indicated Value			\$4,256,090		
Rounded to nearest \$100,000			\$4,300,000		

The subject's site value for the Texas Road Vineyard is calculated as follows:
VALUE OF IMPROVEMENTS

In estimating the replacement cost new of the subject improvements, cost estimates for the buildings and site improvements were derived from the *Marshall Valuation Service* (Calculator Method), a widely used and well regarded guide for the development of reproduction and replacement cost estimates. This service provides data on a variety of building types and classes of construction with appropriate adjustments for quality, condition, timing and geographic location.

Costs developed reflect the final cost to the owner and include typical costs of:

- 1. Architectural and engineering services, including normal surveying costs.
- 2. Plans, plan checking and estimated average permit fees.
- 3. Normal construction loan fees and interest during typical course of construction.
- 4. Sales tax on materials.
- 5. Utilities from the lot line to the structure for typical setbacks.
- 6. Contractor overhead and profit, including on-site supervision, but not developer/entrepreneurial profit.
- 7. Costs of typical site preparation, including foundation excavation and backfill.

Direct Costs

Estimates for direct costs were separated into three main categories: main residential building improvements, retail winery improvements, and wine production facility improvements. To account for economies of scale, the building base cost was adjusted using an area/shape multiplier and height multiplier. Current and local cost multipliers were also incorporated into the cost analysis to account for local and current market conditions. As previously noted no vineyard establishment costs were included in our depreciated replacement cost analysis as the majority of available land sales featured relatively comparable levels of planted vineyard land and therefore our adjusted land value indicators have already accounted for this factor.

Indirect Costs

Indirect costs include interest, property taxes, excess municipal and professional fees, and developer's profit. For the purpose of our analysis, the construction period was estimated at twelve months. Taxes were estimated at 1.08368% of the land value for 18 months. Conversations with several developers indicated an anticipated developer's profit range of 5% to 20% of the total direct and indirect costs. A 10% profit was considered appropriate for the subject given the current economic climate.

Estimate of Accrued Depreciation

Depreciation is a loss in utility and, thus, value from any cause. We have estimated the life expectancy and depreciation rate for each component of the subject's improvements. A depreciation breakdown is shown at the

bottom of the Replacement Cost Summary Table. We also considered other forms of depreciation such as functional and external obsolescence which the subject does not exhibit.

FF&E Value Valuation of the subject's FF&E was beyond the scope of our assignment and we would advise the client obtain a valuation from a personal property appraiser experienced in valuing equipment and fixtures for wineries.

Site Value As discussed in the fee simple site value estimate section of this report the value of the subject's supporting vineyard sites was estimated at **\$7,000,000** for the Live Oak Road Vineyard site which is improved with the Residential Villa, and at **\$3,200,000** for the San Marcos Road Vineyard site which is improved with the Rabbit Ridge Winery facility.

Presentation of Cost Approach The value of the improved sites for the Live Oak Road Vineyard and Residence and the San Marcos Road Vineyard and winery facility are presented in the tables on the following pages. No table is presented for the Texas Road Vineyard as there are no building improvements on that site.

REF	LACEM	ENT COS	T SUMM/	ARY			
Rabbit Ridge -					neyard		
Direct Building Costs							
Main Buildings - Residences							
Marshall Valuation Service - Average/Good/Excellent Quality,	Class D* Res	idence, Section	n 12, Page 27,	29 (August	2018)		
Primary Residential Villa	7,532	SF	@	\$	343.00	\$ 2,583,476	
Labor Dwelling	900	SF	@	\$	82.00	\$ 73,800	
Mobile Home	900	SF	@	\$	82.00	\$ 73,800	
Height Multiplier						1.00	
Current Multiplier						1.02	
Local Multiplier (Santa Barbara)					-	1.21	\$3,279,6
<u>Accessory Improvements</u> Marshall Valuation Service - Average/Good Quality, Class S F	arm Buildings	Section 17 (A	Aav 2019)				
•	•	SF	• /	¢	20 50	¢ 70.000	
Shop Building Perimeter Multiplier	2,400	55	@	\$	30.50	\$ 73,200 1.000	
Current Multiplier						1.00	
Local Multiplier (Santa Barbara)					-	1.21	\$90,3
Site Improvements							
Included in Vineyard Land Value							
ndirect Building Costs		truct. Period			and Value		
Taxes on Land	18	months	1.0)8368% \$			
Permits & Fees				@	5%	\$ 168,498	
Professional Fees (Architects, engineers, surveys, etc.) Marketing Fees				@	5%	\$ 168,498 \$ 15,000	
Total Indirect Costs					-	φ 13,000 	\$465,9
Fatal Direct & Indirect Costs						_	¢2 025 0
Fotal Direct & Indirect Costs Developer's Profit (% of Total Direct & Indirect Costs)				10.0%			\$3,835,8 \$383,5
TOTAL REPLACEMENT COSTS NEW				10.0%			\$363,0 \$4,219,4
							• , - ,
Minus Total Depreciation			200/			¢ (4 520 022)	¢4 500 (
Physical Subtotal - Physically Depreciated Replacement Costs			36%			\$ (1,530,923)	-\$1,530,9 \$2,688, 5
Functional			0%			\$ -	ψ2,000,0
External			0%			\$ -	
Total Depreciated Replacement Cost					-		\$2,688,5
Plus Site Value							\$7,000,0
TOTAL REPLACEMENT COST							\$9,688,5
s Is Market Value Indicated by the Cost Approach	(Rounded to	o nearest \$1	00,000):				\$ 9,700,0
Depreciation Table			o/ =		. .		
	<u>spectancy</u>	Eff. Ag			<u>Replacen</u>	nent Costs *	Depreciat
	55 45	20 15	36' 33'			\$4,106,348 \$113,118	\$1,493,2 \$37,7
Total Depreciation via Age/Life Method	J	10	36			\$4,219,466	، رونې \$1,530,9
* Replacement cost adjusted by local and current multipliers			30	/0		Ψ , ,213, 1 00	φ1,000,5

<u>REPI</u> Rabbit Ridge		ENT COS				ard			
Direct Building Costs	- San w	Iarcos R	a wine	ry c« v ir	ley	/aru			
Winery Buildings - Production/Tasting/Office/Guest Suite									
Marshall Valuation Service - Good/Excellent Quality, Class D/C	Winery Build	lings, Section	13 Page 2	24 (May 2018	3)				
Main Winery Building	43,210	SF	@		\$	153.00	\$	6,611,130	
Perimeter Multiplier	-, -		Ŭ		•		·	1.000	
Current Multiplier								1.04	
Local Multiplier (Santa Barbara)								1.21	\$8,319,440
Wine Production & Accessory Improvements Marshall Valuation Service - Average/Good Quality, Class S Fan	m Buildings,	Section 17 (I	Лау 2019)						
Barn/Shop Building	943	SF	@		\$	30.50	\$	28,762	
Barn/Shop Building	1,296	SF	@		\$	30.50	\$	39,528	
Perimeter Multiplier			-		-			1.000	
Current Multiplier								1.02	
Local Multiplier (Santa Barbara)								1.21	\$84,283
Site Improvements									
Included in Vineyard Land Value									
Indirect Building Costs	Const	truct. Period		Rate		Land Value			
Taxes on Land	18	months			\$	3,200,000	•	52,159	
Permits & Fees				@		10%	\$	840,373	
Professional Fees (Architects, engineers, surveys, etc.)				@		5%	\$	420,186	
Marketing Fees							\$	15,000	¢4 007 74
Total Indirect Costs								-	\$1,327,71
Total Direct & Indirect Costs									\$9,731,44
Developer's Profit (% of Total Direct & Indirect Costs)				10.0%				_	\$973,14
TOTAL REPLACEMENT COSTS NEW									\$10,704,59
Minus Total Depreciation									
Physical			22%				\$	(2,390,727)	-\$2,390,72
Subtotal - Physically Depreciated Replacement Costs			0%				¢		\$8,313,86
Functional External			0% 0%				\$	-	¢
Total Depreciated Replacement Cost			0%				\$	-	\$(\$8,313,86
Plus Site Value									\$3,200,00
TOTAL REPLACEMENT COST								-	\$11,513,86
As Is Market Value Indicated by the Cost Approach (F	Rounded to	nearest \$1	00,000):						\$ 11,500,00
Depreciation Table									
Life Expe		<u>Eff. Ag</u>	<u>e % D</u>	eprec.		Replacer	nent		Depreciation
Winery Building 45		10		22%				\$10,597,233	\$2,354,94
Accessory Improvements 45	Ď	15		33%				\$107,359	\$35,78
Total Depreciation via Age/Life Method				22%				\$10,704,592	\$2,390,72

Conclusion As indicated on the Cost Approach Summary Tables included on the preceding pages, adding land value to the depreciated replacement cost results in a total value estimate.

The value conclusion for the three parcels that make up the subject are summarized below:

AS IS VALUE CONCLUSION					
Item	Value Conclusion				
Live Oak Road Vineyard & Residential Villa	\$9,700,000				
San Marcos Road Vineyard & Winery Facility	\$11,500,000				
Texas Road Vineyard	\$4,300,000				
Total As Is Value	\$25,500,000				

Accordingly, the as is real property value of the subject property's Fee Simple interest, as derived by the **Cost Approach**, as of March 17, 2020, was:

As Is Market Value via The Cost Approach

TWENTY-FIVE MILLION FIVE HUNDRED THOUSAND DOLLARS

(\$25,500,000)

Sciences Case 8:20Rbkc03014-MWONDotD24ONFiledSI0/16/20 Entered 11/16/20/20010:27/inerDes/cineyards Main Document Page 114 of 177

APPROACHES TO VALUE	VALUE INDICATOR
Cost Approach	\$25,500,000
Sales Approach	Not Developed
Income Approach	Not Developed
Concluded As Is Market Value	\$25,500,000

The Cost Approach was developed due to the unique nature of the subject property's improvements as a special purpose property and the availability of recent land sales in this market from which to determine a site value. The Cost Approach is our sole valuation methodology as large winery properties like the subject are generally built by owner users for their own specific use.

The Sales Comparison Approach was not developed due to the lack of recent sales data on winery and vineyard properties of a similar size that would be directly comparable to the subject property.

The Income Capitalization Approach has not been developed as similar properties with a large component of non-producing open space are predominantly purchased by owner-users and not for leased investments.

As of the effective date, the short and long-term impact on the real estate market from the COVID-19 virus is unknown. However, due to the virus, it is reasonable to assume that current market conditions will extend marketing times beyond the recent historical levels. Additionally, it could have a negative impact on values, but the impact is still unknown

The effective date of the As Is Market Value is March 17, 2020, the date of engagement for this assignment. As a result of our study and investigation, we conclude that the estimated As Is Market Value of the subject property's Fee Simple Estate, predicated on an estimated exposure time of up to 12 months, as of March 17, 2020, was:

AS IS MARKET VALUE Twenty-Five Million Five Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$25,500,000)

INSURABLE REPLACEMENT VALUE

At the request of the client, an insurable replacement cost estimate is provided. Insurable Replacement Cost is defined as:

The current replacement cost of building structures only, including existing tenant improvements. The estimate of Insurable Replacement Cost should include allowances for repair, engineering and design fees, building permit fees, as well as contractor's overhead and profit. It will not include: (1) costs associated with land acquisition and development; (2) professional fees not directly related to repairs; (3) taxes; (4) financing costs; (5) property owner's overhead and profit; (6) loss of income during repairs; (7) loss of personal property not necessary to the operation, management or marketing of the project; and (8) any/all other costs not relevant to the repair of the insured damages.

The estimated cost new of improvements is based on current prices of labor and materials to either reproduce the improvements or to replace the improvements with equally desirable facilities with similar utility. In estimating the replacement cost new of the subject improvements, *Marshall Valuation Service* figures for construction similar building improvements were used. Costs developed reflect the final cost to the owner and include typical costs of:

- 1. Professional fees such as average architect's & engineer's fees, landscape design, etc.
- 2. Plans, plan checking and estimated average permit fees.
- 3. Normal construction loan fees and interest during typical course of construction.
- 4. Materials, sales tax on materials, and labor costs are included.
- 5. Utilities from the lot line to the structure for typical setbacks.
- 6. Contractor overhead and profit, including on-site supervision, workmen's compensation, fire & liability insurance, unemployment insurance, equipment, temporary facilities, security, etc. are included. It is noted that the developer/entrepreneurial profit is not included.
- 7. Costs of typical site preparation, including foundation excavation and backfill.

The costs reflect the current replacement cost of fully finished buildings, including engineering and design fees; permit fees; contractor's overhead and profit, and all tenant improvements. The costs do not include costs associated with land acquisition and development; professional fees not directly related to repairs, taxes, financing costs, owner's overhead and profit; loss of income during repairs, chattels not included above; and all other costs not relevant to the repair of insured damages.

NOTE: The Insurable Replacement Cost provided should be considered a preliminary estimate. The appraisers are not licensed contractors or professional insurance underwriters. The estimate provided is based on published guidelines for general property types and not specific to the subject property. For a complete and accurate account of the cost to replace the existing improvements, we recommend that a licensed contractor or insurance company be contacted to estimate the current construction costs.

Direct Building Costs									
Main Buildings - Residences									
Marshall Valuation Service - Average/	Good/Excellent Qua	ality, Class	D* Residence,	Section 12	, Page 27	, 29	(August 201	18)	
Primary Residential Villa	7,532	SF	@	\$	343.00	\$ 2	2,583,476		
Labor Dwelling	900	SF	@	\$	82.00	\$	73,800		
Mobile Home	900	SF	@	\$	82.00	\$	73,800		
Height Multiplier							1.00		
Current Multiplier							1.02		
Local Multiplier (Santa Barbara)							1.21	\$3,279,	610
Total Direct Costs							_	\$3,279,	610

* Replacement cost adjusted by local and current multipliers

INSURABLE REPLACEMENT COST SUMMARY Rabbit Ridge - San Marcos Rd Winery & Vineyard

Retail Tasting Room	43,210	SF	@	\$	153.00	\$ 6,611,130	
Perimeter Multiplier						1.000	
Current Multiplier						1.04	
Local Multiplier (Santa Barbara)						 1.21	\$8,319,446
Wine Production & Accessory Impr	ovements						
Marshall Valuation Service - Average	e/Good Quality, Class	S Farm B	Buildings, Sectio	n 17 (May	2019)		
Barn / Shop Building	943	SF	@	\$	30.50	\$ 28,762	
Barn / Shop Building	1,296	SF	@	\$	30.50	\$ 39,528	
Perimeter Multiplier						1.000	
Current Multiplier						1.02	
Local Multiplier (Santa Barbara)						 1.21	\$84,283
Total Direct Costs						_	\$8,403,729

LIQUIDATION VALUE

The estimated liquidation (disposition) valuation of the subject is premised on the As Is Market Value estimated in the referenced appraisal. The disposition value differs from the market value in the respect that a disposition value is based upon the seller being under compulsion to sell.

The *Liquidation (Quick Sale) Value*¹ is stated as the most probable price, which a specified interest in real property is likely to bring under all of the following conditions:

- 1. Consummation of the sale will occur within a limited future marketing period specified by the client;
- 2. The actual market conditions currently prevailing are those to which the appraised property interest is subject;
- 3. Buyer and seller each acting prudently and knowledgably:
- 4. The seller is under compulsion to sell:
- 5. The buyer is typically motivated;
- 6. Both parties acting in what they consider their best interests;
- 7. An adequate marketing effort made for the limited time for completion of sale;
- 8. Payment is made in cash, in U.S. dollars or in terms of financial arrangements comparable thereto;
- 9. The price represents the normal consideration for the property sold unaffected by special or creative financing or sales concessions granted by anyone associated with the sale.

Given the current financial market and lack of demand for service station properties, a significant discount over the previously concluded As Is Real Property Value is reasonable for the estimate of a disposition value for the subject. It reflects not only the discounted price but also the cost to hold and sell the real estate during the short marketing period. The Disposition Value assumes an immediate sale and closing of the property within one month timeframe, as stipulated by the client.

To establish an appropriate discount to apply in this process, we believe the annual return projected for the property would have to be increased to a level that would catch the immediate attention of investors and justify a very short due diligence period and the immediate execution of purchase on the property. Given the good quality and large size of the subject property, which would limit the potential pool of buyers, a 15% discount is deemed reasonable. The estimated 15% discount is indicated in the following table as "lender ownership factors".

In addition to the overall 15% discount there are other fees that need to be deducted to arrive at the liquidation value. These fees include costs of taking possession of the asset, management of the asset, existing liens, delinquent taxes (if any), and brokerage fees associated with disposition of the asset. The estimated fees applicable to the subject are summarized in the following table.

¹ The definition noted above is derived from the Dictionary of Real Estate Appraisal, 5th Edition, 2010.

Liquidation (Disposit	ion) Value Calculations		
Market Value:			\$25,500,000
Less Cost of:			
1) Taking Possession (Legal & Closing Fees, etc.)		5.0%	(\$1,275,000
2) Preserving the Asset (Operating Expenses during 6-MONTH	Holding Period)		
Real Estate Taxes	Current Tax Rate	1.0837%	(\$276,33
Property Insurance	\$0.28 - \$0.79/SF of GBA	\$0.55/SF of GBA	(\$31,45
Improvement Maintenance/Repairs/Security	\$0.21 - \$0.81/SF of GBA	\$0.40/SF of GBA	(\$22,87
Vineyard Management	\$4,000 - \$9,000/Acre/Year	\$5,000/Acre/Year	(\$219,80
Misc/Contingency	5% - 15% of Subtotal	10.0%	(\$55,04
Total Operating Costs (12 MONTHS)			(\$605,50
Total Lender Costs 3) *Lender Ownership Factors		15.0%	(\$1,880,50
4) Delinquent Real Estate Taxes (If Any)		13.0 %	(\$2,815,33
Gross Liquidation (Disposition) Value Estimate:			\$ 16,979,15
5) Sales Commissions		3.0%	(\$509,37
Net Liquidation (Disposition) Value Estimate:			\$ 16,469,78
		ROUNDED	\$16,470,00
	%	of Market Value	64.69
* Lender Ownership Factors: A reduction in value due to the real es Business operations have ceased and the property is closed and v asset therefore total combined exposure and marketing time allower	acant; 2) Lender in possession; 3)	The lender is motiv	vated to sell the



Addenda

Assumptions and Limiting Conditions Professional Qualifications Assessor's Information Property Tax Bills Vineyard Details Client's Engagement Letter

ASSUMPTIONS AND LIMITING CONDITIONS

BY THIS NOTICE, ALL PERSONS AND FIRMS REVIEWING, UTILIZING OR RELYING UPON THIS REPORT IN ANY MANNER, BIND THEMSELVES TO ACCEPT THESE ASSUMPTIONS AND LIMITING CONDITIONS. Do not use this report if you do not so accept. These conditions are a part of the appraisal report; they are a preface to any value conclusion, certification, definition, fact or analysis. This appraisal report is an economic study to estimate value as defined herein. It is not a geotechnical, engineering, construction, legal or architectural study nor survey, and expertise in these areas, among others, is not implied.

1. LIMIT OF LIABILITY:

The liability of the Appraisers, The Property Sciences Group Inc. and employees and affiliated independent contractors is limited to the client only (client indicated on cover page of report) and to the fee actually received by the Appraisers (total per appraisal). Further, there is no accountability, obligation, nor liability to any third party. A third party is defined as anyone other than the client indicated on the cover page. If, however, this report is placed in the hands of anyone other than client, the client shall make such party aware of all assumptions and limiting conditions of the assignment and related discussions. The Appraisers are in no way to be responsible for any costs incurred to discover or correct deficiencies of any type present in the property; physically, financially, and/or legally. In the case of limited partnerships or syndication offerings or stock offerings in real estate, client agrees that in case of lawsuit (brought by lender, partner or part owner in any form of ownership, tenant, or any other party), any and all awards, settlements of any type in such suit, regardless of outcome, client will hold Appraisers completely harmless in any such action.

2. COPYRIGHT, PUBLICATION, DISTRIBUTION, USE OF REPORT:

This report is Copyrighted[©] and reproduction of this document (any part thereof or in its entirety) without the authorization from The Property Sciences Group Inc. is expressly prohibited.

Possession of this report or any copy thereof does not carry with it the right of publication, nor may it be used for other than its intended use; the physical report(s) remain the property of the Appraisers for the use of the client, the fee being for the analytical services only.

The Bylaws and Regulations of the Appraisal Institute require each Member and Candidate to control the use and distribution of each appraisal report signed by such Member or Candidate; except as hereinafter provided, the client may not distribute copies of this appraisal to any third party. This report may not be released to any third party without the authorization of The Property Sciences Group Inc. Any unauthorized release will render the appraisal to be invalid. Neither all nor any part of this appraisal report shall be disseminated to the general public by the use of advertising media, public relations, news, sales or other media for public communication without the prior written consent of the Appraisers. (See last item in following list for client agreement/consent.)



Case 8:20-bk-13014-MW Doc 24 Filed 11/16/20 Entered 11/16/20 20:10:27 Desc Main Document Page 121 of 177

3. CONFIDENTIALITY:

This appraisal is to be used only in its entirety and no part is to be used without the whole report. All conclusions and opinions concerning the analysis set forth in the report were prepared by the Appraisers whose signatures appear on the appraisal report, unless indicated as "Review Appraiser." No change of any item in the report shall be made by anyone other than the Appraisers and/or officer of The Property Sciences Group Inc. The Appraisers and The Property Sciences Group Inc. shall have no responsibility if any such unauthorized change is made.

The Appraisers may not divulge the material (evaluation) contents of the report, analytical findings or conclusions, or give a copy of the report to anyone other than the client or his/her designee as specified in writing except as may be required by the Appraisal Institute for standards or ethics enforcement, or by a court of law or body with the power of subpoena.

4. TRADE SECRETS:

This appraisal was obtained from The Property Sciences Group Inc. or related companies and/or its individuals or related independent contractors and consists of "trade secrets and commercial or financial information" which is privileged and confidential and exempted from disclosure under 5 U.S.C. 552 (b) (4). Notify the Appraisers signing the report or officers of The Property Sciences Group Inc. of any request to reproduce this appraisal in whole or part.

5. INFORMATION USED:

No responsibility is assumed for accuracy of information furnished by work of or work by others, the client, his/her designee, or public records. This information includes, but is not limited to, numerical street address, lot and block numbers, Assessors Parcel Numbers, lot dimensions, lot sizes, dimensions of the improvements, gross building areas, net rentable areas, rent schedules or rent rolls, income data, operating expenses, operating budgets, property taxes, and related data. The Appraisers are not liable for such information or the work of possible subcontractors. The comparable data relied upon in this report has been confirmed with one or more parties familiar with the transaction or from affidavit or other source thought reasonable; all are considered appropriate for inclusion to the best of our factual judgment and knowledge. An impractical and uneconomic expenditure of time would be required in attempting to furnish unimpeachable verification in all instances, particularly as to engineering and market-related information. It is suggested that the client consider independent verification as a prerequisite to any transaction involving sale, lease, loan, or other significant commitment of funds on the subject property. Any material error in any of the above data could have a substantial impact on the value conclusions indicated in the appraisal. Therefore, the Appraisers reserve the right to amend the appraisal and value conclusions if made aware of any such error. Accordingly, the client should carefully review all assumptions, data, leases, rent rolls, relevant calculations, and value conclusions within 30 days after the delivery of this report (the delivery date being indicated on the transmittal letter) and notify the Appraisers of any errors/omissions.

6. TESTIMONY, CONSULTATION, COMPLETION OF CONTRACT FOR APPRAISAL SERVICES:

The contract for appraisal, consultation or analytical service, is fulfilled and the total fee payable upon completion of the report. The Appraisers or those assisting in preparation of the report will not be asked or required to give testimony in court or hearing because of having made the appraisal, in full or in part, nor engage in post-appraisal consultation with client or third parties except under separate and special arrangement and at additional fee. If



Case 8:20-bk-13014-MW Doc 24 Filed 11/16/20 Entered 11/16/20 20:10:27 Desc Main Document Page 122 of 177

testimony or deposition is required because of any subpoena, the client shall be responsible for any additional time, fees, and charges regardless of issuing party.

7. EXHIBITS:

The sketches and maps in this report are included to assist the reader in visualizing the property and are not necessarily to scale. Various photos, if any, are included for the same purpose as of the date of the photos. Site plans are not surveys unless shown from separate surveyor.

8. LEGAL, ENGINEERING, FINANCIAL, STRUCTURAL, OR MECHANICAL NATURE HIDDEN COMPONENTS, SOIL:

The Appraisers and/or The Property Sciences Group Inc. has no responsibility for matters legal in character or nature, nor of any architectural, structural, mechanical, or engineering nature. No opinion is rendered as to the title, which is presumed to be good and merchantable. The property is appraised as if free and clear, unless otherwise stated in particular parts of the report. No attempt has been made to render an opinion of or status of easements that may exist, unless stated otherwise (i.e. that the scope of the assignment does indeed include an analysis of easements).

The legal description is assumed to be correct as used in this report as furnished by the client, his/her designee, or other sources. However, the Appraisers and The Property Sciences Group Inc. can not guarantee the accuracy of the legal description. The client should verify the accuracy of the legal description before recordation with any deeds of trust. This responsibility remains with the client and is not maintained by the Appraisers nor The Property Sciences Group Inc.

Please note that no advice is given regarding mechanical equipment or structural integrity or adequacy, nor soils and potential for settlement, drainage, and such (seek assistance from qualified architect and/or engineer) nor matters concerning liens, title status, and legal marketability (seek legal assistance), and such. The lender and owner should inspect the property before any disbursement of funds. Further, it is likely that the lender or owner may wish to require mechanical or structural inspections by qualified and licensed contractor, civil or structural engineer, architect, or other expert.

The Appraisers have inspected as far as possible, by observation, the land and the improvements. However, it was not possible to personally observe conditions beneath the soil or hidden structural, or other components. We have not critically inspected mechanical components within the improvements and no representations are made herein as to these matters unless specifically stated and considered in the report. The value estimate considers there being no such conditions that would cause a loss of value. The land or the soil of the area being appraised appears firm, unless otherwise stated in the report. However, subsidence in the area is unknown. The Appraisers do not warrant against this condition or occurrence of problems arising from soil conditions.

The appraisal is based on there being no hidden, unapparent, or apparent conditions of the property site, subsoil, or structures or toxic materials which would render it more or less valuable. The Appraisers and/or The Property Sciences Group Inc. have no responsibility for any such conditions or for any expertise or engineering to discover them. All mechanical components are assumed to be in operable condition and status standard for properties of the



Case 8:20-bk-13014-MW Doc 24 Filed 11/16/20 Entered 11/16/20 20:10:27 Desc Main Document Page 123 of 177

subject type. Conditions of heating, cooling, ventilating, electrical and plumbing equipment are considered to be commensurate with the condition of the balance of the improvements unless otherwise stated. No judgment may be made by us as to adequacy of insulation, type of insulation, or energy efficiency of the improvements or equipment which is assumed standard for subject age and type.

If the Appraisers have not been supplied with a termite inspection, survey or occupancy permit, no responsibility or representation is assumed or made for any costs associated with obtaining same or for any deficiencies discovered before or after they are obtained. No representation or warranties are made concerning obtaining the above mentioned items.

The Appraisers have no responsibility for any costs or consequences arising due to the need, or the lack of need for flood hazard insurance. An agent for the National Flood Insurance Program should be contacted to determine the actual need for flood hazard insurance.

9. LEGALITY OF USE:

The appraisal is based on the premise that, there is full compliance with all applicable federal, state and local environmental regulations and laws, unless otherwise stated in the report, and also that all applicable zoning, building and use regulations and restrictions of all types have been complied with unless otherwise stated in the report. Further, it is assumed that all required licenses, consents, permits, or other legislative or administrative authority, local, state, federal and/or private entity or organization have been or can be obtained or renewed for any use considered in the value estimate.

10. COMPONENT VALUES:

The distribution of the total valuation in this report between land and improvements applies only under the existing program of utilization. The separate valuations for land and building must not be used independently, or in conjunction with any other appraisal and are invalid if so used.

11. AUXILIARY AND RELATED STUDIES:

No environmental or impact studies, special market study or analysis, highest and best use analysis study or feasibility study have been requested or made unless otherwise specified in an agreement for services or in the report.

12. DOLLAR VALUES, PURCHASING POWER:

The market value estimated, and the costs used, are as of the date of the estimate of value. All dollar amounts are based on the purchasing power and price of the dollar as of the date of the value estimate.

13. INCLUSIONS:

Furnishings and equipment or personal property or business operations, except as specifically indicated and typically considered as a part of real estate, have been disregarded with only the real estate being considered in the value estimate unless otherwise stated. In some property types, business and real estate interests and values are combined.



Case 8:20-bk-13014-MW Doc 24 Filed 11/16/20 Entered 11/16/20 20:10:27 Desc Main Document Page 124 of 177

14. PROPOSED IMPROVEMENTS, CONDITIONED VALUE:

Improvements proposed, if any, on or off-site, as well as any repairs required are considered, for purposes of this appraisal to be completed in good and workmanlike manner according to information submitted and/or considered by the Appraisers. In cases of proposed construction, the appraisal is subject to change upon inspection of property after construction is completed. This estimate of market value is as of the date shown, as proposed, as if completed and operating at levels shown and forecasted.

15. VALUE CHANGE, DYNAMIC MARKET, INFLUENCES, ALTERATION OF ESTIMATE BY APPRAISERS:

The estimated market value, which is defined in the report, is subject to change with market changes over time; value is highly related to exposure, time, promotional effort, terms, motivation and conditions surrounding the offering. The value estimate considers the productivity and relative attractiveness of the property physically and economically in the marketplace. The appraisal report and value estimate are subject to change if physical or legal entity or financing are different than that envisioned in this report.

16. MANAGEMENT OF THE PROPERTY:

It is assumed that the property which is the subject of this report will be under prudent and competent ownership and management; neither inefficient nor superefficient.

17. FEE:

The fee for this appraisal or study is for the service rendered and not for the time spent on the physical report or the physical report itself. Amount or payment of fee for services is not contingent on any result, approval amount or other estimates or statements.

18. AUTHENTIC COPIES:

The authentic copies of this report are signed in blue ink. Any copy that does not have the above is unauthorized and may have been altered.

19. INSULATION AND TOXIC MATERIALS:

In this appraisal assignment, the existence of potentially hazardous material used in the construction or maintenance of the building, such as the presence of urea-formaldehyde foam insulation, and/or the presence of asbestos containing building materials (ACBM), and/or the existence of toxic waste, which may or may not be present on the property, was not observed, unless stated in the report; nor do the Appraisers have any knowledge of the existence of such materials on or in the property. The Appraisers, however, are not qualified to detect such substances. The existence of urea-formaldehyde insulation, or ACBM, or other potentially hazardous waste material may have an effect on the value of the property. It is recommended that the client retain an expert in this field, if desired. If such substances are present, the value of the property may be adversely affected and re-appraisal at additional cost will be necessary to estimate the effects of such.



Case 8:20-bk-13014-MW Doc 24 Filed 11/16/20 Entered 11/16/20 20:10:27 Desc Main Document Page 125 of 177

20. REVIEW:

Unless otherwise noted herein, named Review Appraiser of/from The Property Sciences Group Inc. has reviewed the report only as to general appropriateness of technique and format, and has not necessarily inspected the subject or market comparable properties.

21. CHANGES, MODIFICATIONS:

The Appraisers and/or officers of The Property Sciences Group Inc. reserve the right to alter statements, analysis, conclusions or any value estimates in the appraisal if there becomes known to us facts pertinent to the appraisal process which were unknown to us when the report was finished.

22. AFTER TAX ANALYSIS AND/OR VALUATION:

Any "after" tax income or investment analysis and resultant measures of return on investment are intended to reflect only possible and general market considerations, whether as part of estimating value or estimating possible returns on investment at an assumed value or price paid. Note that the Appraisers do not claim expertise in tax matters and advises client and any others using the appraisal to seek competent tax advice. The Appraisers are in no way to be considered as tax advisors or investment advisors.

23. INCOME PROJECTIONS:

Any cash flows indicated in the appraisal are forecasts of estimated future operating characteristics, and are predicated on the information and assumptions contained within the appraisal. Any projections of income, expenses, and/or economic conditions utilized in the appraisal are not predictions of the future. Rather, they are estimates of current market expectations of future income, expenses, and/or economic conditions. The achievement of these financial projections will be affected by fluctuating economic conditions and is dependent upon other future occurrences that can not be assured. Actual income and expenses may vary from the projections contained in the appraisal. The Appraisers and/or The Property Sciences Group Inc. does not warrant that these income and expenses will occur. Projections may be affected by circumstances beyond the current realm of knowledge or control of the Appraisers and/or The Property Sciences Group Inc.

24. RECOMMENDATIONS (IMPLIED AND/OR EXPLICIT):

Unless specifically set forth in the body of the appraisal, nothing contained in said shall be construed to represent any direct or indirect recommendation of the Appraisers and/or The Property Sciences Group Inc. to buy, sell, or hold the properties at the values stated. Such decisions involve substantial investment strategy questions and must be specifically addressed in consultation form. Such decisions are beyond the scope of the appraisal analysis, unless stated otherwise (i.e. that the scope of the appraisal does indeed include a recommendation to buy, sell, or hold the subject property).

25. CLEAR AND MARKETABLE TITLE:

Unless specifically noted in the body of the appraisal, it is assumed that title to the subject property (or properties) are clear and marketable, and that there are no recorded or unrecorded liens, leases, matters, or exceptions to title that would adversely affect the subject's marketability or market value. The Appraisers and/or The Property Sciences Group Inc. are not aware of any title defects nor have been advised of any title defects, unless such as specifically noted in the report. However, the Appraisers and/or The Property Sciences Group Inc. have not examined title and



Case 8:20-bk-13014-MW Doc 24 Filed 11/16/20 Entered 11/16/20 20:10:27 Desc Main Document Page 126 of 177

makes no representations relative to the condition thereof. Unless stated otherwise, the Appraisers have not been provided with (nor reviewed) a preliminary title report. The Appraisers are not qualified experts in title matters.

26. AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT (ADA):

The Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) became effective January 26, 1992. Unless otherwise noted in the report, the Appraisers have not made a specific compliance survey and analysis of the property to determine whether or not it is in conformity with the various detailed requirements of the ADA. It is possible that a compliance survey of the property, together with a detailed analysis of the requirements of the ADA, could reveal that the property is not in compliance with one or more of the requirements of the Act. If so, this fact could have a negative effect upon the value and marketability of the property. Unless otherwise noted in the report, the Appraisers have no direct evidence relating to this issue and have not considered possible noncompliance with the requirements of the ADA in estimating the value of the property.

27. CONDITIONS OF ACCEPTANCE:

Acceptance of, and/or use of this appraisal report by client constitutes acceptance of the above conditions. Appraisers' liability extends only to stated client, not subsequent third parties nor users of any type, and the total liability of Appraisers and The Property Sciences Group Inc. is limited to the amount of the appraisal fee received.



PROFESSIONAL QUALIFICATIONS OF Brant A. Sheaffer, MAI

Brant.sheaffer@propsci.com - direct: 503-704-8661

Brant Sheaffer is the Vice President –Regional Manager, Pacific Northwest of the Commercial Division for Property Sciences. Mr. Sheaffer has returned to the firm, having started his appraisal career with Property Sciences in Northern California in 2002. Prior to rejoining the company Mr. Sheaffer worked for Colliers International and previously worked for CBRE. Mr. Sheaffer has valued a multitude of property types including, retail, office, multi-family, industrial, residential subdivisions and land. Areas of expertise include valuation of multifamily properties for agency lenders (Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac), retail properties ranging from net leased assets to regional shopping malls, hospitality and lodging properties and retail fuel stations. Mr. Sheaffer is an experienced ARGUS user.

PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE

THE PROPERTY SCIENCES GROUP INC., Seattle, WA

An appraisal, research, and consulting services firm focusing on every major category of real estate and most special purpose type properties throughout the United States.

Vice President – Regional Manager, Pacific Northwest

10/2016 - Present

COLLIERS INTERNATIONAL, Seattle, WA

Worked on a team focused on valuation of multifamily properties. Assignments included largeinvestment grade properties for agency lending (Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac), student housing, micro-apartments, congregate housing, LIHTC transaction and HUD Rent Comparability Studies.Senior Valuation Specialist6/2011-10/2016

CBRE, Seattle, WA & Portland, OR

Worked as a Senior Appraiser for a global real estate services firm, and supervised two trainee appraisers. Assignments were primarily for institutional clients (CMBS market, life insurance & pension companies, and national lenders). Worked on a variety of property types, but with a primary focus on retail properties ranging from single tenant net lease up to regional shopping malls. Senior Appraiser 7/2006-6/2011

THE PROPERTY SCIENCES GROUP INC., Concord, CA

Started as a trainee working on commercial assignments Upon being licensed, was promoted to supervising, training and reviewing trainee appraisers.

Senior Staff Appraiser (Trainer/Reviewer) Associate -, - -, - -

1/2005-6/2006 9/2002-1/2005

EDUCATION

BS, Bachelor of Science, Business Administration - Emphasis in Marketing Management *California State University, Hayward* - College of Business & Economics

2001

PROFESSIONAL LICENSES/AFFILIATIONS

State of Washington – Certified General Appraiser, 1101767 Exp. 6/2020 State of Oregon – Certified General Appraiser, C001276 Exp. 6/2020 State of Idaho - Certified General Appraiser, CGA-3333 Exp. 6/2020 State of California - Certified General Appraiser, AG033878 Exp. 2/2021

Appraisal Institute – MAI Designated Member # 474916



Business, Consumer Services & Housing Agency BUREAU OF REAL ESTATE APPRAISERS REAL ESTATE APPRAISER LICENSE

Case 8:20-bk-13014-MW Doc 24 Filed 11/16/20 Entered 11/16/20 20:10:27 Desc Main Document Page 129 of 177

Brant A. Sheaffer

has successfully met the requirements for a license as a residential and commercial real estate appraiser in the State of California and is, therefore, entitled to use the title:

"Certified General Real Estate Appraiser"

This license has been issued in accordance with the provisions of the Real Estate Appraisers' Licensing and Certification Law.

BREA APPRAISER IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: AG 033878

Effective Date: Date Expires: February 15, 2019 February 14, 2021

and & Martu

Jim Martin, Bureau Chief, BREA

3045681

S DOCUMENT CONTAINS A TRUE WATERMARK - HOLD UP TO LIGHT TO SEE "CHAIN LINK

PROFESSIONAL QUALIFICATIONS OF

Arthur O. Neudek, MAI, AI-GRS

Arthur.Neudek@PropSci.com - Direct: 925-246-7342

Arthur Neudek, MAI is the Vice President of Institutional Client Services at Property Sciences and currently manages the firm's Southern California Branch. He has worked with several national accounting firms providing real estate valuation services. Primary emphasis of these valuations was preparation of lease-by-lease analysis of large, institutional grade multi-tenant properties. He served as a Beta tester for ARGUS in Dallas in the 1980's and has worked with the software since. He has an additional specialty in hospitality properties, having worked with a real estate appraisal firm solely involved in valuing hospitality properties.

PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE

THE PROPERTY SCIENCES GROUP INC., Commercial Division, Pleasant Hill, CA/Glendale, CA Prepare and review commercial appraisals with a specialty in hospitality. Vice President 2

NATIONAL VALUATION CONSULTANTS (NVC), Boston, MA

Prepared appraisals on investment grade real estate nationally, with specialties in hospitality and senior housing. Clients included CALPERS, Angelo Gordon, CALSTRS, LACERA, and RREEF. Senior Associate 2011-2013

INTEGRA REALTY RESOURCES, Boston, MA

Prepared appraisals and did litigation support on hospitality and recreational properties throughout New England, as well as various types of investment grade real estate. Appraiser 2009-2011

ACCENTURE (fka ZENTA), New York, NY

Worked on contract CMBS underwriting team at several large investment banks. Assets underwritten included shopping centers, industrial buildings, hotels, apartment complexes, and office buildings located in major US markets. Primary personal responsibility included reviewing third-party appraisals for lending purposes.

Vice President

RESORT REALTY ADVISORS & INTEGRA REALTY RESOURCES, Boston, MA

Prepared feasibility and appraisal reports on hospitality and recreation properties. Types of properties included nationally franchised limited and full-service hotels, four-diamond rated resorts on the East Coast, golf course and golf course subdivisions and mountainside time-share projects. Appraiser
2002-2005

2013-Present

2005-2007

Case 8:20-bk-13014-MW Doc 24 Filed 11/16/20 Entered 11/16/20 20:10:27 Desc Main Document Page 131 of 177

CITIZENS BANK, Providence, RI

Created and managed real estate appraisal, environmental for growing bank corp. Reviewed and prepared real estate appraisals for lending purposes. Prepared debt restructures plans for troubled real estate loans. Responsibilities included supervision, review and training of junior staff. Integral part of loan committee and reviewed all real estate loans.

Chief Real Estate Appraiser

1992-1994

KENNETH LEVENTHAL/ERNST & YOUNG, Dallas, TX

Managed real estate valuation services for national consulting firm. Assignments included purchase price allocations, appraisals for corporate planning. Responsibilities included supervision, review and training of junior staff. Prepared and reviewed workout plans for troubled real estate loans. Manager, Valuation Services 1989-1991

INTERFIRST BANK, Dallas, TX Worked in real estate appraisal area for national bank. Vice- President	1984-1989
EDUCATION	
Ph.D. Coursework, Real Estate University of North Texas, Denton, TX	1988-1990
M.S., Real Estate Finance and Urban Land Economics University of Wisconsin, Madison (studied under Dr. James A. Graaskamp)	1979
B.B.A., Finance University of Wisconsin, Madison	1978

PROFESSIONAL LICENSES/AFFILIATIONS

State of California – Certified General Appraiser, AG3002063 State of Washington- Certified General Appraiser, 1102357 State of Oregon- Certified General Appraiser, C001171 Appraisal Institute- MAI Designation Appraisal Institute – AI-GRS Designation



Desc

REAL ESTATE APPRAISER LICENSE BUREAU OF REAL ESTATE APPRAISERS Business, Consumer Services & Housing Agency

Arthur O. Neudek

Entered 11/16/20 20:10:27

of 177

132

State of California and is, therefore, entitled to use the title: has successfully met the requirements for a license as a residential and commercial real estate appraiser in the

"Certified General Real Estate Appraiser"

Certification Law This license has been issued in accordance with the provisions of the Real Estate Appraisers' Licensing and

BREA APPRAISER IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: 3002063

Doc 24

Document

Filed 11/16/20

Page

Case 8:20-bk-13014-MW

Effective Date: Date Expires:

April 8, 2018 April 7, 2020

land 2 Marta

Jim Martin, Bureau Chief, BREA

3038881

THIS DOCUMENT CONTAINS A TRUE WATERMARK - HOLD UP TO LIGHT TO SEE "CHAIN LINK

Property Information Search Results

One matching record was found for APN 026-104-001.

Assessment Number	Street Address(es)	Structure Type	Characteristics	Map
1 096-104-001	1172 San Marcos Rd 1166 San Marcos Rd	Land #0	<u>Select</u>	<u>Map</u>

				Property Inf	ormati	ion as of March 1, 1982
Assessment Inform	Assessment Information for the 2019/2020 Tax Year					Miscellaneous Improvements
Assessment Number:	026-104-001	Assessed Value: Land Value:	13,765,066 1,538,138	Structure Type: Original Size:	Land 0	
Street Address: Street Address 2: Tax Rate Area: Parcel Size: Link to Map:	1172 San Marcos Rd 1166 San Marcos Rd 104-001 156.09 Acres 026104001	Improvements: Personal Property: Fixtures Value: Total Exemption:	10,827,217 224,223 1,175,488 0	Addition Size: Total Area: Year Built: Bedrooms: Bathrooms:	0 0	Improvements: (none)
Link to Mup.	020104001	Net:	13,765,066	Levels: Parking:	o None	

Disclaimer:

The data contained in this database is deemed reliable but not guaranteed. This information should be used for informational use only and does not constitute a legal document for the description of these properties. Every effort has been made to ensure the accuracy of this data; however, this material may be slightly dated which would have an impact on its accuracy. The San Luis Obispo County Assessor's Office disclaims any responsibility or liability for any direct or indirect damages resulting from the use of this data.

Property Information Search Results

One matching record was found for APN 026-342-039.

Assessment Number	Street Address(es)	Structure Type	Characteristics	Map
006_070_000	2380 Live Oak Rd 2370 Live Oak Rd	Residence #1	<u>Select</u>	<u>Map</u>

				Property Inf	ormation as	s of January 4	, 2011
Assessment Info	ormation for the 2	mation for the 2019/2020 Tax Year				Miscellaneous Improvements	
Assessment Number: Street Address: Street Address 2: Tax Rate Area: Parcel Size: Link to Map:	026-342-039 2380 Live Oak Rd 2370 Live Oak Rd 104-009 160 Acres <u>026342039</u>	Assessed Value: Land Value: Improvements: Personal Property: Fixtures Value: Total Exemption: Net:	54,310	Structure Type: Original Size: Addition Size: Total Area: Year Built: Bedrooms: Bathrooms: Levels:	1987 3	Description: Size: Description: Size: Description: Size: Description:	Ground O Pool House 305
				Parking:	Garage		

Disclaimer:

The data contained in this database is deemed reliable but not guaranteed. This information should be used for informational use only and does not constitute a legal document for the description of these properties. Every effort has been made to ensure the accuracy of this data; however, this material may be slightly dated which would have an impact on its accuracy. The San Luis Obispo County Assessor's Office disclaims any responsibility or liability for any direct or indirect damages resulting from the use of this data.

Property Information Search Results

One matching record was found for APN 027-145-022.

Assessment Number	Street Address(es)	Structure Type	Characteristics	Мар
027-145-022	o San Marcos Rd	Land #0	<u>Select</u>	<u>Map</u>

			Property Inf	ormatic	on as of December 29, 2000	
Assessment Inform	mation for the 20	19/2020 Tax Yea	Structure		Miscellaneous Improvements	
Assessment Number: Street Address: Tax Rate Area: Parcel Size: Link to Map:	027-145-022 o San Marcos Rd 104-001 155 Acres <u>027145022</u>	Assessed Value: Land Value: Improvements: Personal Property: Fixtures Value: Total Exemption: Net:	0	Structure Type: Original Size: Addition Size: Total Area: Year Built: Bedrooms: Bathrooms: Levels:	0 0	Improvements: (none)
		net.	1,233,004	Parking:	None	

Disclaimer:

The data contained in this database is deemed reliable but not guaranteed. This information should be used for informational use only and does not constitute a legal document for the description of these properties. Every effort has been made to ensure the accuracy of this data; however, this material may be slightly dated which would have an impact on its accuracy. The San Luis Obispo County Assessor's Office disclaims any responsibility or liability for any direct or indirect damages resulting from the use of this data.

Case 8:20-bk-13014-MW Doc 24 Filed 11/16/20 Entered 11/16/20 20:10:27 Desc Main Document Page 136 of 177

JAMES W. HAMII	_TON, CPA	Auditor-Co	ntroller / Trea	surer-T	ax Co	ollector
	9/20 ANNUAL	SECURED P	ROPERTY TAX			
	FISCAL YI	EAR JULY 1, 2019 TC DUPLICATE BILL	·			
					201104	Tax are
RUSSELL ERICH			VVV			yTax.org eet, Rm D-290
					Luis Obis	spo, CA 93408 805) 781-5831
			Property Assessment Fo	r Fiscal Year 20	19/20	
			12 Description		Assesse	
			LAND IMPROVEMENTS			1,538,138 10,827,217
1 Assessment 2 Bill Number	3 Tax Rate Area		PERSONAL PROPERT	ΓY *		224,223
026-104-001 2019/20 950-000	-502 104-001	1.08368	FIXTURES	^		1,175,488
5 Assessed Owner	9 First Install	nent Due				
As of January 1, 2019 RUSSELL ERICH	12/12/2019	\$74,584.63	Net Assessed Value	÷		13,765,066
6 Property Description	10 Second Insta	allment Due	+ For Questions Regar		Values Cal	
T26S R12E PTN SECS 5 & 6 PAR 1	2/1/2020	\$74,584.63	Tax Calculation			
OR 2370/228	11 Total	\$149,169.26	13 Service Agency PROP 13 TAX RATE	Contact (805) 781-5831	Rate 1.00000	Amount 137.650.68
 Legal Description 	Taxes Due	·····,·····	STATE WATER PROJ CUESTA CCD 2014 BOND	(805) 781-5252 (805) 788-2968	0.00400	550.60 2.649.76
T26S R12E PTN SECS 5 & 6 PA	AR 1 OR 2370/228		PASO UNIF 2006 GO BD	(805) 788-2968	0.01190	1,638.04
Important Messages			PASO SFID 2016 BND Total	(805) 788-2968	0.04853 1.08368	6,680.18 149,169.26
This is a Revised tax b						
This revised tax bill has been generated of the property listed above. A						
	CES BILL NUMBER	(S):				
2019/20 AND IS DUE AND PAY	0 026,104,001 ABLE ON DATES IND	DICATED.				
See reverse side for imp	oortant taxpaye	r information.				



Case 8:20-bk-13014 MW, Doc 24 Filed 11/16/20 Entered 11/16/20 20:10:27 Desc

0436 0.20 58	DUESTIONS CONTACTOR	HESEDEPART	of 177 OR HELP	0.21 0000		
TAX COLLECTOR	www.slocountytax.org	(805) 781-5831	Email: ttc@co.slo.ca.us	1055 Monterey St., Room D-290, San Luis Obispo, CA 93408		
CREDIT CARD FEE – Payments made ONLINE – Electronic bank transfers (e- HOME BANKING – Enter Assessment BY MAIL – Payment should be made by BY PHONE – Credit cards or e-Checks	y check, cashier's check, or money order. Do no only.	fee (\$3.95 minimum), wh d on the Tax Collector's v	nich covers the charges made to the vebsite at: www.slocountytax.org	e County by credit card companies.		
IN PERSON – Cash, checks, and credit cards at the above address. TO AVOID PENALTIES: The first installment must be paid no later than December 10 and the second installment must be paid no later than April 10. A 10% penalty is added to the first installment after December 10, and a 10% penalty and delinquency cost are added to the second installment after April 10. If December 10 or April 10 falls on a Saturday, Sunday, or legal holiday, payments made by 5:00 p.m. or the close of business, whichever is later, on the next business day are not considered delinquent. IMPORTANT: The second installment cannot be paid before the first installment is paid. Partial payments are not accepted. RETURNED PAYMENTS: If payment is returned by the bank for any reason, that payment will be removed, a returned payment fee will be added, and delinquent penalties will accrue as required by law.						
PRIOR TAXES ARE UNPAID: If this wording appears in box 8, there are delinquent taxes which could jeopardize the property. When taxes become delinquent, redemption penalties, costs, and fees are added as required by law. Prior years' taxes are not included in this tax bill. For payment information, including MONTHLY and ANNUAL payment plan options, contact the Redemption Division of the Tax Collector's office at (805) 781-5836.						
RESPONSIBILITY OF TAXPAYER: The taxpayer is responsible to ensure that the taxes are paid. Failure to receive a tax bill does not relieve the taxpayer of the responsibility to pay the taxes when they become due and payable and does not provide a basis for removing penalties. Examine the bill carefully before paying. Be certain it covers your property. The property description is located in box 6. Be certain that you have obtained a bill for each assessment for which you are responsible. The Tax Collector does not determine the amount of tax you pay.						
TAX BILL CALCULATIONS: The Audit 13, plus any special assessments show	tor-Controller's office is responsible for calculatii n in box 13. Tax rates are established by the C I information regarding the distribution of proper	ounty Board of Superviso	ors.	5 5		

PROPERTY TAX POSTPONEMENT FOR SENIOR CITIZENS, BLIND, OR DISABLED PERSONS: The State Controller's Office (SCO) administers the Property Tax Postponement (PTP) program, which allows eligible homeowners to postpone payment of current-year property taxes on their primary residence. PTP applications are accepted from October 1 to February 10 each year.

Go to the SCO website at http://www.sco.ca.gov/ardtax_prop_tax_postponement.html for more information. If you have any questions, call 800-952-5661 or email postponement@sco.ca.gov

	ASSESSOR	www.slocounty.ca.gov/assessor	(805) 781-5643	Email: assessor@co.slo.ca.us	1055 Monterey St., Room D-360, San Luis Obispo, CA 93408
--	----------	-------------------------------	----------------	------------------------------	---

ASSESSED VALUE: The Assessor's office is responsible for assessing property values. If the taxpayer disagrees with the assessed value shown in box 12, the taxpayer has the right to an informal review by contacting the County Assessor's office. If an informal agreement cannot be reached, the taxpayer has the right to file an Application for Changed Assessment with the County Assessment Appeals Board. Applications must be filed with the County Clerk, 1055 Monterey Street, Room D-120, County Government Center, San Luis Obispo, CA 93408, from July 2 through September 15, or for 60 days following the mailing of any notice of assessment outside the regular period. Additional information regarding the Assessment Appeals and forms may be obtained at: www.slocounty.ca.gov/clerk

If an informal or formal assessment review is requested, relief from penalties shall apply only to the difference between the County Assessor's final determination of value and the value on the assessment roll for the fiscal year covered.

ADDRESS CHANGES: Tax bills are mailed to the latest address on the Assessor's roll. To change the mailing address, please see the stubs below or go to: www.slocountytax.org ASSESSMENT DATE: The fiscal year is for the period of July 1 through June 30. Taxes for the current fiscal year are levied on both real and personal property as it existed at 12:01 a.m. on January 1 of the preceding fiscal year.

10% PENALTY: An asterisk (*) in box 12 next to the property value indicates the assessed valuation includes a 10% penalty pursuant to Revenue & Taxation Code Section 463. HOMEOWNERS' EXEMPTION REQUIREMENTS: If you filed a claim for the Homeowners' Property Tax Exemption, you declared under penalty of perjury that you are the owner of this property and that it is your principal place of residence. You are required by law to terminate this claim if either or both of the following events occurred prior to 12:01 a.m., January 1: (1) ownership of the property transfers to another party, (2) your principal place of residence changes to another location. If you are not eligible for this exemption, you must notify the Assessor in writing on or before December 10, or you will be subject to payment in the amount of taxes the exemption represents, plus applicable penalties and interest. If you move to another home, you must file a new exemption claim for that property. The exemption cannot be transferred. To request a new Homeowners' Exemption Claim form or if you have questions, contact the County Assessor.

Case 8:20-bk-13014-MW Doc 24 Filed 11/16/20 Entered 11/16/20 20:10:27 Desc Page 139 of 177 Main Document

To avoid penalties, payment must be in the Tax Collector's office by 5:00 p.m., or deposited in the United States mail or independent delivery service, or entered online through the Tax Collector's website prior to midnight on the delinquency date. Home Banking payments must be entered with a posting date prior to midnight on the delinquency date. DO NOT SEND CASH BY MAIL; any loss is assumed by the taxpayer.

If paying online: e-Check is	free; fee for using credit or debit card	If paying by check:					
Go to www.slocountytax	x.org	Make check payable to:					
Options to pay:	echeck VISA Mastercard DISCOVER AMERICAN	 SLO County Tax Collector or "SLOCTC" Insert this stub with your payment 					
If the return envelope is not available, mail to:							

IMPORTANT – ADDRESS CHANGE

If needed, please change the address information on the front of this stub, sign your name, and send with your payment, or go to www.slocountytax.org for an address change form to complete.

James W. Hamilton, CPA

County Auditor - Controller - Treasurer - Tax Collector 1055 Monterey St., Room D-290 San Luis Obispo, CA 93408

To avoid penalties, payment must be in the Tax Collector's office by 5:00 p.m., or deposited in the United States mail or independent delivery service, or entered online through the Tax Collector's website prior to midnight on the delinguency date. Home Banking payments must be entered with a posting date prior to midnight on the delinguency date. DO NOT SEND CASH BY MAIL; any loss is assumed by the taxpaver.

If paying online: e-Check is free; fee for using credit or debit card			If paying by check:		
Go to www.slocountytax.org		\triangleright	Make check payable to:		
> Options to pay:	DISCOVER AMERICAN		SLO County Tax Collector or "SLOCTC"		
ecneer VISA	Mastercard Discover Express		Insert this stub with your payment		

IMPORTANT – ADDRESS CHANGE

If needed, please change the address information on the front of this stub, sign your name, and send with your payment, or go to www.slocountytax.org for an address change form to complete.

If the return envelope is not available, remit to:

James W. Hamilton, CPA

County Auditor - Controller - Treasurer - Tax Collector 1055 Monterey St., Room D-290 San Luis Obispo, CA 93408



James W. Hamilton, Auditor - Controller - Treasurer - Tax Collector - Public Administrator

| Taxes on the Web Home | New Search | Information for Year: 2019/20

Redemption Summary Amounts Assessment Number: 026,104,001 Assessee: RUSSELL ERICH Power of Sale Information: Default Information: Number: C4928 Number: Date: 6/30/2009 Date: Description: T26S R12E PTN SECS 5 & 6 PAR 1 OR 2370/228 Payoff Calculation for: April 2020 Audit Amount (see detail below) 1,377,528.87 Redemption Penalty/Interest (see detail below) 1,466,686.00 **Redemption Fee** 15.00 Search and Rescission 0.00 0.00 Miscellaneous Fees (see detail below) Less Installment Plan Credit 0.00 **Total Payoff Amount:** \$2,814,407.80 Miscellaneous Fees Detail Date Description Amount \$0.00 Total Miscellaneous Fees (included above): **Tax Bill Detail and Redemption Penalties/Interest** Tax + Penalty + Cost = Audit Amount Roll Penalty 1st Redemption **Bill Number** Audit **Penalties** Year Year Paid Tax Penalty Cost Amount 6/30/2011 11-952,000,587 10,456.30 0.00 0.00 10,456.30 16,625.51 04-05 Ν 05-06 6/30/2011 11-952,000,581 Ν 6,985.78 0.00 0.00 6,985.78 11,107.39 06-07 6/30/2011 11-952,000,582 Ν 626.02 0.00 0.00 626.02 995.37 07-08 6/30/2011 69.76 11-952,000,583 Ν 69.76 0.00 0.00 110.91 08-09 6/30/2009 09-026,104,001 Υ 72,549.70 7,254.97 10.00 79,814.67 141,471.91 08-09 6/30/2013 13-951,000,007 Ν 1,698.52 130.64 20.00 1,849.16 2,089.17

https://services.slocountytax.org/redemptionSummary.aspx?rollNumber=026,104,001&month=4

3/31/	1/2020 Case 8:20-bk-13014-MW Doc 24 Filed India 620 mar Entered 11/16/20 20:10:27 Desc								
	09-10	6/30/2010	10-026,104,001	Majin	Docum9,935.66	Pag <u>e, 1/31</u> 78	17 _{70.00}	161,421.42	259,725.65
	09-10	6/30/2013	13-951,000,006	Ν	1,415.14	116.94	20.00	1,552.08	1,740.62
	10-11	6/30/2011	11-026,104,001	Ν	143,990.42	14,399.04	10.00	158,399.46	228,944.76
	10-11	6/30/2013	13-951,000,005	Ν	1,217.16	108.66	20.00	1,345.82	1,497.10
	11-12	6/30/2012	12-026,104,001	Ν	142,370.38	14,237.02	10.00	156,617.40	200,742.23
	11-12	6/30/2013	13-951,000,004	Ν	1,069.06	103.78	20.00	1,192.84	1,314.94
	12-13	6/30/2013	13-026,104,001	Ν	143,342.70	14,334.26	20.00	157,696.96	176,311.52
	13-14	6/30/2014	14-026,104,001	Ν	142,513.72	14,251.36	20.00	156,785.08	149,639.40
	14-15	6/30/2015	15-026,104,001	Ν	142,012.94	14,201.28	20.00	156,234.22	123,551.25
	15-16	6/30/2016	16-026,104,001	Y	71,705.13	7,170.51	20.00	78,895.64	49,476.53
	16-17	6/30/2017	17-026,104,001	Ν	150,432.62	15,043.26	20.00	165,495.88	76,720.63
	17-18	6/30/2018	18-026,104,001	Y	74,609.44	7,460.94	20.00	82,090.38	24,621.11
				Totals	\$1,253,802.45	\$123,486.42	\$240.00	\$1,377,528.87	\$1,466,686.00

Privacy and Conditions of Use Policies

<u>Copyright © 2004 County of San Luis Obispo,</u> <u>California</u> Case 8:20-bk-13014-MW Doc 24 Filed 11/16/20 Entered 11/16/20 20:10:27 Desc Main Document Page 142 of 177

JAMES	W. HAMIL	TON. CPA	Auditor-Co	ntroller / Trea	surer-T	ax Co	llector
				ROPERTY TAX			
		FISCAL YEA	AR JULY 1, 2019 TO				
			DUPLICATE BILL				
				WV	vw.SloC	County	Tax.org
RUSS	ELL ERICH						et, Rm D-290
					San		po, CA 93408 05) 781-5831
				Property Assessment For	Einen Voor 20	•	05) 781-5831
				12 Description		Assessed	Values
				LAND			277,975
1 Assessment	2 Bill Number	3 Tax Rate Area	4 Total Tax Rate	IMPROVEMENTS PERSONAL PROPERT	V *		2,205,052 11,460
026-342-039	2019/20 026-342-03	39 104-009	1.08368	HOMEOWNERS	1		-7,000
5 Assessed Owner	~						
As of January 1, 2019		First Installme 11/1/2019	\$13,478.19				
RUSSELL ERICH	L			Net Assessed Value	Ť		2,487,487
6 Property Descrip	otion	10 Second Instal		+ For Questions Regard	ding Assessed	Values Call	805-461-6143
T27S R11E SEC 12 M	NE 1/4	2/1/2020	\$13,478.19	13 Service Agency	Contact	Rate	Amount
		11 Total Taxes Due	\$26,956.38	PROP 13 TAX RATE	(805) 781-5831	1.00000	24,874.90
1 Legal Descriptio	n			STATE WATER PROJ CUESTA CCD 2014 BOND	(805) 781-5252 (805) 788-2968		99.48 478.84
T27S R11E SEC				PASO UNIF 2006 GO BD PASO SFID 2016 BND	(805) 788-2968 (805) 788-2968	0.01190 0.04853	296.00 1.207.16
Important Messa				Total	(000) 100 2000	1.08368	26,956.38
		e at: www.sloco					
		ige of Address form at: v					
		P <mark>AID – Please call 8</mark> ENT PAID 12/10/19 **					
	131 INSTALLM	ENT PAID 12/10/19 **					
	<u>.</u>						
See reverse	side for impo	rtant taxpayer	information.				



Case 8:20-bk-13014-MW, Doc 24, Filed 11/16/20, Entered 11/16/20 20:10:27 Desc

Case 0.20-0K-1	Main Documen	HESE PARTA	of 177 OR HELP	10.27 DC30		
TAX COLLECTOR	www.slocountytax.org	(805) 781-5831	Email: ttc@co.slo.ca.us	1055 Monterey St., Room D-290, San Luis Obispo, CA 93408		
PAYMENTS: The Tax Collector's office	is responsible for collecting and processing tax	k payments. We accept as	s payments only those items drawr	n in U.S. dollars on U.S. banks.		
	by credit or debit cards are subject to a 2.39%					
	Checks) and credit card payments are accepted	d on the Tax Collector's w	vebsite at: www.slocountytax.org			
HOME BANKING – Enter Assessment N						
	check, cashier's check, or money order. Do no	ot mail cash. The Tax Col	llector is not responsible for cash s	ent by mail.		
BY PHONE – Credit cards or e-Checks						
IN PERSON – Cash, checks, and credit			and an order of the second states of the second sta			
	Ilment must be paid no later than December 10					
	a 10% penalty and delinquency cost are added e by 5:00 p.m. or the close of business, which					
	t cannot be paid before the first installment			ennquent.		
	s returned by the bank for any reason, that pay		1	and delinquent penalties will		
accrue as required by law.	returned by the barrieror any reason, that pay	ment will be removed, a r	etamed payment ree will be addee	, and deiniquent penalties will		
	ording appears in box <mark>8</mark> , there are delinquent ta	axes which could ieopard	ize the property. When taxes beco	me delinguent, redemption		
penalties, costs, and fees are added as required by law. Prior years' taxes are not included in this tax bill. For payment information, including MONTHLY and ANNUAL payment plan options, contact the Redemption Division of the Tax Collector's office at (805) 781-5836.						
RESPONSIBILITY OF TAXPAYER: The taxpayer is responsible to ensure that the taxes are paid. Failure to receive a tax bill does not relieve the taxpayer of the responsibility to						
pay the taxes when they become due and payable and does not provide a basis for removing penalties. Examine the bill carefully before paying. Be certain it covers your property.						
The property description is located in box 6. Be certain that you have obtained a bill for each assessment for which you are responsible. The Tax Collector does not determine the						
amount of tax you pay.						
	pr-Controller's office is responsible for calculati			perty by the tax rate shown in box		
	n in box <mark>13</mark> . Tax rates are established by the C					
DISTRIBUTION OF TAXES: Additional	information regarding the distribution of proper	rty taxes may be obtained	t at http://www.slocounty.ca.gov	//acttc/property-tax-allocation		

DISTRIBUTION OF TAXES: Additional information regarding the distribution of property taxes may be obtained at: http://www.slocounty.ca.gov/acttc/property-tax-allocation PROPERTY TAX POSTPONEMENT FOR SENIOR CITIZENS, BLIND, OR DISABLED PERSONS:

The State Controller's Office (SCO) administers the Property Tax Postponement (PTP) program, which allows eligible homeowners to postpone payment of current-year property taxes on their primary residence. PTP applications are accepted from October 1 to February 10 each year.

Go to the SCO website at http://www.sco.ca.gov/ardtax_prop_tax_postponement.html for more information. If you have any questions, call 800-952-5661 or email postponement@sco.ca.gov

	ASSESSOR	www.slocounty.ca.gov/assessor	(805) 781-5643	Email: assessor@co.slo.ca.us	1055 Monterey St., Room D-360, San Luis Obispo, CA 93408
--	----------	-------------------------------	----------------	------------------------------	---

ASSESSED VALUE: The Assessor's office is responsible for assessing property values. If the taxpayer disagrees with the assessed value shown in box 12, the taxpayer has the right to an informal review by contacting the County Assessor's office. If an informal agreement cannot be reached, the taxpayer has the right to file an Application for Changed Assessment with the County Assessment Appeals Board. Applications must be filed with the County Clerk, 1055 Monterey Street, Room D-120, County Government Center, San Luis Obispo, CA 93408, from July 2 through September 15, or for 60 days following the mailing of any notice of assessment outside the regular period. Additional information regarding the Assessment Appeals and forms may be obtained at: www.slocounty.ca.gov/clerk

If an informal or formal assessment review is requested, relief from penalties shall apply only to the difference between the County Assessor's final determination of value and the value on the assessment roll for the fiscal year covered.

ADDRESS CHANGES: Tax bills are mailed to the latest address on the Assessor's roll. To change the mailing address, please see the stubs below or go to: www.slocountytax.org ASSESSMENT DATE: The fiscal year is for the period of July 1 through June 30. Taxes for the current fiscal year are levied on both real and personal property as it existed at 12:01 a.m. on January 1 of the preceding fiscal year.

10% PENALTY: An asterisk (*) in box 12 next to the property value indicates the assessed valuation includes a 10% penalty pursuant to Revenue & Taxation Code Section 463. HOMEOWNERS' EXEMPTION REQUIREMENTS: If you filed a claim for the Homeowners' Property Tax Exemption, you declared under penalty of perjury that you are the owner of this property and that it is your principal place of residence. You are required by law to terminate this claim if either or both of the following events occurred prior to 12:01 a.m., January 1: (1) ownership of the property transfers to another party, (2) your principal place of residence changes to another location. If you are not eligible for this exemption, you must notify the Assessor in writing on or before December 10, or you will be subject to payment in the amount of taxes the exemption represents, plus applicable penalties and interest. If you move to another home, you must file a new exemption claim for that property. The exemption cannot be transferred. To request a new Homeowners' Exemption Claim form or if you have questions, contact the County Assessor.
Case 8:20-bk-13014-MW Doc 24 Filed 11/16/20 Entered 11/16/20 20:10:27 Desc Page 145 of 177 Main Document

To avoid penalties, payment must be in the Tax Collector's office by 5:00 p.m., or deposited in the United States mail or independent delivery service, or entered online through the Tax Collector's website prior to midnight on the delinquency date. Home Banking payments must be entered with a posting date prior to midnight on the delinquency date. DO NOT SEND CASH BY MAIL; any loss is assumed by the taxpayer.

If paying online: e-Check is	free; fee for using credit or debit card	If paying by check:				
Go to www.slocountyta	x.org	Make check payable to:				
Options to pay:	echeck VISA Masercard DISCOVER AMERICAN	 SLO County Tax Collector or "SLOCTC" Insert this stub with your payment 				
	If the retur	n envelope is not available, mail to:				

IMPORTANT – ADDRESS CHANGE

If needed, please change the address information on the front of this stub, sign your name, and send with your payment, or go to www.slocountytax.org for an address change form to complete.

James W. Hamilton, CPA

County Auditor - Controller - Treasurer - Tax Collector 1055 Monterey St., Room D-290 San Luis Obispo, CA 93408

To avoid penalties, payment must be in the Tax Collector's office by 5:00 p.m., or deposited in the United States mail or independent delivery service, or entered online through the Tax Collector's website prior to midnight on the delinguency date. Home Banking payments must be entered with a posting date prior to midnight on the delinguency date. DO NOT SEND CASH BY MAIL; any loss is assumed by the taxpaver.

If paying online: e-Check is free; fee for using crec	lit or debit card	If paying by check:				
Go to www.slocountytax.org	\blacktriangleright	Make check payable to:				
> Options to pay:	DISCOVER AMERICAN		SLO County Tax Collector or "SLOCTC"			
echecke VISA	EXPRESS	\triangleright	Insert this stub with your payment			

IMPORTANT – ADDRESS CHANGE

If needed, please change the address information on the front of this stub, sign your name, and send with your payment, or go to www.slocountytax.org for an address change form to complete.

If the return envelope is not available, remit to:

James W. Hamilton, CPA

County Auditor - Controller - Treasurer - Tax Collector 1055 Monterey St., Room D-290 San Luis Obispo, CA 93408



| Taxes on the Web Home | New Search | Information for Year: 2019/20

Redemption Summary Amounts Assessment Number: 026,342,039 Assessee: RUSSELL ERICH Default Information: Power of Sale Information: Number: E9042 Number: Date: 6/30/2019 Date: Description: T27S R11E SEC 12 NE 1/4 Payoff Calculation for: April 2020 Audit Amount (see detail below) 805.66 Redemption Penalty/Interest (see detail below) 107.13 **Redemption Fee** 15.00 Search and Rescission 0.00 Miscellaneous Fees (see detail below) 0.00 Less Installment Plan Credit 0.00 **Total Payoff Amount:** \$927.79 Miscellaneous Fees Detail Description Date Amount Total Miscellaneous Fees (included above): \$0.00 **Tax Bill Detail and Redemption Penalties/Interest** Tax + Penalty + Cost = Audit Amount Redemption Roll Penalty 1st **Bill Number** Audit **Penalties** Year Year Paid Penalty Cost Tax Amount 17-18 6/30/2019 18-972,196,822 714.24 71.42 20.00 805.66 107.13 Ν

https://services.slocountytax.org/redemptionSummary.aspx?rollNumber=026,342,039&month=4

			90 - 11 01			
	Totals	\$714.24	\$71.42	\$20.00	\$805.66	\$107.13
		* · · · · - ·	· · · · · -	+		•••••

Privacy and Conditions of Use Policies

<u>Copyright © 2004 County of San Luis Obispo,</u> <u>California</u> Case 8:20-bk-13014-MW Doc 24 Filed 11/16/20 Entered 11/16/20 20:10:27 Desc Main Document Page 148 of 177

JAMES W. HAMILTON, CPA Auditor-Controller / Treasure 2019/20 ANNUAL SECURED PROPERTY TAX BIL FISCAL YEAR JULY 1, 2019 TO JUNE 30, 2020 DUPLICATE BILL	
2019/20 ANNUAL SECURED PROPERTY TAX BIL FISCAL YEAR JULY 1, 2019 TO JUNE 30, 2020	
DUPLICATE BILL	
	SloCountyTax.org
RUSSELL ERICH 1055	Monterey Street, Rm D-290
	San Luis Obispo, CA 93408 (805) 781-5831
Property Assessment For Fiscal Y	
12 Description	Assessed Values
	173,023
① Assessment ② Bill Number ③ Tax Rate Area ④ Total Tax Rate	1,082,841
027-145-022 2019/20 027-145-022 104-001 1.08368	
Assessed Owner First Installment Due	
As of January 1, 2019 RUSSELL ERICH 11/1/2019 \$6,804.77 Net Assessed Value †	1,255,864
Second Installment Due For Questions Regarding Ass	essed Values Call 805-461-6143
6 Property Description 2/1/2020 \$6,804.77 Tax Calculation	
Total to account Service Agency Cont	
Taxes Due STATE WATER PROJ (805) 78	31-5252 0.00400 50.22
Degal Description CUESTA CCD 2014 BOND (805) 78 TOPO DADE DEN OFO 24 ON44/4 PASO UNIF 2006 GO BD (805) 78	
T25S R12E PTN SEC 31 SW1/4 PASO ONE 2006 GO BD (805) 78 PASO SFID 2016 BND (805) 78 Total	88-2968 0.04853 609.46 1.08368 13,609.54
View and pay taxes online at: www.slocountytax.org	
ADDRESS CHANGE - To change address information make changes on stub, sign, and send with your payment, or complete Change of Address form at: www.slocountytax.org	
**** 1ST INSTALLMENT PAID 12/10/19 ***	
See reverse side for important taxpayer information.	



Case 8:20-bk-13014 MW, Doc 24 Filed 11/16/20 Entered 11/16/20 20:10:27 Desc

		HESE DEPARTA	of 177 OR HELP 20.1	
TAX COLLECTOR	www.slocountytax.org	(805) 781-5831	Email: ttc@co.slo.ca.us	1055 Monterey St., Room D-290, San Luis Obispo, CA 93408
CREDIT CARD FEE – Payments made ONLINE – Electronic bank transfers (e- HOME BANKING – Enter Assessment BY MAIL – Payment should be made by BY PHONE – Credit cards or e-Checks IN PERSON – Cash, checks, and credit TO AVOID PENALTIES: The first insta first installment after December 10, and	y check, cashier's check, or money order. Do nonly. cards at the above address. Illment must be paid no later than December 10 a 10% penalty and delinquency cost are adde	fee (\$3.95 minimum), wh d on the Tax Collector's v ot mail cash. The Tax Col 0 and the second installment d to the second installment	hich covers the charges made to the vebsite at: www.slocountytax.org llector is not responsible for cash s ent must be paid no later than Apri nt after April 10. If December 10 or	h in U.S. dollars on U.S. banks. e County by credit card companies. ent by mail. I 10. A 10% penalty is added to the April 10 falls on a Saturday,
IMPORTANT: The second installmer RETURNED PAYMENTS: If payment i	de by 5:00 p.m. or the close of business, which It cannot be paid before the first installment s returned by the bank for any reason, that pay	is paid. Partial paymen	its are not accepted.	
penalties, costs, and fees are added as	rording appears in box 8, there are delinquent t required by law. Prior years' taxes are not inclu n of the Tax Collector's office at (805) 781-583	uded in this tax bill. For pa		
pay the taxes when they become due a The property description is located in bo	ne taxpayer is responsible to ensure that the ta nd payable and does not provide a basis for re ox <mark>6</mark> . Be certain that you have obtained a bill fo	moving penalties. Examir	ne the bill carefully before paying. E	Be certain it covers your property.
13, plus any special assessments show	or-Controller's office is responsible for calculati n in box <mark>13</mark> . Tax rates are established by the C l information regarding the distribution of prope	County Board of Superviso	ors.	5 5

DISTRIBUTION OF TAXES: Additional information regarding the distribution of property taxes may be obtained at: http://www.slocounty.ca.gov/acttc/property-tax-allocation PROPERTY TAX POSTPONEMENT FOR SENIOR CITIZENS, BLIND, OR DISABLED PERSONS:

The State Controller's Office (SCO) administers the Property Tax Postponement (PTP) program, which allows eligible homeowners to postpone payment of current-year property taxes on their primary residence. PTP applications are accepted from October 1 to February 10 each year.

Go to the SCO website at http://www.sco.ca.gov/ardtax_prop_tax_postponement.html for more information. If you have any questions, call 800-952-5661 or email postponement@sco.ca.gov

	ASSESSOR	www.slocounty.ca.gov/assessor	(805) 781-5643	Email: assessor@co.slo.ca.us	1055 Monterey St., Room D-360, San Luis Obispo, CA 93408
--	----------	-------------------------------	----------------	------------------------------	---

ASSESSED VALUE: The Assessor's office is responsible for assessing property values. If the taxpayer disagrees with the assessed value shown in box 12, the taxpayer has the right to an informal review by contacting the County Assessor's office. If an informal agreement cannot be reached, the taxpayer has the right to file an Application for Changed Assessment with the County Assessment Appeals Board. Applications must be filed with the County Clerk, 1055 Monterey Street, Room D-120, County Government Center, San Luis Obispo, CA 93408, from July 2 through September 15, or for 60 days following the mailing of any notice of assessment outside the regular period. Additional information regarding the Assessment Appeals and forms may be obtained at: www.slocounty.ca.gov/clerk

If an informal or formal assessment review is requested, relief from penalties shall apply only to the difference between the County Assessor's final determination of value and the value on the assessment roll for the fiscal year covered.

ADDRESS CHANGES: Tax bills are mailed to the latest address on the Assessor's roll. To change the mailing address, please see the stubs below or go to: www.slocountytax.org ASSESSMENT DATE: The fiscal year is for the period of July 1 through June 30. Taxes for the current fiscal year are levied on both real and personal property as it existed at 12:01 a.m. on January 1 of the preceding fiscal year.

10% PENALTY: An asterisk (*) in box 12 next to the property value indicates the assessed valuation includes a 10% penalty pursuant to Revenue & Taxation Code Section 463. HOMEOWNERS' EXEMPTION REQUIREMENTS: If you filed a claim for the Homeowners' Property Tax Exemption, you declared under penalty of perjury that you are the owner of this property and that it is your principal place of residence. You are required by law to terminate this claim if either or both of the following events occurred prior to 12:01 a.m., January 1: (1) ownership of the property transfers to another party, (2) your principal place of residence changes to another location. If you are not eligible for this exemption, you must notify the Assessor in writing on or before December 10, or you will be subject to payment in the amount of taxes the exemption represents, plus applicable penalties and interest. If you move to another home, you must file a new exemption claim for that property. The exemption cannot be transferred. To request a new Homeowners' Exemption Claim form or if you have questions, contact the County Assessor.

Case 8:20-bk-13014-MW Doc 24 Filed 11/16/20 Entered 11/16/20 20:10:27 Desc Page 151 of 177 Main Document

To avoid penalties, payment must be in the Tax Collector's office by 5:00 p.m., or deposited in the United States mail or independent delivery service, or entered online through the Tax Collector's website prior to midnight on the delinquency date. Home Banking payments must be entered with a posting date prior to midnight on the delinquency date. DO NOT SEND CASH BY MAIL; any loss is assumed by the taxpayer.

If paying online: e-Check is	free; fee for using credit or debit card	If paying by check:				
Go to www.slocountyta:	k.org	Make check payable to:				
Options to pay:		 SLO County Tax Collector or "SLOCTC" Insert this stub with your payment 				
	If the retur	rn envelope is not available, mail to:				

IMPORTANT – ADDRESS CHANGE

If needed, please change the address information on the front of this stub, sign your name, and send with your payment, or go to www.slocountytax.org for an address change form to complete.

James W. Hamilton, CPA

County Auditor - Controller - Treasurer - Tax Collector 1055 Monterey St., Room D-290 San Luis Obispo, CA 93408

To avoid penalties, payment must be in the Tax Collector's office by 5:00 p.m., or deposited in the United States mail or independent delivery service, or entered online through the Tax Collector's website prior to midnight on the delinguency date. Home Banking payments must be entered with a posting date prior to midnight on the delinguency date. DO NOT SEND CASH BY MAIL; any loss is assumed by the taxpaver.

If paying online: e-Check is free; fee for using crec	lit or debit card	If paying by check:				
Go to www.slocountytax.org	\blacktriangleright	Make check payable to:				
> Options to pay:	DISCOVER AMERICAN		SLO County Tax Collector or "SLOCTC"			
echecke VISA	EXPRESS	\triangleright	Insert this stub with your payment			

IMPORTANT – ADDRESS CHANGE

If needed, please change the address information on the front of this stub, sign your name, and send with your payment, or go to www.slocountytax.org for an address change form to complete.

If the return envelope is not available, remit to:

James W. Hamilton, CPA

County Auditor - Controller - Treasurer - Tax Collector 1055 Monterey St., Room D-290 San Luis Obispo, CA 93408

Case 8:20-bk-13014-MW Doc 24 Filed 11/16/20 Entered 11/16/20 20:10:27 Desc Main Document Page 152 of 177



United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) Farm Service Agency (FSA) maps are for FSA Program administration only. This map does not represent a legal survey or reflect actual ownership; rather it depicts the information provided directly from the producer and/or National Agricultural Imagery Program (NAIP) imagery. The producer accepts the data "as is" and assumes all risks associated with its use. USDA-FSA assumes no responsibility for actual or consequential damage incurred as a result of any user's reliance on this data outside FSA Program. Wetland identifiers do not represent the size, stape, or specific determination of the area. Refer to your original determination (CPA-026 and attached maps) for exact boundaries and determinations or contact USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS):

Case 8:20-bk-13014-MW Doc 24 Filed 11/16/20 Entered 11/16/20 20:10:27 Desc Main Document Page 153 of 177



United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) Farm Service Agency (FSA) maps are for FSA Program administration only. This map does not represent a tegal survey or reflect actual ownership, rather it depicts the information provided directly from the producer and/or National Agricultural Imagery Program (NAIP) imagery. The producer accepts the data 'as is' and assumes all risks associated with its use. USDA/FSA assumes no responsibility for actual or consequential damage incurred as a result of any user's reliance on this data outside FSA Programs. Wetland identifiers do not represent the size, shape, or specific determination of the area. Refer to your original determination (CPA-028 and attached maps) for exact boundaries and determinations or contact USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS).

Case 8:20-bk-13014-MW Doc 24 Filed 11/16/20 Entered 11/16/20 20:10:27 Desc

Main Documenter Page 154 of 177

ENVIRONMENTAL AGRICULTURAL

Analytical Chemists

July 1, 2019

Buttonwillow Warehouse Company

Attn: Eric Browning 2203 Wisteria Lane Paso Robles, CA 93446

Analytical Results for R.R. Live Oak

SOIL ANALYSIS CC 1981978:1-3 Customer ID : 8-1089 Sampled On : June 17, 2019 Sampled By : Pedro Guillen Received On : June 18, 2019 Depth :5"

Syrah Soil Analysis - Primary and Secondary Nutrients

Sample Area	Variety	PPM Nitrate-N	PPM Phosphorus	PPM Exch. K	meq/L Sol. K	PPM Exch. Ca	meq/L Sol. Ca	PPM Exch. Mg	meq/L Sol. Mg	PPM Exch. Na	meq/L Sol. Na	meq/L Sulfate
Field 2: System 4 Syrah	Syrah	11.7	20 🔵	200	0.128 (2%)	6950 D	6.03 (72%)	397 🔵	1.06 (13%)	60	1.14 (14%)	0.863 🗔
Field 1: System 19 Syrah	Syrah	19.7	30 🔵	160	0.206 (2%)	5630	7.73 (62%)	440	2.25 (18%)	70	2.36 (19%)	1.94
Field 1: System 12 Syrah	Syrah	20.3	13 🗧	110 🔵	0.146 (1%)	5430	8.06 (62%)	451	2.53 (20%)	60	2.21 (17%)	2.60

Syrah Soil Analysis - Micro Nutrients and Base Saturation

Sample Area	PPI Zir	PPM Manganese	PPM Iron	PPM Copper	PPM Boron	meq/L Chloride	meq/100g CEC	% CEC - Ca	% CEC - Mg	% CEC - K	% CEC - Na	% CEC - H
Field 2: System 4 Syrah	1.9	5.6	7.7 🧲	1.8	0.12 🛑	0.36	38.7	89.7 D	8.45 🔵	1.30	0.651	< 1.00
Field 1: System 19 Syrah	10.5	9.7	8.2 🧲	1.3	0.15 🧲	2.26	32.4	86.7	11.2	1.23	0.880	< 1.00 🗲
Field 1: System 12 Syrah	3.6	6.6	6.9 🧲	1.2	0.19 🧲	2.16	31.3	86.6	11.9	0.885 🛛 🗖	0.767	< 1.00 🗖

Syrah Soil Analysis - Additional Elements

Sample Area	Units pH	dS/m ECe	SAR	% Limestone	Tons/AF GypReq Calc.	Tons/AF Lime Req	%	Moisture Low Opt	High	% Saturation
Field 2: System 4 Syrah	7.73	0.68	0.6	20.2	< 0.50	0	17.8			63.4 (Clay)
Field 1: System 19 Syrah	7.75 D	1.09	1.1	41.0	< 0.50	0	22.8			68.3 (Clay)
Field 1: System 12 Syrah	7.79	1.12	1.0	36.8	< 0.50	0	22.5			66.5 (Clay)
Good		Problem	Low	High	Indicates	physical condi	tions and	d/or phenolog	ical and	amendment requirements.

Note: Color coded bar graphs have been used to provide you with 'AT-A-GLANCE' interpretations.

Note: Soils with gypsum requirements over 10 tons should be applied incrementally at a maximum of 10 tons per acre per year and reanalyzed yearly after each application.



Corporate Offices & Laboratory 853 Corporation Street Santa Paula, CA 93060 TEL: (805)392-2000 Env FAX: (805)525-4172 / Ag FAX: (805)392-2063 CA ELAP Certification No. 1573

Office & Laboratory 2500 Stagecoach Road Stockton, CA 95215 TEL: (209)942-0182 FAX: (209)942-0423 CA ELAP Certification No. 1563 Office & Laboratory 563 E. Lindo Avenue Chico, CA 95926 TEL: (530)343-5818 FAX: (530)343-3807 CA ELAP Certification No. 2670

Office & Laboratory 3442 Empresa Drive, Suite D San Luis Obispo, CA 93401 TEL: (805)783-2940 FAX: (805)783-2912 CA ELAP Certification No. 2775

Case 8:20-bk-13014-MW Doc 24 Filed 11/16/20 Entered 11/16/20 20:10:27 Desc Main Document Page 155 of 177

July 1, 2019

SOIL ANALYSIS

Customer ID : 8-1089

CC 1981978:1-3

Buttonwillow Warehouse Company

SOIL ANALYSIS Footnotes and comments:

1) The need for soil Nitrate is dependent upon crop phenology (Growth Stage) and crop requirement. A soil Nitrate level of 10 - 40 ppm is preferred for a short time during critical periods of uptake into the vine. It is highly desirable to have low soil Nitrate (< 5ppm) prior to winter rainfall and cold soil conditions. Use the leaf Nitrogen level to determine primary Nitrogen requirement.

2) The color code for each plant nutient reflects the relative nutrient availability for each nutrient as it is influenced by pH, soil texture, CEC, limestone, salinity and organic matter content.

If you have any questions regarding your results, please call.

FRUIT GROWERS LABORATORY, INC.

Scott Bucy Scott Bucy, Director of Ag. Services

SB1:KEB

Case 8:20-bk-13014-MV	V Doc 24 Filed 11/16/20 Entered 11/16/20 20:10:27 Desc
	Main Document Page 156 of 177
	ENVIRONMENTAL AGRICULTURAL
	Analytical Chemists
July 1, 2019	Recommendation CC 1981978:1-3
	Customer ID : 8-1089
Buttonwillow Warehouse Company	Sampled On : June 17, 2019
Attn: Eric Browning	Sampled By : Pedro Guillen
2203 Wisteria Lane	Received On : June 18, 2019
Paso Robles, CA 93446	Depth : 5"
Analytical Results for R.R. Live Oak	
Analytical Results for R.R. LIVE Oak	

Based on the results of the laboratory analysis conducted on your soil samples, the following are our recommendations.

				5	Soil Recon	nmendation	for Syrah						
Sample Area	Variety	N Nitrogen	P2O5 Phosphorus	K2O Potassium	Ca Calcium	Mg Magnesium	SO4 Sulfur	Zn Zinc	Mn Manganese	Fe Iron	Cu Copper	B Boron	Lime Req Tons/AF
Field 2: System 4 Syrah	Syrah	70	185	120	None	None	65	None	None	56	None	1.60	0
Field 1: System 19 Syrah	Syrah	50	335	120	None	None	None	None	None	62	None	1.60	0
Field 1: System 12 Syrah	Syrah	45	365	300	None	None	None	None	None	66	None	1.40	0
Lbs/Acre/Year Applied via		Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil

If you have any questions regarding your results, please call.

FRUIT GROWERS LABORATORY, INC.

SB1:KEB

Scott Bucy, Scott Bucy, Director of Ag. Services



Office & Laboratory

TEL: (559)734-9473

FAX: (559)734-8435

Visalia, CA 93291

9415 W. Goshen Avenue

CA ELAP Certification No. 2810

Corporate Offices & Laboratory 853 Corporation Street Santa Paula, CA 93060 TEL: (805)392-2000 Env FAX: (805)525-4172 / Ag FAX: (805)392-2063 CA ELAP Certification No. 1573

Office & Laboratory 2500 Stagecoach Road Stockton, CA 95215 TEL: (209)942-0182 FAX: (209)942-0423 CA ELAP Certification No. 1563 Office & Laboratory 563 E. Lindo Avenue Chico, CA 95926 TEL: (530)343-5818 FAX: (530)343-3807 CA ELAP Certification No. 2670

Office & Laboratory 3442 Empresa Drive, Suite D San Luis Obispo, CA 93401 TEL: (805)783-2940 FAX: (805)783-2912 CA ELAP Certification No. 2775

Case 8:20-bk-13014-MW Doc 24 Filed 11/16/20 Entered 11/16/20 20:10:27 Desc

Main Documenter Page 157 of 177

ENVIRONMENTAL AGRICULTURAL

Analytical Chemists

July 1, 2019

Buttonwillow Warehouse Company Attn: Eric Browning

2203 Wisteria Lane Paso Robles, CA 93446

Analytical Results for R.R. Live Oak

PLANT ANALYSIS CC 1981978:4-5, 7-8 Customer ID : 8-1089 Sampled On : June 17, 2019 Sampled By : Pedro Guillen Received On : June 18, 2019

Syrah Plant Tissue Analysis

Sample Area	% Nitrogen	% Phosphorus	% Potassium	% Calcium	% Magnesium	ppm Zinc	ppm Manganese	ppm Iron	ppm Copper	ppm Boron	% Sodium
Field 2: System 4 Syrah	3.59	0.288	0.916 🛛 🗖	2.33	0.240	70.0	58 🗖	160	11	41.1	0.029
Field 1: System 19 Syrah	3.59	0.359	0.922 📿	1.44 🗖	0.281	93.5	73	130	14	54.5	0.034
Field 1: System 15 Syrah	3.92	0.321	0.653 🧲	1.96	0.285	56.6	49	91 🔵	9 🗖	74.9	0.028
Field 1: System 12 Syrah	3.83	0.270	0.777 🧲	2.18	0.297	79.9	70	102	8 🗖	70.7	0.024
Optimum Range - Average	3.5 - 4.5	0.25 - 0.50	1.2 - 1.4	1.5 - 3.5	0.23 - 0.50	30 - 150	30 - 200	100 - 250	6 - 20	25 - 40	0.0 - 0.15
Good		Problem	Low	High							

Note: Color coded bar graphs have been used to provide you with 'AT-A-GLANCE' interpretations.

If you have any questions regarding your results, please call.

FRUIT GROWERS LABORATORY, INC.

SB1:KEB

Scott Bucy, Scott Bucy, Director of Ag. Services



Office & Laboratory 9415 W. Goshen Avenue Visalia, CA 93291 TEL: (559)734-9473 FAX: (559)734-8435 CA ELAP Certification No. 2810

Corporate Offices & Laboratory 853 Corporation Street Santa Paula, CA 93060 TEL: (805)392-2000 Env FAX: (805)525-4172 / Ag FAX: (805)392-2063 CA ELAP Certification No. 1573

Office & Laboratory 2500 Stagecoach Road Stockton, CA 95215 TEL: (209)942-0182 FAX: (209)942-0423 CA ELAP Certification No. 1563 Office & Laboratory 563 E. Lindo Avenue Chico, CA 95926 TEL: (530)343-5818 FAX: (530)343-3807 CA ELAP Certification No. 2670

Office & Laboratory 3442 Empresa Drive, Suite D San Luis Obispo, CA 93401 TEL: (805)783-2940 FAX: (805)783-2912 CA ELAP Certification No. 2775

Case 8:20-bk-13014-MW Doc 24 Filed 11/16/20 Entered 11/16/20 20:10:27 Desc

Main Documenter Page 158 of 177

ENVIRONMENTAL AGRICULTURAL

Analytical Chemists

July 1, 2019

Buttonwillow Warehouse Company Attn: Eric Browning 2203 Wisteria Lane Paso Robles, CA 93446

Analytical Results for R.R. Live Oak

PLANT ANALYSIS CC 1981978:6

Customer ID : 8-1089 Sampled On : June 17, 2019 Sampled By : Pedro Guillen Received On : June 18, 2019

Mourvedre Plant Tissue Analysis

Sample Area	% Nitrogen	% Phosphorus	% Potassium	% Calcium	% Magnesium	ppm Zinc	ppm Manganese	ppm Iron	ppm Copper	ppm Boron	% Sodium
Field 1: System 20 Mourvedre	2.95 🗖	0.230	0.716 🧲	1.68	0.264	61.7	55 🗖	86 🗖	9	66.1	0.020
Optimum Range - Average	3.5 - 4.5	0.25 - 0.50	1.2 - 1.4	1.5 - 3.5	0.23 - 0.50	30 - 150	30 - 200	100 - 250	6 - 20	25 - 40	0.0 - 0.15

Good Problem Low High

Note: Color coded bar graphs have been used to provide you with 'AT-A-GLANCE' interpretations.

If you have any questions regarding your results, please call.

FRUIT GROWERS LABORATORY, INC.

Scott Bucy

Scott Bucy, Director of Ag. Services

SB1:KEB



Corporate Offices & Laboratory 853 Corporation Street Santa Paula, CA 93060 TEL: (805)392-2000 Env FAX: (805)525-4172 / Ag FAX: (805)392-2063 CA ELAP Certification No. 1573 Office & Laboratory 2500 Stagecoach Road Stockton, CA 95215 TEL: (209)942-0182 FAX: (209)942-0423 CA ELAP Certification No. 1563 Office & Laboratory 563 E. Lindo Avenue Chico, CA 95926 TEL: (530)343-5818 FAX: (530)343-3807 CA ELAP Certification No. 2670 Office & Laboratory 3442 Empresa Drive, Suite D San Luis Obispo, CA 93401 TEL: (805)783-2940 FAX: (805)783-2912 CA ELAP Certification No. 2775

Case 8:20-bk-13014-MV	N Doc 24 Filed 11/16/20 Entered 11/16/20 20:10:27 Desc
	Main Document Page 159 of 177
	ENVIRONMENTAL
	Analytical Chemists
July 1, 2019	Recommendation CC 1981978:4-5, 7-8
	Customer ID : 8-1089
Buttonwillow Warehouse Company	Sampled On : June 17, 2019
Attn: Eric Browning	Sampled By : Pedro Guillen
2203 Wisteria Lane	Received On : June 18, 2019
Paso Robles, CA 93446	Depth :
Analytical Results for R.R. Live Oak	

Based on the results of the laboratory analysis conducted on your plant tissue samples, the following are our recommendations.

				I lant	i issue reco	minenuatio	li ioi Syraii			
Sample Area	N Nitrogen	P205 Phosphorus	K2O Potassium	Ca Calcium	Mg Magnesium	Zn Zinc	Mn Manganese	Fe Iron	Cu Copper	B Boron
Field 2: System 4 Syrah	15	None	35	None	20	1	1	None	None	None
Field 1: System 19 Syrah	15	None	35	10	20	0.5	1	0.5	None	None
Field 1: System 15 Syrah	None	None	35	None	20	1	1.5	1	None	None
Field 1: System 12 Syrah	None	None	35	None	20	0.5	1	1	1	None
Lbs/Acre/Year Applied via	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Foliar	Foliar	Foliar	Foliar	Foliar	Soil

Plant Tissue Recommendation for Syrah

* Apply either the soil or the foliar but not both. The amount of nitrogen recommended (soil/foliar) accounts for the relative differences in uptake between soil applied and foliar applied nitrogen. See application notes for further explaination.

If you have any questions regarding your results, please call.

FRUIT GROWERS LABORATORY, INC.

SB1:KEB

Scott Bucy, Scott Bucy, Director of Ag. Services



Corporate Offices & Laboratory 853 Corporation Street Santa Paula, CA 93060 TEL: (805)392-2000 Env FAX: (805)525-4172 / Ag FAX: (805)392-2063 CA ELAP Certification No. 1573

Office & Laboratory 2500 Stagecoach Road Stockton, CA 95215 TEL: (209)942-0182 FAX: (209)942-0423 CA ELAP Certification No. 1563 Office & Laboratory 563 E. Lindo Avenue Chico, CA 95926 TEL: (530)343-5818 FAX: (530)343-3807 CA ELAP Certification No. 2670

Office & Laboratory 3442 Empresa Drive, Suite D San Luis Obispo, CA 93401 TEL: (805)783-2940 FAX: (805)783-2912 CA ELAP Certification No. 2775

Case 8:20-bk-13014-MW	Doc 24 Filed 11/16/20 Entered 11/16/20 20:10:27 Desc
	Main Document Page 160 of 177
	INVIRONMENTAL AGRICULTURAL
1996년 - 1997년 - 1997년 - 1997년 -	Analytical Chemists
July 1, 2019	Recommendation CC 1981978:6
	Customer ID : 8-1089
Buttonwillow Warehouse Company	Sampled On : June 17, 2019
Attn: Eric Browning	Sampled By : Pedro Guillen
2203 Wisteria Lane	Received On : June 18, 2019
Paso Robles, CA 93446	Depth :
Analytical Results for R.R. Live Oak	

Plant Tissue Recommendation for Mourvedre

Based on the results of the laboratory analysis conducted on your plant tissue samples, the following are our recommendations.

				r faitt 1 188					
Sample Area	N Nitrogen	P205 Phosphorus	K2O Potassium	Ca Calcium	Mg Magnesium	Zn Zinc	Mn Manganese	Fe Iron	Cu Copper
Field 1: System 20 Mourvedre	20	None	35	None	20	1	1	1	None
Lbs/Acre/Year Applied via	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Foliar	Foliar	Foliar	Foliar	Foliar

* Apply either the soil or the foliar but not both. The amount of nitrogen recommended (soil/foliar) accounts for the relative differences in uptake between soil applied and foliar applied nitrogen. See application notes for further explaination.

If you have any questions regarding your results, please call.

FRUIT GROWERS LABORATORY, INC.

SB1:KEB

Scott Bucy, Scott Bucy, Director of Ag. Services



Corporate Offices & Laboratory 853 Corporation Street Santa Paula, CA 93060 TEL: (805)392-2000 Env FAX: (805)525-4172 / Ag FAX: (805)392-2063 CA ELAP Certification No. 1573

Office & Laboratory 2500 Stagecoach Road Stockton, CA 95215 TEL: (209)942-0182 FAX: (209)942-0423 CA ELAP Certification No. 1563 Office & Laboratory 563 E. Lindo Avenue Chico, CA 95926 TEL: (530)343-5818 FAX: (530)343-3807 CA ELAP Certification No. 2670

Office & Laboratory 3442 Empresa Drive, Suite D San Luis Obispo, CA 93401 TEL: (805)783-2940 FAX: (805)783-2912 CA ELAP Certification No. 2775

	G FRUIT GROWERS	ABORATO	RYAN	G _{DOC} : Main [2 W	W ₂ Y	kd g	ling	926		hter	red	11/:	16/			N-C) <i>REQ</i>		ZSZ T DO	TO CUN	DY MEN	r T	Of	RIGIN	NAL
CLII	ENT DETAILS		SECTION		JOCI	IIIIE	PLIN	_ Pa	<u>ne</u>	101	OL_{i}	11	SECT								RMA			SE	CTIO	N III
Client	Button willow War	chouse	60.				6	 2l		,						R	ush ar	alvsis	• 5 T	Davs		7 D:	avs			
🗅 Nev	v customer Customer numbe	r: <u>5-108</u> 4	7		Sam	pler ((s) : <u> </u>								-					Su	ibject (o sure	harge:			
Addre				_				31-				4			_	Q	A/QC	repor	t requ	ired :	yes_					
				_ []	Date	e of sa	ampling	61	17	119											1819					
	<u>: 805 - 226 - 2953</u>						P.1																-			
FAX:	E-mail ebrow	ning etcol	nag. con	ת						·	<u></u>				_	L	ab Loo	:: S	P 🖸	STI	κロ	VI		сн 🕻] SI	
	tname: <u>R.R. Live Oak</u>				1											٦										
	ct person: Evic Browning	<u> </u>		· .	SAI	MPL	E INFO	2	-	$\overline{1}$	1			_		ALYS	SES R	EQU	ESTI T	5D T	т—		<u>г</u> т		—	
	g information (if different from above)					(SLD)				<u>ا</u> . ا								1								
Name				-		Heavy (H) Dragline Sprinklers (DLS)	Fogger (FO) ly Good (FG						-						Ι.							1
Addre			\nt <i>t</i>	•	(dd)	E) Spri	P) Fo				-															1
Phone	Buttonwillow Ware	nouse compa	illy	-	plant	eavy (raglin	d (G)					T				1	\Box					i	¥	1	1	
FAX:		1978		-	Pres la	H (S	Flood (FL) Drip (DP) od (VG) Good (G) Fai	6 6					j j	3		1			1				\prod	12		
Conta				-	No (J	fium () ers (S:	1 (VG)	2 E		, ĥ			ANALYSES									PCinn	1		SES	
Purch		., .,			S S	L) Medium (M) Sprinklers (SSS)	(FJ) F	Fair (F)	8	Suitat	" "		Ň	ation	Ι.	-e		8						N.	M	
	\				ons: Y	ight (L J Set S	Fanjet I: Ver			iremer	^S lei	Ι.	E S	ombin	eLeaf	e Petio		Ĩ			1 4	phtho1			ALA	
SAM	PLE INFORMATION		SECTION	IV	endati	n: Solid	v (F) 1 ndition		ALVA themsin	Requ	Mater	pecify	Ĩ	tiole C	hensiv	hensiv	pecify			and the second	emato	Phyto	Assay	Ĭ	ğ	
Sample Number	Location/Description	Date of Planting	Growth Stage	Sample Depth	Recomm	Soil Texture: Light (L) Medium (M) Heavy (H) Irrigation: Solid Set Sprinklers (SSS) Dragline S	Furrow (F) Fanjet (FJ) Flood (FL) Drip (DP) Fogger (FO) Plant Condition: Very Good (VG) Good (G) Fairly Good (FG)	SOIL ANA.	Comprehensive C	Gypsum Requirement	Organic Materials Analysis	Other: Specify	PLANT TISSUE	Leaf/Petiole Combination	Comprehensive Leaf	Comprehensive Petiole	Other: Specify	Whole Fruit	PATHOLOCV	Citrus Phytophthora	Citrus Nematode	Avocado Phytophthora	Disease Assay	IRRIGATION SUITABILITY	ADDITIONAL ANALYSES	
1	Field 2: System 4 Syrah	larap.	es Bloom		Y 1	n t	DP F	Ь													\Box	\square	\square	\square	\square	
3	Field 1: System 19 Symh		<u> </u>	5" 5"	+	╢		\square			-		\rightarrow	_	_			-	-		\vdash	\dashv	\rightarrow	+		
1	Field 2: System 12 Syrah Field 2: System 4 Syrah			<u> </u>	┠┟┼	H			1	+		_	_							╂──┦		-+	+	+	_ _	
2	Field 1: Sustem 19 Surch														1											
3	Field I: System 20 Mourvedre					↓									\triangleleft			_				\square	\square	\square	\square	
4	Field 1: Sustem 15 Surah Field 1: Sustem 12 Syrah					₽															$\left - \right $			+		
REM	Field 1: System 12 Syrah	SECTION	3 \7	CUST							<u> </u>													C EZ		
							bject to the	e terms ar	-	1				_			,				. 1				CTIO	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				ished by	° fL	4	r h	Z	M			_	(•	Ga	, , (),	in	Date _	<u>64</u>	8/(9 Tim	е <u>В'</u>	<u>: 35</u> 1	'Am
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			Receive	d by: ished by		d	<u> </u>		•		Print N	_	2	Key Ku					Date -	-5	,	Tim		17: 17:	
				Receive		·	<u> </u>	sc)				Print N Print N	_		6					Date _	\rightarrow		Tim Tim		Ť	~
. 8	ORPORATE OFFICE & LABORATORY 33 Corporation Street and Paula CA 03060	OFFICE & LAI 2500 Stagecoach Stockton, CA 95	SORATORY Road	L		OF 563	FFICE CE	ABORA	TORY				034	FFICE			ORY D				FIE I	D OFF	_		711	90
а Т F	nita Paula, CA 93060 el: (805) 392-2000 ax: (805) 392-2063	2500 Stagecoach Stockton, CA 95 Tel: (209) 942-01 Fax: (209) 942-0	81 423			Tel Fa	FFICE 02 3 E Lindo iic(12A 05 1. (530) 24 x: (550) 34	3-5818 5-5807	د				Si Te Fi	l: (805) x: (805)) 783 22) 785 2	CA SS	νı			$\omega_{ }$	19	e: (559) (559) 7:	+-9473) 737-22 34-8435	, 99	1	

Case 8:20-bk-13014-MW Doc 24 Filed 11/16/20 Entered 11/16/20 20:10:27 Desc Main Document Page 162 of 177



United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) Farm Service Agency (FSA) maps are for FSA Program administration only. This map does not represent a legal survey or reflect actual ownership: rather it depicts the information provided directly from the producer and/or National Agricultural Imagery Program (NAIP) imagery. The producer accepts the data 'as is' and assumes all risks associated with its use. USDA-FSA assumes no responsibility for actual or consequential damage incurred as a result of any user's reliance on this data outside FSA Programs. Wetland identifiers do not represent the size, shape, or specific determination of the area. Refer to your original determination (CPA-028 and attached maps) for exact boundaries and determinations or contact USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS). Case 8:20-bk-13014-MW Doc 24 Filed 11/16/20 Entered 11/16/20 20:10:27 Desc Main Document Page 163 of 177



Exempt from Conservation Compliance Provisions

Tract 1 of 1

United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) Farm Service Agency (FSA) maps are for FSA Program administration only. This map does not represent a legal survey or reflect actual ownership; rather it depicts the information provided directly from the producer and/or National Agricultural Imagery Program (NAIP) imagery. The producer accepts the data 'as is' and assumes all risks associated with its use. USDA-FSA assumes no responsibility for actual or consequential damage incurred as a result of any user's reliance on this data outside FSA Programs. Wetland identifiers do not represent the size, shape, or specific determination of the area. Refer to your original determination (CPA-028 and attached maps) for exact boundaries and determinations or contact USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS).

Case 8:20-bk-13014-MW Doc 24 Filed 11/16/20 Entered 11/16/20 20:10:27 Desc Main Document Page 164 of 177

FARM 960---LIVE OAK ROAD

BLOCK NUMBER	ACRES	VARIETY	YEAR PLANTED	SPACING
1	1.81	PETITE SIRAH	2018	6'6 X 6'6
2	4.54	TEMPRANILLO	2018	6'6 X 6'6
3	2.57	PETIT VERDOT	2010	3'3 X 6'6
4	0.92	CABERNET SAUVIGNON	2018	6'6 X 6'6
5	0.54	CABERNET SAUVIGNON	2018	6'6 X 6'6
6	3.48	CABERNET SAUVIGNON & PETITE VERDOT	2018	6'6 X 6'6
7	3.55	GRENACHE & MOURVEDRE	2017	6'6 X 6'6
8	4.87	SYRAH	2017	6'6 X 3'3
9	10.33	SYRAH & CABERNET SAUVIGNON & CABERNET FRANC	2017 & 1999	6'6 x 3'3
10	3.83	SYRAH & GRENACHE	2017	6'6 X 6'6-GRENACHE 6'6 X 3'3 SYRAH
11	2.67	PETIT VERDOT & GRENACHE	2018	6'6 X 6'6
12	5.21	MOURVEDRE & GRENACHE	2019	6'6 X 3'3
13	1.01	GRENACHE	2019	6'6 X 3'3
14	0.21	VIOGNIER	2008	3'6 X 2'3
15	1.84			
16	4.37	PETIT VERDOT	2020	6'6 X 3'3
17	3.94	PETITE VERDOT	1999 & 2020	6'6 X 3'3
18	1.44	CABERNET SAUVIGNON	2017	6'6 X 6'6
19	2.83	NERO D'AVOLA & GRENACHE	2019	6'6 X 3'3
20	5.16	AGLIANICO & GRENACHE	2019	6'6 X 3'3
21	0.2	CABERNET SAUVIGNON	2017	6'6 X 6'6
22	3.36	CABERNET SAUVIGNON	2017	6'6 X 3'3
23	0	UNPLANTED		
24	3.59	CABERNET SAUVIGNON	2017	6'6 X 6'6
25	0.49	CABERNET SAUVIGNON	2017	6'6 X 6'6
26	2.26	PETITE SIRAH	2018	6'6 X 6'6
27	2.61	PETIT VERDOT	2020	6'6 X 3'3
28	1.3	CABERNET FRANC	2017	6'6 X 3'3
29	1.66	CABERNET FRANC	2017	6'6 X 3'3
30	2.55	CABERNET SAUVIGNON	2017	6'6 X 6'6
31	4.78	CABERNET SAUVIGNON	1999	6'6 X 3'3
32		FUTURE DEVELOPMENT		
TOTAL	87.92			

FARM 593 SAN MARCOS ROAD

1	6.18	PRIMITIVO	1997	6' X 4
2	16.27	CABERNET SAUVIGNON	1998	6' X 4
3	11.03	PRIMITIVO	1998	6' X 4

Case 8:20-bk-13014-MW Doc 24 Filed 11/16/20 Entered 11/16/20 20:10:27 Desc Main Document Page 165 of 177

4	4.19	SAUVIGNON BLANC	1997	6' X 4
5	4.64	SAUVIGNON BLANC	1997	6' X 4
6	2.82	SYRAH	1998	6' X 4
7	8.96	SAUVIGNON BLANC	1997	6' X 4
8	6.81	ZINFANDEL	1997	6' X 4
9	4.32	SYRAH	1998	6' X 4
10	13.14	PETITE SIRAH	1997	6' X 4
11	2.63	SYRAH	1997	6' X 4
12	3.58	ZINFANDEL	1997	6' X 4
13	6.43	ZINFANDEL	1997	6' X 4
TOTAL	91			



Case 8:20-bk-13014-MW Doc 24 Filed 11/16/20 Entered 11/16/20 20:10:27 Desc Main Document Page 167 of 177

TEXAS GRAPE VARIETAL LIST

Field #1 (Black)

- 1. Merlot (RP)
- 2. Merlot (RP)
- 3 Merlot (RP)
- 4. Merlot (RP)
- 5 Merlot (RP)
- 6. Merlot (RP)
- 7. Merlot (A) / Sangiovese (B) / (RP)
- 8. Zinfandel (North) / Merlot (South) / (RP)
- 9. Mourvedre
- 10. Grenache

TEXAS GRAPE VARIETAL LIST

Field #2 (Red)

- 1. Zinfandel
- 2. Merlot
- 3 Merlot
- 4. Merlot
- 5 Merlot
- * (RP) = Ready For Replant
- (A) = East
- * (B)= West

Case 8:20-bk-13014-MW Doc 24 Filed 11/16/20 Entered 11/16/20 20:10:27 Desc Main Document Page 168 of 177

TEXAS GRAPE VARIETAL LIST

Field #3 (Blue)

- 1. Zinfandel
- 2. Zinfandel (South) / Grenache Blanc (North)
- 3 Zinfandel (South) / Grenache Blanc (North)
- 4. Zinfandel
- 5 Zinfandel
- 6. Cabernet
- 7. Petit Sirah
- 8. Petit Sirah
- 9. Primitivo / Zinfandel
- 10. Grenache (North / Viognier (South)
- 11. Syrah
- 12. Grenache
- 13. Mourvedre

TEXAS GRAPE VARIETAL LIST

Field #4 (Green)

- 1. Petit Verdot
- 2. Petit Verdot
- 3 Grenache (South) / Petit Sirah (North)
- 4. Petit Sirah (RP)
- * (RP) = Ready For Replant

Case 8:20-bk-13014-MW Doc 24 Filed 11/16/20 Entered 11/16/20 20:10:27 Desc Main Document Page 169 of 177

SAN MARCOS GRAPE VARIETAL LIST

Field #1 (Black)

- 1. Cabernet (RP)
- 2. Sauvignon Blanc / Muscat (RP)
- 3 Syrah
- 4. Syrah
- 5 Zinfandel
- 6. Zinfandel
- 7. Sauvignon Blanc (RP)
- 8. Cabernet (RP
- 9. Cabernet (RP)

SAN MARCOS GRAPE VARIETAL LIST

Field #2 (Red)

- 1. Petit Sirah (RP)
- 2. Petit Sirah (RP)
- 3 Petit Sirah (RP)
- 4. Syrah (RP)
- 5 Zinfandel
- 6. Zinfandel
- 7. Zinfandel
- 8. Zinfandel
- o. Zimanuci

* (RP) = Ready For Replant

Case 8:20-bk-13014-MW Doc 24 Filed 11/16/20 Entered 11/16/20 20:10:27 Desc Main Document Page 170 of 177

SAN MARCOS GRAPE VARIETAL LIST

Field #3 (Blue)

- 1. Zinfandel
- 2. Zinfandel / Primitivo
- 3 Zinfandel / Primitivo
- 4. Zinfandel / Primitivo

SAN MARCOS GRAPE VARIETAL LIST

Field #4 (Green)

- 1. Primitivo / Zinfandel
- 2. Primitivo / Zinfandel
- 3 Cabernet (RP)
- 4. Petit Sirah / Sauvignon Blanc (RP)
- 5 Sangiovese (RP)
- 6. Cabernet (RP)
- 7. Cabernet (RP)

* (RP) = Ready For Replant

Case 8:20-bk-13014-MW Doc 24 Filed 11/16/20 Entered 11/16/20 20:10:27 Desc Main Document Page 171 of 177 Texas Breakdown

Field # 1						
System #	# Vines	Variety/Rootstock				
System 1	6,74	9				
System 2	6,62	6				
System 3	6,66	4				
System 4	6,66	6				
System 5	6,66	6				
System 6	6,74	5				
System 7	6,18	5				
System 8	7,26	5				
Total	53,56	6 acres 32 all trellis & irrigation in				

Row Spacing / Acreage		
6.5 x 4 =	26	Square feet
43,560 / 26 =	1,675	Vines to the acre
184,887 / 1675 =	110.38	Total planted acres
	2008	5
6.5x4	2006	6
6.5x2		
6.5x2	2007	7

Field # 2			
System #	# Vines	Variety/Rootstock	
System 1		7,468	
System 2		6,012	
System 3		6,685	
System 4		6,390	
System 5		4,835	
Total	3	31,390	

Field # 3		
System #	# Vines	Variety/Rootstock
System 1		6,701
System 2		6,693
System 3		6,751
System 4		6,662
System 5		6,792
System 6		6,631 Cabernet Sauvignon 337, 420A
System 7		6,739 Petite Sirah 03, 5BB
System 8		6,756 Petite Sirah 03, 5BB
System 9		6,591
System 10		6,735
System 11		5,976
Total		73,027

Acreage by Variety		
Variety	Acre	S
Merlot	18.80)
Zinfandel	31.00)
Petite Sirah	11.92	2
Petite Verdot	12.20)
Syrah	3.60	
Cabernet Sauvignon	3.96	
Viognier	1.25	
Grenache Blanc		4
Grenache 362	;	3.28
Mourvedre	4	6.5 X 2
Grenache		4 6.5 X 2
Tuscan Olive Trees		5-6 acres?

98.01

Field # 4			
System #	# Vines	Variety/Rootstock	
System 1	6,799		
System 2	6,741	Petite Verdot 400, 420A	
System 3	6,894		
System 4	6,470		
Total	26,904		
Acres	16.06		
Grand Total	184,887	PLANTED IN 2003	

Case 8:20-bk-13014-MW Doc 24 Filed 11/16/20 Entered 11/16/20 20:10:27 Desc Main Document Page 172 of 177



ENGAGEMENT CONTRACT

Via email <u>Arthur.Neudek@propsci.com</u>

Arthur O. Neudek, MAI, AI-GRS **The Property Sciences Group, Inc.** 395 Taylor Blvd, Ste. 250 Pleasant Hill, CA 94523

Business Loan Capital, Inc. would like to retain you to prepare an appraisal of the property referred to below. You are authorized to proceed under the following parameters:

[]				
Assignment Order Date:	March 11, 2020			
Assignment Due Date:	March 31, 2020			
Client:	Business Loan Capital, Inc.			
Intended users:	Business Loan Capital, Inc.			
Purpose:	Mortgage Lending			
Property rights:	Fee Simple			
Approaches to value:	All relevant approaches are required			
Value definitions:	Market Value - per attached guidelines			
	Insurable Replacement Cost - per attached guidelines			
	Liquidation Value – per attached guidelines			
Report format:	Narrative, Complete Appraisal			
Fee amount:	\$6,000.00 (50% Up front-50% prior to final release of report)			
Number of Report Copies:	Electronic copy (PDF)			
Date of report:	Date of inspection			
Property address:	1172 San Marcos Rd, Paso Robles Ca 93446			
	San Marcos Vineyard APN#026-104-001			
	1172 San Marcos Rd, Paso Robles Ca 93446			
	Texas Road Vineyard APN# 027-145-022			
	2380 Live Oak Rd, Paso Robles Ca 93446			
	Live Oak Vineyard APN# 026-342-039			
Property type:	Winery/Vineyard			
Name of Borrower:	TBD/Rabbit Ridge Wine Sales, Inc.			
Name of Current Owner:	Erich Russell			
Property contact:	Lee Codding 952-220-8216			
	lecoddingiv@icloud.com (advisor)			
Information provided:	Business Loan Capital Appraisal Guidelines			

• The report will include an estimate of replacement cost for insurance purposes and a liquidation value.

Case 8:20-bk-13014-MW Doc 24 Filed 11/16/20 Entered 11/16/20 20:10:27 Desc Main Document Page 173 of 177

March 11, 2020 The Property Sciences Group, Inc. Page Two

- Disclose any sales or listings of the property within the last three years.
- The report will include the remaining economic life of the improvements.
- The site contact is to be contacted within three days of receipt of this contract.
- The appraisal report shall be prepared, and contain one or more statements attesting to the fact that it was prepared, in accordance with the Uniform Standards of Appraisal Practice 2018/19, the Financial Institutions Reform, Recovery and Enforcement Act of 1989, and the Interagency Appraisal and Evaluation Guidelines, 2010 as jointly issued by the OCC, FRB, FDIC and NCUA, as well as Business Loan Capital Appraisal Guidelines.
- The report will be reviewed by Business Loan Capital. It may also undergo a USPAP Standard 3/4 technical review by a certified appraiser retained by the bank. Your cooperation during the review process is considered an integral part of this assignment.
- All reports must include a copy of this contract.
- Appraisal must include a statement that the appraiser has acted in an independent capacity and that the assignment is not based on a requested minimum valuation, specific valuation, or the approval of loan.
- While we have attempted to provide you with the information necessary to commence the assignment, we ask that you assist Business Loan Capital, Inc in completing its files on information on the subject property by providing Business Loan Capital, Inc copies of additional documents pertaining to the subject which you obtained in your investigation but which were not provided to you by Business Loan Capital, Inc (e.g. copies of additional financial statements, permits, listing brochures, plans, etc.).
- The appraisal must include your state appraiser license / certification number. Please note that you, as the principal approved appraiser, must sign the report.

Confidentiality

All documents furnished to the appraiser from Business Loan Capital, Inc are to be considered confidential information to the appraiser pursuant to the disclosure requirements in the confidentiality section of the ethics provision and Statement on Appraisal Standards Number 5 of USPAP. You are not authorized to release information pertaining to the appraisal content or conclusions to any other persons without our prior written approval. Please be aware, however, that the report will be released to the applicant by us upon final disposition of the loan application.

Case 8:20-bk-13014-MW Doc 24 Filed 11/16/20 Entered 11/16/20 20:10:27 Desc Main Document Page 174 of 177

March 11, 2020 The Property Sciences Group, Inc. Page Three

Fee Payment

We will review your submission within ten (10) working days, at which time we will provide you written comments, if any are required. You will be paid as soon as we review your response and the final report is approved by Business Loan Capital, Inc. To expedite payment, please include with the final report an invoice which states the amount due, as well as the address of the subject property and borrower's name (provided above). Omitted by BLC

If, during your investigation of the property, you should discover anything that might materially modify the assignment or which would result in a change of terms of this agreement, please contact us immediately.

Business Loan Capital is to be provided one electronic copy (which must be provided in Adobe Acrobat (PDF) format and be text searchable OCR).

Upon receipt and agreement, sign and return this engagement via email to the undersigned. Should you have any questions or need additional information to complete this appraisal, please do not hesitate to contact me at 949-450-2000 or 877-774-4240.

Sincerely, Business Loan Capital, Inc.

Carrie Beavers Vice President / Loan Administrator

Appraiser Acceptance:

I agree to the terms of this engagement letter.

(Name)

Date: 3/11/2020

PROOF OF SERVICE OF DOCUMENT

I am over the age of 18 and not a party to this bankruptcy case or adversary proceeding. My business address is:

17609 Ventura Blvd., Suite 314, Encino, CA 91316.

A true and correct copy of the foregoing document entitled (*specify*): <u>DEBTORS' OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR RELIEF</u> <u>FROM THE AUTOMATIC STAY UNDER 11 U.S.C. § 362 (2380 Live Oak Road, Paso Robles, CA 93446, 1172 San</u> <u>Marcos Road, Paso Robles, CA 93446 and APN 027-145-022</u>); <u>DECLARATIONS OF LEROY CODDING AND BRANT A.</u> <u>SHEAFFER IN SUPPORT THEREOF</u> will be served or was served (**a**) on the judge in chambers in the form and manner required by LBR 5005-2(d); and (**b**) in the manner stated below:

1. <u>TO BE SERVED BY THE COURT VIA NOTICE OF ELECTRONIC FILING (NEF)</u>: Pursuant to controlling General Orders and LBR, the foregoing document will be served by the court via NEF and hyperlink to the document. On (*date*) <u>11/16/2020</u>, I checked the CM/ECF docket for this bankruptcy case or adversary proceeding and determined that the following persons are on the Electronic Mail Notice List to receive NEF transmission at the email addresses stated below:

Service information continued on attached page

2. <u>SERVED BY UNITED STATES MAIL</u>: On (*date*) <u>11/16/2020</u>, I served the following persons and/or entities at the last known addresses in this bankruptcy case or adversary proceeding by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope in the United States mail, first class, postage prepaid, and addressed as follows. Listing the judge here constitutes a declaration that mailing to the judge <u>will be completed</u> no later than 24 hours after the document is filed.

Service information continued on attached page

3. SERVED BY PERSONAL DELIVERY, OVERNIGHT MAIL, FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION OR EMAIL (state method

<u>for each person or entity served</u>): Pursuant to F.R.Civ.P. 5 and/or controlling LBR, on (*date*) <u>11/16/2020</u>, I served the following persons and/or entities by personal delivery, overnight mail service, or (for those who consented in writing to such service method), by facsimile transmission and/or email as follows. Listing the judge here constitutes a declaration that personal delivery on, or overnight mail to, the judge <u>will be completed</u> no later than 24 hours after the document is filed.

Service information continued on attached page

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the foregoing is true and correct.

11/16/2020	Ja'Nita Fisher	/s/ Ja'Nita Fisher
Date	Printed Name	Signature

This form is mandatory. It has been approved for use by the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Central District of California.

1. TO BE SERVED BY THE COURT VIA NOTICE OF ELECTRONIC FILING (NEF) [CONTINUED]:

- (UST) Nancy S Goldenberg nancy.goldenberg@usdoj.gov
- (COUNSEL FOR FARM CREDIT) Michael J Gomez mgomez@frandzel.com, dmoore@frandzel.com
- (DEBTOR'S COUNSEL) Roksana D. Moradi-Brovia roksana@rhmfirm.com, matt@rhmfirm.com;janita@rhmfirm.com;susie@rhmfirm.com;max@rhmfirm.com;priscilla @rhmfirm.com;pardis@rhmfirm.com;russ@rhmfirm.com;rebeca@rhmfirm.com;david@rh mfirm.com;sloan@rhmfirm.com
- (DEBTOR'S COUNSEL) Matthew D. Resnik matt@rhmfirm.com, roksana@rhmfirm.com;janita@rhmfirm.com;susie@rhmfirm.com;max@rhmfirm.com;prisc illa@rhmfirm.com;pardis@rhmfirm.com;russ@rhmfirm.com;rebeca@rhmfirm.com;david@ rhmfirm.com;sloan@rhmfirm.com
- United States Trustee (SA) ustpregion16.sa.ecf@usdoj.gov
- (COUNSEL FOR FARM CREDIT)Reed S Waddell rwaddell@frandzel.com, sking@frandzel.com
- (COUNSEL FOR FARM CREDIT) TFM Gerrick Warrington gwarrington@frandzel.com, sking@frandzel.com

2. SERVED BY UNITED STATES MAIL [CONTINUED]:

Hon. Mark S. Wallace U.S. Bankruptcy Court Central District – S.A. Division 411 West Fourth Street, Suite 6135 Santa Ana, CA 92701-4593

Northern Holding, LLC 13217 Jamboree Rd #429 Tustin CA 92783